



# Juvenile Justice Information System

## Data & Evaluation Reports Recidivism (2011)

JJIS Steering Committee  
JJIS Data & Evaluation Sub-Committee

*JJIS – A Shared Information System*

Oregon Youth Authority



Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association





# Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

Mission ♦ Vision ♦ Goals ♦ Values

## JJIS Mission

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

## JJIS Vision Statement

## Goals in Support of Vision Statement

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;</li> <li>♦ Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and</li> <li>♦ Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;</li> <li>♦ Support individual case plans;</li> <li>♦ Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and</li> <li>♦ Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime;</li> <li>♦ Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination;</li> <li>♦ Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and</li> <li>♦ Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>♦ Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS;</li> <li>♦ Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;</li> <li>♦ Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital;</li> <li>♦ Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements;</li> <li>♦ Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS;</li> <li>♦ Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently;</li> <li>♦ Ensure consistent data integrity;</li> <li>♦ Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;</li> <li>♦ Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA’s Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and</li> <li>♦ Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.</li> </ul>

Revisions adopted 3/21/2012

## JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- ♦ Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- ♦ Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- ♦ Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- ♦ Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- ♦ Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.



# JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.

## Current Members (March 2013)

- Ellen Crawford, Director  
Clackamas County Juvenile Department  
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair
- Joe Ferguson, Director  
Jackson County Juvenile Department
- Tim Loewen, Director  
Yamhill County Juvenile Department
- Molly Rogers, Director  
Wasco County Juvenile Department
- Lynne Schroeder, Director  
Washington County Juvenile Department
- Jann Brown, Senior Manager  
Business Applications & Technology  
Multnomah County Department of Community Justice
- Philip Cox, Assistant Director  
Oregon Youth Authority Community Services  
JJIS Steering Committee Co-Chair
- Karen Daniels, Assistant Director  
Oregon Youth Authority Facility Operations
- Joe O'Leary, Deputy Director  
Oregon Youth Authority
- Mary Hunt, Community Corrections Coordinator  
Department of Corrections

## JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

*Serving as a standing subcommittee of the JJIS Steering Committee to:*

Contribute to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations pertaining to Oregon's juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses.

---

## JJIS Staff

- Kyriacos Kitsis, Chief Information Officer  
Oregon Youth Authority Information Systems
- Cherie Lingelbach, Manager  
JJIS Policy & Standards
- Don Crossley, Manager  
JJIS Development & Reports

---

*THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK  
FOR PURPOSES OF 2-SIDED PRINTING*

---

---

## Introduction

When the Oregon Legislature created the Oregon Youth Authority in 1995, it required that the juvenile justice community adopt a recidivism definition and statewide reporting system as a way to assess progress of juvenile crime reduction and prevention efforts. This report is published in response to that mandate.

### **Definition of recidivism for this report:**

*“As a measure of public safety, recidivism is defined as a new criminal referral.*

*A criminal referral is a law enforcement report to a juvenile department alleging one or more felony or misdemeanor acts (offenses).”*

## Contents of this report

This report contains statewide and county-specific measures of recidivism for 2011 based on the measurement of criminal activity as a gauge of community safety. The report selects youth that had a criminal referral in 2011 and tracks them for the following 12 months. In order to track all youth who had a referral in 2011 for a full 12-month period, the report requires 2012 referral data to be complete.

## Notes about the information

**Counts:** Data is grouped by the county where the referral originated. The report counts the total number of youth that had a criminal referral originating in that county in the reporting year. The report sorts the results into two primary groups:

- **No Subsequent Referrals** – youth that had no subsequent referrals during the following 12 months; and
- **Subsequent Referrals** – youth that had one or more subsequent referrals during the following 12 months. This group is further divided into two groups:
  - youth with 1-2 Subsequent Referrals;
  - youth with 3 or more Subsequent Referrals.

**Comparison to Year End Referral Reports:** Referral counts for these reports are based on felony and misdemeanor criminal referrals in 2011 and subsequent felony and misdemeanor criminal referrals during the following 12 months. The year-end Youth and Referral reports for the same year also include non-criminal violation referrals. After controlling for criminal referrals only, the referral counts may be slightly different from the 2011 Referral reports because new data entry may have occurred since publication of the Referral reports.

