

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Executive Summary | i |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Purpose | 1 |
| Statutory Basis | 1 |
| Challenges..... | 1 |
| Defining Recidivism | 1 |
| Analyzing the Data..... | 2 |
| Basic Research Addressed..... | 2 |
| Criminal Referrals Defined | 3 |
| Recidivism Measured | 3 |
| Referral Rate..... | 3 |
| Frequency | 3 |
| Chronic Offender Defined | 4 |
| Data Source Information | 4 |
| Target Population | 4 |
| Data Limitations | 4 |
| Statewide Data - Tables and Charts | |
| Statewide Results..... | 5 |
| Statewide Juvenile Recidivism | 6 |
| Statewide Indications..... | 7 |
| Statewide Indications by Year | 8 |
| Prior Referrals..... | 10 |
| Offenders' Age | 12 |
| Control for Offenders Age 16 and Under | 13 |
| Issues by Gender | 14 |
| Oregon's At-Risk Youth | 16 |
| Race/Ethnicity..... | 17 |
| Severity | 28 |
| Severity Scale | 29 |
| Statewide Juvenile Recidivism – Referrals and Offenses Compared | 30 |
| County Juvenile Recidivism Reports | 33 |

Table of Tables & Charts

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| Table 1 | 1996-2000 Offenders, New Criminal Offenders..... | 6 |
| Table 2 | 1996-2000 Offenders – Prior Criminal Referrals | 10 |
| Table 3 | 1996-2000 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals as a Function of Prior Criminal Referrals... | 10 |
| Table 4 | 1996-2000 Offenders – Race/Ethnicity | 17 |
| Table 5 | 1996-2000 Offenders – African American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 18 |
| Table 6 | 1996-2000 Offenders – Asian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals..... | 20 |
| Table 7 | 1996-2000 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 22 |
| Table 8 | 1996-2000 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 24 |
| Table 9 | 1996-2000 Offenders – Native American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 26 |
| Table 10 | Severity by Crime Classification – 1996-2000 Averages | 28 |
| Table 11 | 1998 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals/Offenses | 30 |
| <hr/> | | |
| Chart 1 | 1996-2000 Offender Recidivism | 7 |
| Chart 2 | 1996-2000 Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals..... | 7 |
| Chart 3 | 1996 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals | 8 |
| Chart 4 | 1997 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals | 8 |
| Chart 5 | 1998 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals | 9 |
| Chart 6 | 1999 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals | 9 |
| Chart 7 | 2000 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals | 9 |
| Chart 8 | 1996-2000 Offender Averages – New Crime by Prior Referrals..... | 11 |
| Chart 9 | Offenders Who Did Not Re-Offend..... | 11 |
| Chart 10 | Offenders with One Subsequent Referral | 11 |
| Chart 11 | Offenders with Two Subsequent Referrals..... | 11 |
| Chart 12 | Offenders with Three or More Subsequent Referrals | 11 |
| Chart 13 | 1996-2000 Age Comparison of Offenders Who Did Not Re-Offend..... | 12 |
| Chart 14 | 1996-2000 Recidivism of Offenders Age 16 and Under..... | 13 |
| Chart 15 | 1996-2000 Averages – New Criminal Referrals, Offenders Age 16 and Under | 13 |
| Chart 16 | 1996-2000 Female Offender Recidivism..... | 14 |
| Chart 17 | 1996-2000 Female Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals..... | 14 |
| Chart 18 | 1996-2000 Male Offender Recidivism | 15 |
| Chart 19 | 1996-2000 Male Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals | 15 |
| Chart 20 | Comparison of 2000 Offender Categories to Total Youth Population | 16 |
| Chart 21 | 1996-2000 Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals by Race/Ethnicity | 17 |
| Chart 22 | 1996 Offenders – African American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 18 |

