Juvenile Justice Information System Steering Committee

**Mission ♦ Vision ♦ Goals ♦ Values**

### JJIS Mission
To promote public safety and youth accountability, and to offer opportunities for rehabilitation to youth, through the development and sustainability of a statewide juvenile justice information system.

### JJIS Vision Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provides a comprehensive view of information about youth across Oregon’s state and county juvenile justice agencies.</th>
<th>Sustain JJIS as a statewide juvenile justice information system that supports the partnership of the OYA, the 36 county juvenile departments, and approved external partners; Sustain JJIS as the primary information system used by the OYA and county juvenile departments to manage and report information about youth in their agency; and Enhance electronic access to data among users, partners and stakeholders.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provides comprehensive support for managing individual youth cases and tracking youth through the justice process.</td>
<td>Support the assessment of risks and needs of youth; Support individual case plans; Track youth through the entire justice process so that individual status, program involvement, progress, and outcomes can be determined; and Expand provider/partner access to individual youth records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides the capacity for and aids in the overall planning, development, and evaluation of programs designed to reduce youth crime.</td>
<td>Provide data and information to evaluate the benefit of programs aimed at reducing youth crime; Expand the capacity of JJIS for efficient data collection, analysis, and dissemination; Provide data to researchers and incorporate new research and evidence into policy and practice; and Identify and implement standardized outcome indicators that measure investment return, including recidivism, positive youth outcomes and other appropriate indicators tied to specific criminogenic risk factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognizes and supports the common business needs of juvenile justice partnership agencies.</td>
<td>Provide a statewide standard for entry of information into JJIS; Maintain confidentiality and protection of information contained in JJIS; Maintain the energy and enthusiasm of the Steering Committee and the partner agencies needed to keep JJIS vital; Seek opportunities to support business practice changes and respond to emerging business requirements; Cultivate innovative and forward thinking solutions to improve JJIS; Continue to prioritize and manage JJIS resources efficiently; Ensure consistent data integrity; Ensure consistent training of JJIS users; Ensure continuity of knowledge of both OYA and county juvenile department business practices within OYA’s Information System Department to support leadership and data integrity; and Create and implement a JJIS Steering Committee Communication Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### JJIS Partnership Values

Representatives of the OYA and OJDDA serve on the JJIS Steering Committee and form the JJIS partnership. The JJIS partnership:

- Represents the best interests of Oregon’s juvenile justice system as a whole;
- Is entered into in good faith by all parties with integrity and honesty, and in the spirit of mutual support and collaboration;
- Promotes the ethical use of JJIS information and uses the data with respect, professionalism, and sensitivity toward the partners whose data is represented in the information;
- Adopts and maintains the JJIS Vision and Goals, keeping them current with juvenile justice needs;
- Uses the Vision and Goals as guiding principles for JJIS decision making.

Revisions adopted 9/20/2017
The JJIS Steering Committee provides oversight to the JJIS project. It meets regularly to ensure that JJIS is on task to accomplish the vision and goals of the JJIS partnership.

The Steering Committee prioritizes the development of software features, makes policy decisions, and allocates resources to the project.
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JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee

The JJIS Data and Evaluation Subcommittee is a standing committee of the JJIS Steering Committee, contributing to local and statewide research initiatives, program and system evaluations, and policy recommendations regarding the use of data. The subcommittee supports the juvenile justice system by ensuring consistency, accuracy and appropriateness of the data, guiding the development of routine and annual reports and statistics, and interpreting relevant data analyses.
Introduction

Oregon’s juvenile justice community is committed to providing effective and efficient services to promote public safety, ensure justice for victims, and prevent youth from repeating criminal behavior. This report includes all services and interventions tracked by the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA) and all state Basic and Diversion funded treatment programs provided by county juvenile departments. Additionally, some counties have chosen to track services funded by other sources, including services provided internally by the juvenile department. County Juvenile Departments and OYA provide services and programs to youth as a way to effect change in behavior.

The goals of this report are to answer two basic questions:

- How many youth participated in certain types of services?
- What proportion of participants completed the services?

The reporting of the services provided and completed by youth is one way to review and determine the impact those services have on juvenile crime.

Contents of this report

This document contains statewide and county-specific data regarding the number of youth receiving various types of services and the completion status for service episodes closed during the reporting year. Services are open and closed throughout a youth’s supervision with a juvenile justice agency. This data represents service closures rather than case closures.

There are three sections to the report, including: Number of Youth; Number of Services Closed; and Demographics.

**Number of Youth**

The total number of youth receiving services closed during the year listed by specific program types.

**Number of Services Closed**

The total number of Service episodes closed during the year, listed by Completion Status.

**Demographics**

The total number and percentages of males and females and racial/ethnic groups of youth receiving services closed during the year listed by specific program types.

Services are grouped by Program Type.

Notes about the information

**Overall Criteria**: Only services closed during the year are counted. Youth who are still active in a service at the close of the year are not counted in this data. Services may have started in a prior reporting year. Reporting on closed services provides a more complete picture than reporting on services that may still be in progress and allows the opportunity to report on completion status.
**Rounding:** Totals are rounded to the nearest whole number and therefore may not add up to 100%.

**Number of Youth:** The unduplicated number of youth who had service episodes closed during the year in each of the Program Type categories. Youth may be counted in more than one Program Type.

**Total Number of Services:** The total number of service episodes closed during the year. Youth may have more than one closed service episode in the same Program Type during the year.

**Primary Agency:** Based on Primary Worker associated with the office that had jurisdiction or custody of the youth the most number of days during the service episode.

**Race and Ethnicity:** The JJIS Steering Committee defined Race/Ethnicity reporting categories based on available data. Race is recorded by the juvenile department based on police reports and youths’ self-reporting. These reports categorize youth by the race that is recorded in JJIS unless the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic. When the recorded ethnicity is Hispanic, the youth is categorized as Hispanic, regardless of race.

**Gender:** The option for reporting gender is currently binary in JJIS, either male or female. There are current state and national discussions about new ways to document gender that are respectful and inclusive of all. The JJIS Steering Committee will continue to engage in those discussions and make updates in this area as available.

The JJIS Steering Committee recognizes that race, ethnicity, and gender reporting in JJIS are not inclusive of all and may not accurately represent everyone, due in part to a need to comply with federal reporting requirements. We respect all individuals, but also acknowledge and regret we cannot capture how individuals may self-identify in these areas.

### Limitations of the Data

The data displayed in this report is reflective of service tracking practices each agency has implemented. Some counties are only tracking Juvenile Crime Prevention and state funded Basic and Diversion services in JJIS so this data may not represent all services that a particular county provides. Consequently, while the reporting framework is complete, the data for county juvenile departments is not to be used for research, evaluation, or comparison across counties.

The report pulls programs that have been entered in one of three ways in JJIS:

- Services
- Programs attached to Conditions
- Case Plan Interventions

There are times when services are entered in more than one location, resulting in multiple records related to the same service which can have different start and end dates and different completion statuses. The information from the three different data entry methods for program data listed above is summarized in order to account for services tracked in more than one way.

*Most of the Multnomah County service data is provided from a source other than JJIS.

March 2018
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Service Episodes</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton Juv. Dept</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Youth Services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Related</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL for Benton Juv. Dept</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated Youth Count</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Type</td>
<td>Service Episodes</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Demographics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unduplicated Youth Coun</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>