---

---

**Percentages:** Percentages may not add up to 100% because of rounding.

**Race and Ethnicity:** The JJIS Steering Committee defined Age and Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and Ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths' self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded Ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded Race.

### **Findings and Analysis**

- Total statewide offenders in 2011 are 13,134.
- The statewide recidivism rate is 28.5%.
- The statewide chronic recidivism rate is 4.6%.

**Cautions about the Data:** Readers are cautioned against making comparisons between counties. Many factors can influence recidivism rates including the size of the county (small numbers can vary significantly), local laws and practices, and local law enforcement resources.

Further caution is advised with respect to comparing findings of this report to data from years prior to 2001. Early data was a compilation of independent data systems where youth could not be uniquely identified as they moved between counties. Not all counties were able to participate. Additionally, prior to 2001, recidivism was computed based on the total number of criminal "offenses" potentially inflating the chronic recidivism rates. This 2011 report includes data from all 36 counties and computes recidivism based on criminal referrals rather than total offenses, providing a more accurate indication of the chronic recidivism rate.

March 2013

---

2011 Juvenile Recidivism All Juvenile Offenders By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	68	50	73.5%	18	26.5%	17	25.0%	1	1.5%
Benton	185	141	76.2%	44	23.8%	40	21.6%	4	2.2%
Clackamas	922	741	80.4%	181	19.6%	170	18.4%	11	1.2%
Clatsop	178	134	75.3%	44	24.7%	39	21.9%	5	2.8%
Columbia	171	119	69.6%	52	30.4%	40	23.4%	12	7.0%
Coos	202	158	78.2%	44	21.8%	39	19.3%	5	2.5%
Crook	136	100	73.5%	36	26.5%	32	23.5%	4	2.9%
Curry	75	55	73.3%	20	26.7%	14	18.7%	6	8.0%
Deschutes	866	592	68.4%	274	31.6%	235	27.1%	39	4.5%
Douglas	385	287	74.5%	98	25.5%	88	22.9%	10	2.6%
Gilliam	11	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%
Grant	25	20	80.0%	5	20.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%
Harney	22	18	81.8%	4	18.2%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%
Hood River	108	88	81.5%	20	18.5%	18	16.7%	2	1.9%
Jackson	920	671	72.9%	249	27.1%	195	21.2%	54	5.9%
Jefferson	129	94	72.9%	35	27.1%	31	24.0%	4	3.1%
Josephine	273	204	74.7%	69	25.3%	55	20.1%	14	5.1%
Klamath	376	280	74.5%	96	25.5%	85	22.6%	11	2.9%
Lake	14	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	4	28.6%	2	14.3%
Lane	1,199	845	70.5%	354	29.5%	298	24.9%	56	4.7%
Lincoln	141	103	73.0%	38	27.0%	33	23.4%	5	3.5%
Linn	461	319	69.2%	142	30.8%	130	28.2%	12	2.6%
Malheur	205	140	68.3%	65	31.7%	51	24.9%	14	6.8%
Marion	1,579	1,070	67.8%	509	32.2%	419	26.5%	90	5.7%
Morrow	50	33	66.0%	17	34.0%	16	32.0%	1	2.0%
Multnomah	1,727	1,213	70.2%	514	29.8%	415	24.0%	99	5.7%
Out of State	4	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	312	206	66.0%	106	34.0%	88	28.2%	18	5.8%
Sherman	6	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	111	78	70.3%	33	29.7%	30	27.0%	3	2.7%
Umatilla	369	256	69.4%	113	30.6%	87	23.6%	26	7.0%
Union	82	52	63.4%	30	36.6%	24	29.3%	6	7.3%
Wallowa	10	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	157	115	73.2%	42	26.8%	34	21.7%	8	5.1%
Washington	1,313	966	73.6%	347	26.4%	284	21.6%	63	4.8%
Wheeler	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	341	216	63.3%	125	36.7%	102	29.9%	23	6.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13,134</b>	<b>9,397</b>	<b>71.5%</b>	<b>3,737</b>	<b>28.5%</b>	<b>3,128</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>4.6%</b>