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| Chart 23 | 1997 Offenders – African American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 19 |
| Chart 24 | 1998 Offenders – African American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 19 |
| Chart 25 | 1999 Offenders – African American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 19 |
| Chart 26 | 2000 Offenders – African American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 19 |
| Chart 27 | 1996 Offenders – Asian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals..... | 20 |
| Chart 28 | 1997 Offenders – Asian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals..... | 21 |
| Chart 29 | 1998 Offenders – Asian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals..... | 21 |
| Chart 30 | 1999 Offenders – Asian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals..... | 21 |
| Chart 31 | 2000 Offenders – Asian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals..... | 21 |
| Chart 32 | 1996 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 22 |
| Chart 33 | 1997 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 23 |
| Chart 34 | 1998 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 23 |
| Chart 35 | 1999 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 23 |
| Chart 36 | 2000 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 23 |
| Chart 37 | 1996 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 24 |
| Chart 38 | 1997 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 25 |
| Chart 39 | 1998 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 25 |
| Chart 40 | 1999 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 25 |
| Chart 41 | 2000 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 25 |
| Chart 42 | 1996 Offenders – Native American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 26 |
| Chart 43 | 1997 Offenders – Native American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 27 |
| Chart 44 | 1998 Offenders – Native American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 27 |
| Chart 45 | 1999 Offenders – Native American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 27 |
| Chart 46 | 2000 Offenders – Native American Offenders, New Criminal Referrals | 27 |
| Chart 47 | 1998 Offender Recidivism – Referrals and Offenses Compared | 31 |
| Chart 48 | 1998 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals and Offenses Compared | 31 |

Statewide Juvenile Recidivism Report

Oregon 2002

Executive Summary

Oregon piloted the first report on juvenile recidivism in 1999. Data were gathered from 22 of Oregon's 36 counties using local data systems. Since that initial report, the Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) was implemented. JJIS is Oregon's statewide, integrated information system. With most counties converting their historical data to JJIS, the 2001 report was representative of data from 32 counties. With data conversion nearly complete, this 2002 report represents all 36 Oregon counties and covers the years 1996 through 2000.

Recidivism Measurement Then and Now

Recidivism measurement in the 1999 report was limited because the data came from independent systems and could not uniquely identify youth as they moved between counties. Therefore, recidivism could not be measured across jurisdictions. With JJIS as the primary data source for the 2001 and 2002 reports, recidivism measurements now recognize all new crimes even when they occur outside the county of the initial crime.

Prior to this year's report, recidivism (defined in Oregon as a new criminal referral) was measured at the "offense" level. Offenses represent the particular crimes of a single incident as documented in a law enforcement referral. Because a single crime incident often involves reporting multiple offenses, this method of counting can exaggerate the report reader's perception of the extent that youth are involved in subsequent criminal activity. The 2002 report measures recidivism at the "referral" level. Note that counting by referrals rather than offenses *does not* affect the basic recidivism statistic relating to the proportion of youth that re-offend.

Findings

The 2002 Juvenile Recidivism Report analyzes five years of youth referral data – 1996 through 2000. Each year of data chronicles the same themes:


- A majority of offenders do not re-offend within twelve months.
- A small proportion of offenders commit the majority of new crimes.
- Offenders with multiple referrals are more likely to re-offend.

The study gathered data on more than 20,000 youth offenders in each of the survey years. Recidivism statistics were developed based on tracking an offender for twelve months after an initial referral. In each year of the five-year period, more than 60 percent of offenders did not re-offend. Beginning in 1997, when 61.7 percent of offenders did not re-offend, each of the next three years show improvement. By 2000, 65.2 percent of offenders did not recidivate.


In contrast, "chronic re-offending" is closely associated with the recidivate population. Chronic juvenile offenders are defined as those offenders who have three or more law enforcement referrals to a juvenile department within twelve months. The data in each of the five years document the severity of the problem. While chronic offenders represent only 9.2 percent of offenders, this population is responsible for 54.4 percent of all new criminal incidents. The

variation year-to-year is minimal – 1999 is the lowest at 51.6 percent; 1996 is the highest at 57.0 percent.

