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### JJIS Mission

To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

### JJIS Vision Statement

#### Goals in Support of Vision Statement

- Provides a comprehensive view of information about juvenile offenders across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies.
  - Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners;
  - Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about juvenile offenders in their agency; and
  - Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.

- Provides comprehensive support for managing individual juvenile offender cases and tracking juveniles through the justice process.
  - Support the assessment of risks and needs of juvenile offenders;
  - Support individual case plans;
  - Track juveniles through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and
  - Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.

- Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce juvenile crime.
  - Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing juvenile crime;
  - Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination;
  - Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and
  - Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.

- Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.
  - Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS;
  - Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS;
  - Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital;
  - Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements;
  - Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS;
  - Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently;
  - Ensure consistent data integrity;
  - Ensure consistent training of JJIS users;
  - Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA’s Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and
  - Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.

### JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA, OJDAA, and external partners with an interest in juvenile justice serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.
JJ IS Steering Committee

The JJ IS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJ IS project. It meets regularly to ensure that JJ IS is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of the JJ IS partnership. The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.
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JJ IS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

The JJ IS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee is a standing committee of the JJ IS Steering Committee, contributing to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations regarding the use of data. The subcommittee supports the juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine and annual reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses.
Introduction

Oregon’s juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety, ensure justice for victims, and prevent youth from repeating criminal behavior. The use of detention is one key aspect of the juvenile justice system. This document contains statewide and county specific reports describing admissions to and releases from juvenile detention facilities. This is a youth report, not a facility report.

There are three statewide reports and three for each county. The three reports are:

**Detention Admissions Reason by Demographics**
A unique count of admissions to a detention facility during the year, by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility and demographic characteristic (gender, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth being admitted.

**Detention Length of Stay by Demographics**
For each detention release during the year, the length of time spent in the facility (length of stay) by demographic characteristic (gender, age, and race/ethnicity) of the youth. (Note: The associated “admission” may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

**Detention Length of Stay by Admission Reason**
For each detention release during the year, the number of days the youth spent in the facility (length of stay) by the reason the youth was admitted to the facility. (Note: The associated “admission” may have occurred in a prior reporting year.)

Each report divides Admission Reasons and Length of Stay into Pre-Adjudicatory, Post Adjudicatory, and Warrant or Other. Pre-adjudication admission reasons are further grouped by juvenile admissions and automatic transfers to adult court (e.g. Measure 11 offenses). Percentages, sub-totals and grand totals are provided for each category.

Notes about the information

**Admissions:** An Admission is an entry into a detention facility during the reporting year. It is possible for a youth to have more than one admission in the reporting year.

**Length of Stay:** Length of Stay is computed by subtracting the admission date from the release date. If a youth is admitted and released on the same day, the Length of Stay is one day. Length of Stay is only computed for youth who have been released from a detention facility. The Admission may have occurred in a prior reporting year.

**Comparing the three reports:** It is not possible to compare the Admission report to the Length of Stay report. The Admission report is based on Admissions during the reporting year. The Length of Stay report is based on Releases during the year. Youth on the Length of Stay report may have been admitted in a prior reporting year.

**Reporting Year:** The calendar year is used for the reporting period.
**County:** This is a youth report, not a facility report. Youth are counted in the county that had jurisdiction over the youth at the time the detention reports were produced.

**Race and Ethnicity:** The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on available data. Race is recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths’ self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of race.

**Gender:** The option for reporting gender is currently binary in JJIS, either male or female. There are current state and national discussions about new ways to document gender that are respectful and inclusive of all. The JJIS Steering Committee will continue to engage in those discussions and make updates in this area as available.

The JJIS Steering Committee recognizes that race, ethnicity, and gender reporting in JJIS are not inclusive of all and may not accurately represent everyone, due in part to a need to comply with federal reporting requirements. We respect all individuals, but also acknowledge and regret we cannot capture how individuals may self-identify in these areas.

Note: Many county juvenile detention facilities operate Detention Based Youth Care Centers within their detention facilities. Admission statistics for Detention Based Youth Care Centers are not included with these reports.

March 2017
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admission Reason</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at Admission</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Adjudicatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Law Violation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation Violation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pre Adjudicatory</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Admissions for Grant</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant % of Statewide Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 2016 Detention Length of Stay by Demographics

### Grant - Current Jurisdiction

#### Pre Adjudicatory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Days of Custody</th>
<th>Average LOS</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>&lt;= 12</th>
<th>13 - 15</th>
<th>16 - 17</th>
<th>18+</th>
<th>African Am.</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Native Am.</th>
<th>Other/Ethnic</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-BM11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 3 Days</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 8 Days</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-BM11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days of Custody</th>
<th>Total Pre Adjudicatory</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>African Am.</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Native Am.</th>
<th>Other/Ethnic</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Admissions for Grant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days of Custody</th>
<th>Total Admissions for Grant</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>African Am.</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Native Am.</th>
<th>Other/Ethnic</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Grant % of Statewide Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days of Custody</th>
<th>Grant % of Statewide Total</th>
<th>African Am.</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Native Am.</th>
<th>Other/Ethnic</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report # 00220a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admission Reason</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Length of Stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 - 3 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Adjudicatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Law Violation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation Violation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pre Adjudicatory</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre Adjudicatory % of County Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Admissions for Grant</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant % of Statewide Total</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>