2011 Juvenile Recidivism African American By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals				
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)		
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth
Baker										
Benton	8	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	
Clackamas	43	33	76.7%	10	23.3%	9	20.9%	1	2.3%	
Clatsop	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	
Columbia	6	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	
Coos	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Crook										
Curry	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	
Deschutes	21	15	71.4%	6	28.6%	4	19.0%	2	9.5%	
Douglas	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	
Gilliam										
Grant										
Harney										
Hoold River										
Jackson	46	33	71.7%	13	28.3%	9	19.6%	4	8.7%	
Jefferson	3	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	
Josephine	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	
Klamath	13	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	8	61.5%	0	0.0%	
Lake										
Lane	61	40	65.6%	21	34.4%	16	26.2%	5	8.2%	
Lincoln										
Linn	11	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	
Malheur										
Marion	60	36	60.0%	24	40.0%	19	31.7%	5	8.3%	
Morrow	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Multnomah	535	310	57.9%	225	42.1%	174	32.5%	51	9.5%	
Out of State										
Polk	5	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	
Sherman										
Tillamook										
Umatilla	7	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	
Union										
Wallowa										
Wasco	2	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	
Washington	81	53	65.4%	28	34.6%	22	27.2%	6	7.4%	
Wheeler										
Yamhill	10	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	
Total	924	557	60.3%	367	39.7%	290	31.4%	77	8.3%	

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Asian By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker		1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	2	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	14	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clatsop	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	1	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
Coos	2	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%
Crook		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Curry									
Deschutes	8								
Douglas	3								
Gilliam									
Grant									
Harney									
Hood River									
Jackson	18	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%
Jefferson									
Josephine	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Klamath	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lake	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Lane	15	12	80.0%	3	20.0%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln									
Linn	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Malheur									
Marion	34	23	67.6%	11	32.4%	8	23.5%	3	8.8%
Morrow	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	81	65	80.2%	16	19.8%	11	13.6%	5	6.2%
Out of State									
Polk	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sherman									
Tillamook	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Umatilla	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Union	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa									
Wasco									
Washington	36	30	83.3%	6	16.7%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%
Wheeler									
Yamhill									
<b>Total</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5.7%</b>