The chart below illustrates the findings. It represents the five-year offender averages for new criminal referrals. Note that under “no new crime,” 63.2 percent of offenders did not re-offend. However at the other extreme, the 9.2 percent of youth characterized as chronic offenders are involved in 54.4 percent of new crimes. For perspective, these chronically offending youth represent 0.4 percent of Oregon’s youth in the 10-17 age group.



Reinforcing the chronic offender data are new statistics relating to the number of prior referrals. As was intuitively understood before, the data demonstrate a correlation between prior referrals and the probability that offenders will re-offend. The chart below shows that offenders with no new crimes tend to be youth that had no prior referrals. Conversely, offenders with multiple prior referrals are more likely to be involved in subsequent criminal activity.



The report contains additional statistical analyses with respect to age, gender and ethnicity. Readers are cautioned against making gross comparisons between local jurisdictions, however, since local charging practices and policies, law enforcement resources, and other factors can influence data. Further caution is advised with respect to comparing findings from the 2002 report with previous reports. The current report measures recidivism across jurisdictions based on law enforcement referrals.

Introduction

Purpose of this report

July 1, 1995, heralded the beginning of significant change for Oregon's juvenile justice system. With the passage of Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), Oregon reiterated its commitment to protecting the public by investing in an accountability-based juvenile system designed to reduce juvenile delinquency and prevent further criminal activity.

As a means to assess progress of juvenile crime reduction and prevention efforts, SB 1 required that the juvenile justice community adopt a recidivism definition and statewide reporting system applicable to juvenile delinquency issues. This report is published in response to that mandate.

Statutory basis

Senate Bill 1 (1995) was Oregon's catalyst for creating a statewide, standardized definition of juvenile recidivism. Section 128 of SB 1 (codified in ORS 420A.012) established the mandate that the Oregon Youth Authority (OYA), in consultation with the State Commission on Children and Families (CCF) and the Oregon Juvenile Department Directors' Association (OJDDA), adopt a recidivism definition, develop a statewide reporting system, and publish annual recidivism reports.

Challenges of this report

Oregon is one of the first states in the nation to develop a common definition for measuring repeat juvenile crime. This has been no small task, however. Historically, agreeing on a recidivism definition has been elusive for both researchers and practitioners in the criminal justice community. There has been little agreement on the definition, and there has been less agreement on ways to accurately measure it. Researchers and practitioners alike typically create definitions based on what they want to learn about juvenile crime (e.g., measurements of violations, etc.). While these are all valid methods, the lack of consensus on a single definition and, therefore, a lack of consistency in approach, has rendered it impossible to make comparisons across studies and jurisdictions.

Defining recidivism

In the first year following passage of SB1, the OYA convened a Recidivism Task Force comprised of representatives from the State CCF, OJDDA and OYA to develop Oregon's juvenile recidivism definition. Using SB 1 as the guiding protocol, the task force adopted a definition which considers measurements of both public safety (criminal arrests) and risk behaviors displayed by youth (status offenses). Oregon's definition of juvenile recidivism is as follows:

Definition

As a measure of public safety, recidivism is defined as a new criminal referral. A referral is a law enforcement report to a juvenile department alleging one or more felony and / or misdemeanor acts (offenses). Measurement of recidivism includes the rate and severity of new crimes and other relevant factors.

This recidivism report is based on the measurement of *criminal* activity as a gauge of community safety. The recidivism definition refers to new criminal *referrals* as the measurement criteria. Note that in the 1999 pilot report and the 2001 report, referrals were counted by their components – offenses. Offenses are the specific charges of a law enforcement referral (by definition, a referral contains at least one offense).

Analyzing the data

The 2002 Juvenile Recidivism Report is based on the population of youth with criminal referrals in the years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000. All delinquent youth with a documented criminal referral during this period are included in the study. “Recidivism” is based on the occurrence of new referrals within twelve months of an initial referral.

