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# Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

## Mission

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

## Vision Statement

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon's state and county juvenile justice agencies.
- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.
- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.
- Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.

## Goals in Support of Vision Statement

### Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders

- Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;
- Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and
- Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.

### Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process

- Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;
- Support individual case plans;
- Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and
- Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.

### Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime

- Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime;
- Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination;
- Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and
- Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.

### Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies

- Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS;
- Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;
- Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital;
- Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements;
- Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS;
- Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently;
- Ensure consistent data integrity;
- Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;
- Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA’s Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and
- Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.

## JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDDA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.
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JJIS Steering Committee

The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets monthly to review the project and ensure that it is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of JJIS. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.
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Introduction

This document contains three sets of statewide and county specific reports describing dispositions. The first two reports describe dispositions assigned during the reporting year and the characteristics of the juveniles receiving the dispositions. The third describes categories of offenses that were assigned dispositions during the reporting year, descriptions of the dispositions that were assigned for each offense category, and the characteristics of the juveniles that committed these offenses. Sub-totals and grand totals are provided for each category. In each report, the disposition is reported by the youth’s sex, age at the time of disposition, and race. The three sets of reports are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition of Youth by Demographics</th>
<th>The number of youth that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year. Youth on the Disposition of Youth report are reported in the most intense disposition category imposed for that youth during the year in the county that imposed the disposition. The youth is counted only once on the Disposition of Youth report, regardless of the number of dispositions a youth receives during the year. If there was more than one disposition with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disposition of Referrals by Demographics</td>
<td>The number of referrals that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year. Referrals on the Disposition of Referrals report are reported in the most intense disposition category imposed for that referral during the year. If there was more than one disposition on the same referral with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposition of Referrals by Offense Category</td>
<td>The number of referrals by offense category that received a disposition by the juvenile justice system during the reporting year. The report includes felony, misdemeanor, non-criminal violation and dependency status offense referrals that received dispositions in the year. The offense category is the most serious offense associated with the most intense disposition on the referral, categorized according to Oregon Revised Statute (ORS), Criminal Code of Oregon, as defined by the Oregon Legislature. Severity is determined by each offense’s category, type, and class. (See Appendix A.) Dispositions are reported in the most intense disposition on the referral. (See Appendix B.) If there was more than one disposition on the same referral with the same intensity, the disposition with the most recent date was selected. This report also provides demographics of the youth who received dispositions including gender, age at disposition, and race/ethnicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposition Group</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dispositions of Youth by Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gilliam</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Not Petitioned</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and Close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejected by District Attorney/Juvenile Department</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Not Petitioned</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Petitioned</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjudicated Delinquent</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Petitioned</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of Youth Dispositions for Gilliam</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>%</strong></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2013 Dispositions of Referrals by Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disposition Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age @ Disposition</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>12 and Younger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gilliam</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Not Petitioned</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and Close</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejected by District Attorney/Juvenile Department</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Not Petitioned</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Petitioned</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjudicated Delinquent</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Petitioned</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of Referral Dispositions for Gilliam</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2013 Dispositions of Referrals by Offense Category

#### Gilliam

**Criminal**
- **Person**
  - **Assault**: 1
  - **Total**: 1
  - %: 100%
- **Property**
  - **Criminal Trespass**: 1
  - **Total**: 1
  - %: 25%
- **Criminal Other**
  - **Total**: 1
  - %: 0%
- **Total Criminal**: 6
  - %: 85.7%
- **Non-Criminal**
  - **Tobacco**: 1
  - **Total Non-Criminal**: 1
  - %: 14.3%
- **Total Referral Disposition for Gilliam**: 7

**Report # 00209sa**
## 2013 Dispositions of Referrals by Offense Category

### Offense Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age @ Disposition</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 and Younger</td>
<td>African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13 - 15</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 and Older</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17 and Older</td>
<td>Native American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 and Older</td>
<td>Other Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Race/Ethnicity

- White
- Other/Unknown
- Native American
- Hispanic
- Asian
- African American

### Age @ Disposition

- 12 and Younger
- 13 - 15
- 16 and Older
- 17 and Older
- 18 and Older
- 19 and Older
- 20 and Older
- 21 and Older
- 22 and Older
- 23 and Older
- 24 and Older
- 25 and Older
- 26 and Older
- 27 and Older
- 28 and Older
- 29 and Older
- 30 and Older
- 31 and Older
- 32 and Older
- 33 and Older
- 34 and Older
- 35 and Older
- 36 and Older
- 37 and Older
- 38 and Older
- 39 and Older
- 40 and Older
- 41 and Older
- 42 and Older
- 43 and Older
- 44 and Older
- 45 and Older
- 46 and Older
- 47 and Older
- 48 and Older
- 49 and Older
- 50 and Older
- 51 and Older
- 52 and Older
- 53 and Older
- 54 and Older
- 55 and Older
- 56 and Older
- 57 and Older
- 58 and Older
- 59 and Older
- 60 and Older
- 61 and Older
- 62 and Older
- 63 and Older
- 64 and Older
- 65 and Older
- 66 and Older
- 67 and Older
- 68 and Older
- 69 and Older
- 70 and Older
- 71 and Older
- 72 and Older
- 73 and Older
- 74 and Older
- 75 and Older
- 76 and Older
- 77 and Older
- 78 and Older
- 79 and Older
- 80 and Older
- 81 and Older
- 82 and Older
- 83 and Older
- 84 and Older
- 85 and Older
- 86 and Older
- 87 and Older
- 88 and Older
- 89 and Older
- 90 and Older
- 91 and Older
- 92 and Older
- 93 and Older
- 94 and Older
- 95 and Older
- 96 and Older
- 97 and Older
- 98 and Older
- 99 and Older
- 100 and Older