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Caucasian By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	60	44	73.3%	16	26.7%	15	25.0%	1	1.7%
Benton	149	117	78.5%	32	21.5%	29	19.5%	3	2.0%
Clackamas	725	584	80.6%	141	19.4%	132	18.2%	9	1.2%
Clatsop	153	114	74.5%	39	25.5%	34	22.2%	5	3.3%
Columbia	133	91	68.4%	42	31.6%	32	24.1%	10	7.5%
Coos	79	54	68.4%	25	31.6%	22	27.8%	3	3.8%
Crook	86	65	75.6%	21	24.4%	19	22.1%	2	2.3%
Curry	66	49	74.2%	17	25.8%	12	18.2%	5	7.6%
Deschutes	741	503	67.9%	238	32.1%	206	27.8%	32	4.3%
Douglas	330	247	74.8%	83	25.2%	75	22.7%	8	2.4%
Gilliam	8	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%
Grant	25	20	80.0%	5	20.0%	4	16.0%	1	4.0%
Harney	15	13	86.7%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%
Hood River	49	38	77.6%	11	22.4%	9	18.4%	2	4.1%
Jackson	638	473	74.1%	165	25.9%	128	20.1%	37	5.8%
Jefferson	53	40	75.5%	13	24.5%	12	22.6%	1	1.9%
Josephine	194	140	72.2%	54	27.8%	41	21.1%	13	6.7%
Klamath	253	197	77.9%	56	22.1%	53	20.9%	3	1.2%
Lake	10	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	959	681	71.0%	278	29.0%	236	24.6%	42	4.4%
Lincoln	88	57	64.8%	31	35.2%	27	30.7%	4	4.5%
Linn	374	258	69.0%	116	31.0%	106	28.3%	10	2.7%
Malheur	75	53	70.7%	22	29.3%	19	25.3%	3	4.0%
Marion	831	578	69.6%	253	30.4%	208	25.0%	45	5.4%
Morrow	19	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	746	572	76.7%	174	23.3%	151	20.2%	23	3.1%
Out of State	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	269	176	65.4%	93	34.6%	78	29.0%	15	5.6%
Sherman	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	58	40	69.0%	18	31.0%	17	29.3%	1	1.7%
Umatilla	222	156	70.3%	66	29.7%	53	23.9%	13	5.9%
Union	45	25	55.6%	20	44.4%	15	33.3%	5	11.1%
Wallowa	7	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
Wasco	103	78	75.7%	25	24.3%	19	18.4%	6	5.8%
Washington	771	596	77.3%	175	22.7%	140	18.2%	35	4.5%
Wheeler	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	235	152	64.7%	83	35.3%	67	28.5%	16	6.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,576</b>	<b>6,250</b>	<b>72.9%</b>	<b>2,326</b>	<b>27.1%</b>	<b>1,973</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Hispanic By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	13	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%
Clackamas	87	67	77.0%	20	23.0%	19	21.8%	1	1.1%
Clatsop	18	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%
Columbia	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Coos	11	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%
Crook	10	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%
Curry	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
Deschutes	69	47	68.1%	22	31.9%	19	27.5%	3	4.3%
Douglas	14	8	57.1%	6	42.9%	6	42.9%	0	0.0%
Gilliam	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Grant									
Harney									
Hood River	54	47	87.0%	7	13.0%	7	13.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	182	126	69.2%	56	30.8%	48	26.4%	8	4.4%
Jefferson	28	19	67.9%	9	32.1%	7	25.0%	2	7.1%
Josephine	23	18	78.3%	5	21.7%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%
Klamath	54	45	83.3%	9	16.7%	7	13.0%	2	3.7%
Lake	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	102	66	64.7%	36	35.3%	32	31.4%	4	3.9%
Lincoln	9	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%
Linn	44	30	68.2%	14	31.8%	12	27.3%	2	4.5%
Malheur	126	83	65.9%	43	34.1%	32	25.4%	11	8.7%
Marion	565	370	65.5%	195	34.5%	160	28.3%	35	6.2%
Morrow	29	16	55.2%	13	44.8%	12	41.4%	1	3.4%
Multnomah	303	221	72.9%	82	27.1%	65	21.5%	17	5.6%
Out of State	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	29	22	75.9%	7	24.1%	4	13.8%	3	10.3%
Sherman									
Tillamook	29	21	72.4%	8	27.6%	6	20.7%	2	6.9%
Umatilla	110	78	70.9%	32	29.1%	22	20.0%	10	9.1%
Union	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	39	26	66.7%	13	33.3%	12	30.8%	1	2.6%
Washington	409	273	66.7%	136	33.3%	115	28.1%	21	5.1%
Wheeler									
Yamhill	49	24	49.0%	25	51.0%	21	42.9%	4	8.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,423</b>	<b>1,665</b>	<b>68.7%</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>627</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>5.4%</b>