Example: if a youth had criminal referrals on March 1, 1996, March 31, 1997, and June 1, 1997, s/he became part of this report. In this instance s/he is among the cohort of offenders represented in the 1996 and 1997 data. For the purposes of measuring recidivism in the 1996 cohort, the March 1, 1996 referral is identified as the initial (and only) 1996 referral. As no subsequent referrals are noted in the following twelve months, this youth is counted with the 1996 offender population that *did not* recidivate. In analyzing for the 1997 offender cohort, this youth shows two relevant referrals. The March 31, 1997, referral occurs first and is identified as the initial referral. Tracking for twelve months from the date of the initial referral reveals one additional referral on June 1, 1997. Therefore, this same youth is also counted with the 1997 offender population that recidivated (one new referral).

Basic research addressed

This juvenile recidivism report answers the following basic research question: of all juvenile offenders with a criminal referral during the years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999 and 2000, how many had subsequent criminal referrals within twelve months, and how many referrals did they have?

Publication of this report in 2002 means that recidivism measurements for offenders after the year 2000 are not possible. Data for measuring 2001 offender recidivism must be complete through the end of 2002.

“Criminal referrals” defined

Prior to this year’s report, recidivism was measured at the criminal “offense” level. Offenses represent the particular crimes of a single incident as documented in a law enforcement referral. Because a single crime incident often involves reporting multiple offenses, this method of counting can exaggerate the report reader’s perception of the extent that youth are involved in subsequent criminal activity.

At the request of the OJDDA and OYA, the 2002 report calculates recidivism at the referral level. Every referral containing at least one criminal offense (criminal offenses do not include runaways, status offenses, or violations) is considered “criminal” and therefore is included in the recidivism database.

Note that counting by referrals rather than offenses *does not* affect the basic recidivism statistic relating to the proportion of youth that re-offend.

Recidivism measured

Oregon’s juvenile recidivism is measured by the frequency of criminal behavior (new or subsequent referrals) and includes a referral rate.

Referral Rate

The referral rate represents the average number of new referrals per offender after an initial referral. Two rates are identified in the report. There is an overall rate for the entire group and a rate for a “chronic” group. The chronic group includes youth with three or more referrals subsequent to an initial referral.

Frequency

Because the simple referral rate is based on an average of re-referrals, it can be greatly influenced by extreme cases (e.g., youth with a high number of new referrals).

Frequency distributions are provided in this report to illustrate the number of subsequent referrals by offenders (e.g., youth who had no new referrals, one new referral, two new referrals...five new referrals, etc.). The distribution should be used in conjunction with the referral rate to obtain a more accurate description of juvenile recidivism.

“Chronic offender” defined

Chronic juvenile offenders are those offenders who have three or more subsequent referrals within twelve months of an initial referral. The data indicate that a small group of youth were involved in most of the new referrals.

As previously noted, the 2002 report measures recidivism at the referral level rather than by offense (see “criminal referrals defined”). The principal effect of this change from previous reports is that many of the youth who would have been reported as chronic offenders are now reflected in the counts for youth who have one or two referrals.

Data source information

The Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) is the primary data source for the report. JJIS was implemented in July 1999. Represented within its database are current and historical county offender referral records. At present, 35 of Oregon’s 36 counties use JJIS. Data from the county not served by JJIS – Multnomah – were converted for inclusion in the recidivism database.

Target population

The 1999 pilot report measured recidivism in 22 counties. The 2001 report represented the universe of criminal offenders in 32 of Oregon’s 36 counties. The 2002 Juvenile Recidivism Report represents all 36 counties.

Because each youth is uniquely identified within JJIS, recidivism measurement includes *all* referrals, even when they occur outside the county of the initial referral.

Data limitations

While this report includes an analysis of recidivism based on the statewide juvenile recidivism definitions, it does not include an analysis of environmental and historical factors which may influence those data.

Local charging practices and policies, law enforcement resources, and other factors can all influence the number of juveniles referred to juvenile departments for acts of delinquency. Because of these differences, readers are cautioned against making absolute inferences from the data.

Statewide results

Oregon's 2002 Statewide Report on Juvenile Recidivism documents recidivism of all offenders for the years 1996 through 2000. Data for each of the years are remarkably similar. On average, 22,417 offenders (less than 5 percent of youth in the 10-17 age group) committed a crime per year. A sizable majority of offenders from that population – 63.2 percent – *did not* commit a subsequent offense within twelve months.