### Sex

- Males
- Females
- Undetermined

### Report #

00209sa
## Appendix A — JJIS Severity Scores
(adopted, Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association, May 18, 2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crime Category</th>
<th>Class &amp; Type</th>
<th>Severity Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Murder*</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>A Felony</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>B Felony</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>C Felony</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>U Felony</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)</td>
<td>A Felony</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)</td>
<td>B Felony</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)</td>
<td>C Felony</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (Behavioral)</td>
<td>U Felony</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>A Misdemeanor</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>B Misdemeanor</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>C Misdemeanor</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>U Misdemeanor</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)</td>
<td>A Misdemeanor</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)</td>
<td>B Misdemeanor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)</td>
<td>C Misdemeanor</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Other Criminal (currently named Behavioral)</td>
<td>U Misdemeanor</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Criminal</td>
<td>Violation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Status Offense</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Aggravated Murder, Murder, Murder by Abuse, Murder in the Course of a Crime, Murder Intentional, Criminal Homicide, and Treason have the Type of Murder (instead of Felony), in order to obtain the highest severity score.

** Inchoates:
Attempts and Solicitations = 1 Class lower
Conspiracies = Same Class and Type
Appendix B — Notes About the Information

Rounding: Percentages may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

Statewide Youth and Referral Counts: Youth may have received a disposition in more than one county. On the Statewide Disposition of Youth report, the youth is counted only once on the report in the county that imposed the most intense disposition. The Disposition of Referrals report counts all referrals disposed in the county that imposed the disposition.

County: The county reported is the county responsible for assigning the youth’s disposition. If the county was recorded on the disposition it was selected as the responsible county.

Race and Ethnicity: The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on best practices and available data. Race and Ethnicity may be as recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths’ self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded Ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of recorded Race. There are several efforts underway in the state to standardize reporting of race and ethnicity. Consequently, the categories of Race/Ethnicity used in this report may change in the future.

Disposition Categories: These reports categorize dispositions according to a standard developed by the JJIS Data and Evaluation Committee, and modeled after national reporting standards. Detailed dispositions have been grouped into the disposition reporting categories.

For all reports, dispositions are grouped into categories consistent with national reporting categories as follows. Categories are listed from least to most intense level of juvenile justice intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not Petitioned</th>
<th>Review and Close</th>
<th>Adjudicated Delinquent</th>
<th>Petitioned</th>
<th>Adult Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Jurisdiction</td>
<td>Referred to Another Agency</td>
<td>Formal Sanction</td>
<td>Waived/Transfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review &amp; Close</td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adult Sentence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warning</td>
<td>Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divert &amp; Close</td>
<td>Probation and Commit/Custody to Other Agency (Non-Youth Authority)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intake Office Contact &amp; Close</td>
<td>Probation and Youth Authority Commitment for Community Placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rejected by DA/Juvenile Department</td>
<td>Youth Authority Commitment for Youth Correctional Facility Placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized Diversion Programs or Other Informal Disposition</td>
<td>Diversion Supervision</td>
<td>Informal Sanction(s)/ Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diversion – Youth Court</td>
<td>Formal Accountability Agreement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diversion – Traffic/Municipal Court</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Informal Sanction(s)/ Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dispositions Included on the Report:

- Dispositions that were assigned in the year. (Note: The referrals on which these dispositions have been imposed may have been received prior to the reporting year.)

- Dispositions for referrals that contain allegations of criminal offenses; violations; and dependency status offenses of runaway, beyond parental control, and behavior to endanger self or others. Dispositions for referrals for all other dependency matters and local ordinances are excluded from these reports, except as noted below.

- Disposition and Offense Categories: Dispositions are reported in the most intense disposition on the referral. The offense category is the most serious offense associated with the most intense disposition on the referral. Referral offenses may change between referral and disposition. If a referral contained a qualifying allegation that was dismissed and a local ordinance that was assigned a more intense disposition, the report will display local ordinance as the most serious offense. However, as noted above, if a referral contained only a local ordinance, it is excluded from this report.

**Example 1 - Referral with One Offense**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Allegation</th>
<th>Most Serious Referral Allegation</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
<th>Most Intense Disposition</th>
<th>Most Serious Disposed Allegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burglary 1 - (Property)</td>
<td>Burglary 1 - (Property)</td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>Burglary 1 - (Property)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 2 - Referral with Two Offenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Allegations</th>
<th>Most Serious Referral Allegation</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
<th>Most Intense Disposition</th>
<th>Most Serious Disposed Allegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burglary 1 - (Property)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>Burglary 1 - (Property)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault 1 – (Person)</td>
<td>Assault 1 – (Person)</td>
<td>Dismissed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 3 - Referral with Two Offenses and a Local Ordinance Violation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referral Allegations</th>
<th>Most Serious Referral Allegation</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
<th>Most Intense Disposition</th>
<th>Most Serious Disposed Allegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assault 4 - (Person)</td>
<td>Assault 4 - (Person)</td>
<td>Dismissed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runaway – (Dependency Status )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Referred to Another Agency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuisance Violation (Local Ordinance)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Formal Accountability Agreement</td>
<td>Formal Accountability Agreement</td>
<td>Nuisance Violation (Local Ordinance)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cautions:** Disposition data is challenging to present for the following reasons.

- A youth may receive more than one disposition for the same referral. Different allegations on the same referral occasionally receive different dispositions.
- A referral may be disposed of with a less intense disposition because another related referral is disposed of with a more intense disposition.
- A disposition may change over time. A disposition may be replaced with a more intense disposition following non-compliance with the initial disposition (i.e., probation violation).
- A youth may receive more intensive dispositions if he/she is a repeat offender.

These reports examine the interrelationship between different referrals, types of offenses and judicial and juvenile department dispositions.