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Native American By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	11	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	7	63.6%	0	0.0%
Clatsop									
Columbia									
Coos	7	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%
Crook	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Curry									
Deschutes	10	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%
Douglas	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Gilliam									
Grant									
Harney	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	1	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	24	15	62.5%	9	37.5%	5	20.8%	4	16.7%
Jefferson	44	33	75.0%	11	25.0%	10	22.7%	1	2.3%
Josephine	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Klamath	55	32	58.2%	23	41.8%	17	30.9%	6	10.9%
Lake									
Lane	22	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	7	31.8%	5	22.7%
Lincoln	10	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%
Linn	8	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%
Malheur	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	74	49	66.2%	25	33.8%	23	31.1%	2	2.7%
Morrow									
Multnomah	30	15	50.0%	15	50.0%	12	40.0%	3	10.0%
Out of State	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Sherman									
Tillamook									
Umatilla	22	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	8	36.4%	3	13.6%
Union	3	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%
Wallowa									
Wasco	6	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%
Washington	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Wheeler									
Yamhill									
<b>Total</b>	<b>350</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>61.4%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>38.6%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>7.4%</b>

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Other / Unknown By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
						Youth	%	Youth	%
County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	5	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
Benton	9	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%
Clackamas	42	41	97.6%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%
Clatsop	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Columbia	29	22	75.9%	7	24.1%	5	17.2%	2	6.9%
Coos	102	90	88.2%	12	11.8%	11	10.8%	1	1.0%
Crook	39	29	74.4%	10	25.6%	9	23.1%	1	2.6%
Curry	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	17	15	88.2%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%
Douglas	32	26	81.3%	6	18.8%	4	12.5%	2	6.3%
Gilliam	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Grant									
Harney	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Hood River	4	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%
Jackson	12	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Josephine	49	41	83.7%	8	16.3%	7	14.3%	1	2.0%
Klamath									
Lake	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Lane	40	36	90.0%	4	10.0%	4	10.0%	0	0.0%
Lincoln	34	33	97.1%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%
Linn	23	18	78.3%	5	21.7%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%
Malheur	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Marion	15	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%
Morrow									
Multnomah	32	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%
Out of State									
Polk	7	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%
Sherman	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	22	16	72.7%	6	27.3%	6	27.3%	0	0.0%
Umatilla	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Union	28	21	75.0%	7	25.0%	7	25.0%	0	0.0%
Wallowa	2	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	7	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Washington	12	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Wheeler									
Yamhill	47	35	74.5%	12	25.5%	11	23.4%	1	2.1%
Total	634	535	84.4%	99	15.6%	90	14.2%	9	1.4%

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Males By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
						Youth	%	Youth	%
<b>County</b>	<b>Youth</b>	<b>Youth</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Youth</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Youth</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Youth</b>	<b>%</b>
Baker	44	29	65.9%	15	34.1%	15	34.1%	0	0.0%
Benton	131	98	74.8%	33	25.2%	30	22.9%	3	2.3%
Clackamas	623	493	79.1%	130	20.9%	123	19.7%	7	1.1%
Clatsop	112	80	71.4%	32	28.6%	27	24.1%	5	4.5%
Columbia	119	79	66.4%	40	33.6%	30	25.2%	10	8.4%
Coos	134	98	73.1%	36	26.9%	31	23.1%	5	3.7%
Crook	88	63	71.6%	25	28.4%	22	25.0%	3	3.4%
Curry	54	38	70.4%	16	29.6%	10	18.5%	6	11.1%
Deschutes	580	381	65.7%	199	34.3%	171	29.5%	28	4.8%
Douglas	255	182	71.4%	73	28.6%	64	25.1%	9	3.5%
Gilliam	8	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%
Grant	18	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	4	22.2%	1	5.6%
Harney	19	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	0	0.0%
Hood River	80	63	78.8%	17	21.3%	15	18.8%	2	2.5%
Jackson	616	436	70.8%	180	29.2%	137	22.2%	43	7.0%
Jefferson	83	60	72.3%	23	27.7%	20	24.1%	3	3.6%
Josephine	184	131	71.2%	53	28.8%	41	22.3%	12	6.5%
Klamath	243	172	70.8%	71	29.2%	63	25.9%	8	3.3%
Lake	12	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%
Lane	806	549	68.1%	257	31.9%	210	26.1%	47	5.8%
Lincoln	89	65	73.0%	24	27.0%	21	23.6%	3	3.4%
Linn	319	211	66.1%	108	33.9%	98	30.7%	10	3.1%
Malheur	141	92	65.2%	49	34.8%	39	27.7%	10	7.1%
Marion	1,128	733	65.0%	395	35.0%	319	28.3%	76	6.7%
Morrow	32	18	56.3%	14	43.8%	13	40.6%	1	3.1%
Multnomah	1,152	798	69.3%	354	30.7%	284	24.7%	70	6.1%
Out of State	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	201	124	61.7%	77	38.3%	63	31.3%	14	7.0%
Sherman	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	74	47	63.5%	27	36.5%	24	32.4%	3	4.1%
Umatilla	250	166	66.4%	84	33.6%	61	24.4%	23	9.2%
Union	58	36	62.1%	22	37.9%	16	27.6%	6	10.3%
Wallowa	10	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%
Wasco	91	58	63.7%	33	36.3%	26	28.6%	7	7.7%
Washington	924	657	71.1%	267	28.9%	220	23.8%	47	5.1%
Wheeler	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Yamhill	238	145	60.9%	93	39.1%	73	30.7%	20	8.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,925</b>	<b>6,157</b>	<b>69.0%</b>	<b>2,768</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>2,284</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>5.4%</b>