Data in this report confirm what is intuitively understood about juvenile crime. A small proportion of offenders commit a majority of crimes. For the five-year period, 54.4 percent of new crime is attributed to 9.2 percent of offenders. The data also show a correlation between prior law enforcement referrals and ongoing criminality. Offenders with multiple prior referrals are more likely to be involved in subsequent criminal activity.

The analysis further indicates that the ratio of offenders who do not commit new crimes varies among subgroups. The reader is encouraged to review these differences as documented in the report detail by gender, age and ethnicity.

Statewide juvenile recidivism

Table 1 is the overview data on juvenile recidivism for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

**Table 1: 1996 – 2000 Offenders
New Criminal Referrals**

| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 15,176 | 14,474 | 14,075 | 13,310 | 13,775 | 62.7 | 61.7 | 63.1 | 63.4 | 65.2 |
| 1 | 4,631 | 4,532 | 4,384 | 4,148 | 4,102 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 19.4 |
| 2 | 1,956 | 2,077 | 1,833 | 1,763 | 1,551 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 7.3 |
| 3 | 1,050 | 1,019 | 880 | 787 | 725 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.4 |
| 4 | 544 | 535 | 491 | 404 | 431 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 5 | 306 | 320 | 248 | 242 | 222 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 6 & Over | 529 | 487 | 400 | 353 | 323 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS | 24,192 | 23,444 | 22,311 | 21,007 | 21,129 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 4,631 | 4,532 | 4,384 | 4,148 | 4,102 | 23.3 | 22.8 | 25.1 | 26.2 | 27.3 |
| 2 | 3,912 | 4,154 | 3,666 | 3,526 | 3,102 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 21.0 | 22.2 | 20.6 |
| 3 | 3,150 | 3,057 | 2,640 | 2,361 | 2,175 | 15.9 | 15.4 | 15.1 | 14.9 | 14.5 |
| 4 | 2,176 | 2,140 | 1,964 | 1,616 | 1,724 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 11.5 |
| 5 | 1,530 | 1,600 | 1,240 | 1,210 | 1,110 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| 6 & Over | 4472 | 4375 | 3549 | 3001 | 2831 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 20.4 | 18.9 | 18.8 |
| TOTALS | 19,871 | 19,858 | 17,443 | 15,862 | 15,044 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 431 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 431 offenders committed 11.5% of the total new referrals.

Statewide indications


During each of the five years surveyed, a majority of offenders *did not* re-offend.

Chart 1: 1996 – 2000 Offender Recidivism



A minority of offenders committed a large proportion of new crimes.

Chart 2: 1996 – 2000 Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals




Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 63.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.2% of offenders committed 54.4% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.79 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.65 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Statewide indications by year

The following charts illustrate by year what is intuitively understood about juvenile crime: a small proportion of all juvenile offenders commit a majority of new crimes. For the five year period shown here, an average of 9.2% of offenders committed 54.4% of new crime.


Chart 3: 1996 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of 1996 findings:

- 62.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.0% of offenders committed 57.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.82 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.66 for offenders with three or more new crimes.


Chart 4: 1997 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of 1997 findings:

- 61.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.1% of offenders committed 56.3% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.85 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.73 for offenders with three or more new crimes.


Chart 5: 1998 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of 1998 findings:

- 63.1% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.1% of offenders committed 53.9% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.78 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.65 for offenders with three or more new crimes.


Chart 6: 1999 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of 1999 findings:

- 63.4% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.5% of offenders committed 51.6% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.76 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.58 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Chart 7: 2000 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of 2000 findings:

- 65.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.1% of offenders committed 52.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.71 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.61 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Prior referrals

The recidivism measures in this report consider referrals received within twelve months after an initial referral during the calendar year in question. Crimes committed prior to that year are not assessed. To address the full history of juveniles in this report, Tables 2 and 3 categorize juvenile recidivism according to the number of prior referrals.