2011 Juvenile Recidivism Females By County		No Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals		Subsequent Referrals			
						1 to 2		3 or More (chronic)	
		County	Youth	Youth	%	Youth	%	Youth	%
Baker	24	21	87.5%	3	12.5%	2	8.3%	1	4.2%
Benton	54	43	79.6%	11	20.4%	10	18.5%	1	1.9%
Clackamas	298	247	82.9%	51	17.1%	47	15.8%	4	1.3%
Clatsop	66	54	81.8%	12	18.2%	12	18.2%	0	0.0%
Columbia	52	40	76.9%	12	23.1%	10	19.2%	2	3.8%
Coos	68	60	88.2%	8	11.8%	8	11.8%	0	0.0%
Crook	48	37	77.1%	11	22.9%	10	20.8%	1	2.1%
Curry	21	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%
Deschutes	283	208	73.5%	75	26.5%	64	22.6%	11	3.9%
Douglas	130	105	80.8%	25	19.2%	24	18.5%	1	0.8%
Gilliam	3	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Grant	7	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Harney	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Hood River	28	25	89.3%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	0.0%
Jackson	303	234	77.2%	69	22.8%	58	19.1%	11	3.6%
Jefferson	46	34	73.9%	12	26.1%	11	23.9%	1	2.2%
Josephine	88	72	81.8%	16	18.2%	14	15.9%	2	2.3%
Klamath	133	108	81.2%	25	18.8%	22	16.5%	3	2.3%
Lake	2	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Lane	393	296	75.3%	97	24.7%	88	22.4%	9	2.3%
Lincoln	52	38	73.1%	14	26.9%	12	23.1%	2	3.8%
Linn	142	108	76.1%	34	23.9%	32	22.5%	2	1.4%
Malheur	64	48	75.0%	16	25.0%	12	18.8%	4	6.3%
Marion	451	337	74.7%	114	25.3%	100	22.2%	14	3.1%
Morrow	18	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%
Multnomah	574	414	72.1%	160	27.9%	131	22.8%	29	5.1%
Out of State	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Polk	111	82	73.9%	29	26.1%	25	22.5%	4	3.6%
Sherman	1	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Tillamook	37	31	83.8%	6	16.2%	6	16.2%	0	0.0%
Umatilla	119	90	75.6%	29	24.4%	26	21.8%	3	2.5%
Union	24	16	66.7%	8	33.3%	8	33.3%	0	0.0%
Wallowa									
Wasco	66	57	86.4%	9	13.6%	8	12.1%	1	1.5%
Washington	389	309	79.4%	80	20.6%	64	16.5%	16	4.1%
Wheeler									
Yamhill	103	71	68.9%	32	31.1%	29	28.2%	3	2.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,201</b>	<b>3,232</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>844</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>3.0%</b>