Table 2: 1996-2000 Offenders - Prior Criminal Referrals

| Number of Prior Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 15,406 | 14,103 | 12,735 | 12,010 | 12,581 | 63.7 | 60.2 | 57.1 | 57.2 | 59.5 |
| 1 | 3,823 | 3,907 | 3,817 | 3,562 | 3,343 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 17.0 | 15.8 |
| 2 & over | 4,963 | 5,434 | 5,759 | 5,435 | 5,205 | 20.5 | 23.2 | 25.8 | 25.9 | 24.6 |
| TOTALS | 24,192 | 23,444 | 22,311 | 21,007 | 21,129 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Table 3: 1996-2000 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals as a Function of Prior Criminal Referrals

| Number or New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 1996 | | | 1997 | | | 1998 | | | 1999 | | | 2000 | | |
| | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior |
| 0 | 10,978 | 2,120 | 2,078 | 10,064 | 2,213 | 2,197 | 9,212 | 2,255 | 2,608 | 8,746 | 2,073 | 2,491 | 9,259 | 2,001 | 2,515 |
| 1 | 2,638 | 865 | 1,128 | 2,380 | 836 | 1,316 | 2,132 | 838 | 1,414 | 2,003 | 795 | 1,350 | 2,060 | 757 | 1,285 |
| 2 | 905 | 381 | 670 | 857 | 412 | 808 | 726 | 361 | 746 | 690 | 363 | 710 | 664 | 284 | 603 |
| 3 & over | 885 | 457 | 1,087 | 802 | 446 | 1,113 | 665 | 363 | 991 | 571 | 331 | 884 | 598 | 301 | 802 |
| TOTALS | 15,406 | 3,823 | 4,963 | 14,103 | 3,907 | 5,434 | 12,735 | 3,817 | 5,759 | 12,010 | 3,562 | 5,435 | 12,581 | 3,343 | 5,205 |

| Number or New Referrals | Percent of Offenders | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | | | 1997 | | | 1998 | | | 1999 | | | 2000 | | |
| | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior |
| 0 | 71.3 | 55.5 | 41.9 | 71.4 | 56.6 | 40.4 | 72.3 | 59.1 | 45.3 | 72.8 | 58.2 | 45.8 | 73.6 | 59.9 | 48.3 |
| 1 | 17.1 | 22.6 | 22.7 | 16.9 | 21.4 | 24.2 | 16.7 | 22.0 | 24.6 | 16.7 | 22.3 | 24.8 | 16.4 | 22.6 | 24.7 |
| 2 | 5.9 | 10.0 | 13.5 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 14.9 | 5.7 | 9.5 | 13.0 | 5.7 | 10.2 | 13.1 | 5.3 | 8.5 | 11.6 |
| 3 & over | 5.7 | 12.0 | 21.9 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 20.5 | 5.2 | 9.5 | 17.2 | 4.8 | 9.3 | 16.3 | 4.8 | 9.0 | 15.4 |
| TOTALS | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |






| Number or New Referrals | Total New Referrals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 1996 | | | 1997 | | | 1998 | | | 1999 | | | 2000 | | |
| | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2,638 | 865 | 1,128 | 2,380 | 836 | 1,316 | 2,132 | 838 | 1,414 | 2,003 | 795 | 1,350 | 2,060 | 757 | 1,285 |
| 2 | 1,810 | 762 | 1,340 | 1,714 | 824 | 1,616 | 1,452 | 722 | 1,492 | 1,380 | 726 | 1,420 | 1,328 | 568 | 1,206 |
| 3 & over | 3,949 | 2,062 | 5,317 | 3,814 | 1,982 | 5,376 | 3,070 | 1,619 | 4,704 | 2,504 | 1,523 | 4,161 | 2,731 | 1,310 | 3,799 |
| TOTALS | 8,397 | 3,689 | 7,785 | 7,908 | 3,642 | 8,308 | 6,654 | 3,179 | 7,610 | 5,887 | 3,044 | 6,931 | 6,119 | 2,635 | 6,290 |

| Number or New Referrals | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | | | 1997 | | | 1998 | | | 1999 | | | 2000 | | |
| | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior | 0 priors | 1 prior | >1 prior |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 31.4 | 23.4 | 14.5 | 30.1 | 23.0 | 15.8 | 32.0 | 26.4 | 18.6 | 34.0 | 26.1 | 19.5 | 33.7 | 28.7 | 20.4 |
| 2 | 21.6 | 20.7 | 17.2 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 22.7 | 19.6 | 23.4 | 23.9 | 20.5 | 21.7 | 21.6 | 19.2 |
| 3 & over | 47.0 | 55.9 | 68.3 | 48.2 | 54.4 | 64.7 | 46.1 | 50.9 | 61.8 | 42.5 | 50.0 | 60.0 | 44.6 | 49.7 | 60.4 |
| TOTALS | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

- **Number of new referrals:** The number of new referrals youth received over 12 months.
- **Number of prior referrals:** The number of referrals youth received prior to the year's initial referral.
- **Number of offenders:** The number of offenders corresponds to the number of referrals [e.g., in 1998 12,735 offenders had no (0) prior referrals].
- **Percent of offenders:** The percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- **Total new referrals:** The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- **Percent of overall crime:** The percentage of overall crime per row [e.g., in 1998 9,212 offenders committed 0% of the new crime perpetrated by offenders with no prior referrals].

Prior referrals (continued)


Charts 8-12: 1996-2000 New Criminal Referrals – Prior History



Offender's age

Chart 13 shows the proportion of offenders by age, who did not re-offend twelve months subsequent to their initial referral.

Chart 13: 1996-2000 age comparison of offenders who did not re-offend



The chart indicates a stable recidivism by age trend across the five years. Offenders age 13, 14, 15, and 16 were the most likely to re-offend. Conversely, very young offenders (age 11 and under) and older youth (ages 17 and older) were the least likely to recidivate. Recidivism data on older youth in the juvenile system may be distorted, however, since further criminal activity may result in a charge as an adult. In an effort to eliminate any distortion based on age, the data have been further refined by controlling for age (see Charts 14 and 15).

Control for offenders age 16 and under

Recidivism measurements in this report represent all juvenile offenders. However, it is recognized that subsequent re-offending committed by older youth may result in adult court charges. These charges are not captured by the juvenile recidivism database. In an attempt to address the issue of older youth distorting the overall recidivism statistics, data are presented below that exclude this population. Charts 14 and 15 document that controlling for age causes a slight increase in the recidivism rate. However, this does not alter the trend of a smaller group of offenders committing the majority of new crime.

Chart 14: 1996 – 2000 Recidivism of Offenders Age 16 and Under





Chart 15: 1996 – 2000 Averages – New Criminal Referrals of Offenders Age 16 and Under



Summary of findings, 1996-2000 averages for offenders age 16 and under:

- 60.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.2% of offenders committed 55.5% of new crimes.
- Referral Rate = 0.85 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.63 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Issues by gender

Females represent approximately 27.4% of the offender population. Their referral rate is much lower than the rate for males. For the five-year period, 70.9% of females had no referrals in the 12 months subsequent to an initial referral. Males compare at 60.2%. With a high proportion of females not re-offending, only 5.2% were chronic offenders. For males, 10.7% were chronic offenders.

Chart 16: 1996 – 2000 Female Offender Recidivism





Chart 17: 1996 - 2000 Female Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, 1996 - 2000 averages for female offenders:

- 70.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 5.2% of offenders committed 42.1% of new crimes.
- Referral Rate = 0.52 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.21 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Issues by gender (continued)

Chart 18: 1996 – 2000 Male Offender Recidivism





Chart 19: 1996 - 2000 Male Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, 1996 - 2000 averages for male offenders:


- 60.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.7% of offenders committed 57.1% of new crimes.
- Referral Rate = 0.89 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.74 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Oregon's at-risk youth

Chart 20 is a comparison of 2000 offenders and Oregon's youth population (2000 data are used, but should be considered representative of the 1996 through 2000 period). It includes the proportion of chronic offenders as compared to the number of youth in Oregon and the number of juvenile offenders.

Chart 20: Comparison of 2000 offender categories to total youth population

JUVENILE RECIDIVISM
State of Oregon
Comparison of 2000 Offender Categories to Total Youth Population



- Only 2.5% of the total 2000 youth population in Oregon (850,086) had a criminal referral in that year. Juvenile offenders make up 5.3% of the 10-17 age group and 0.1% of the 0-9 age group.
- Chronic offenders – the small group of juveniles who receive the majority of new referrals – constitute 0.2% of the 2000 youth population and 0.4% of the 10-17 age group.

Race / Ethnicity


Table 4 gives an overview of the race / ethnicity of offenders for the years 1996 through 2000.

Table 4: 1996-2000 Offenders - Race / Ethnicity

| Race | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| African Am. | 1,273 | 1,206 | 1,190 | 1,110 | 1,187 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
| Asian | 482 | 420 | 380 | 341 | 339 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Caucasian | 19,319 | 18,771 | 17,830 | 16,626 | 16,055 | 79.9 | 80.1 | 79.9 | 79.1 | 76.0 |
| Hispanic | 2,302 | 2,221 | 2,165 | 2,068 | 2,249 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 10.6 |
| Native Am. | 451 | 469 | 481 | 475 | 476 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Unknown | 365 | 357 | 265 | 387 | 823 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.9 |
| TOTALS | 24,192 | 23,444 | 22,311 | 21,007 | 21,129 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

During each of the five years surveyed, a majority of offenders of all races / ethnicities did not re-offend.

Chart 21: 1996-2000 Offender Averages – New Criminal Referrals by Race / Ethnicity



Summary of findings, averages for 1996-2000:

- African American – 53.7% did not commit a new crime.
- Asian – 69.5% did not commit a new crime.
- Caucasian – 63.9% did not commit a new crime.
- Hispanic – 63.1% did not commit a new crime.
- Native American – 51.8% did not commit a new crime.

Race / Ethnicity - African American Offenders

**Table 5: 1996-2000 Offenders -- African American Offenders
New Criminal Referrals**


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 677 | 651 | 631 | 580 | 663 | 53.2 | 54.0 | 53.0 | 52.3 | 55.9 |
| 1 | 282 | 247 | 279 | 251 | 250 | 22.2 | 20.5 | 23.4 | 22.6 | 21.1 |
| 2 | 141 | 143 | 129 | 121 | 102 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 10.9 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 80 | 72 | 59 | 65 | 55 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 4.6 |
| 4 | 46 | 40 | 40 | 34 | 49 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.1 |
| 5 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 18 | 26 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| 6 & Over | 25 | 30 | 30 | 41 | 42 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| TOTALS | 1,273 | 1,206 | 1,190 | 1,110 | 1,187 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 282 | 247 | 279 | 251 | 250 | 22.2 | 20.0 | 23.1 | 20.5 | 19.3 |
| 2 | 282 | 286 | 258 | 242 | 204 | 22.2 | 23.2 | 21.3 | 19.8 | 15.8 |
| 3 | 240 | 216 | 177 | 195 | 165 | 18.9 | 17.5 | 14.6 | 15.9 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 184 | 160 | 160 | 136 | 196 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 11.1 | 15.1 |
| 5 | 110 | 115 | 110 | 90 | 130 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 7.3 | 10.0 |
| 6 & Over | 171 | 208 | 226 | 311 | 349 | 13.5 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 25.4 | 27.0 |
| TOTALS | 1,269 | 1,232 | 1,210 | 1,225 | 1,294 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |





Summary of findings:

- In each of the five years, a majority of offenders did not re-offend.
- Each year, between 20.5% and 23.4% of offenders committed one new crime (19.3% to 23.1% of referrals).
- 8.6% - 11.9% committed 15.8% - 23.2% of new crimes (youth with two new referrals).
- 12.7% - 14.5% committed 55.6% - 64.9% of new crimes (three or more new referrals).
- Referral Rate = 1.04 for all offenders (averaged across all years).
- Referral Rate = 4.46 for offenders with three or more new crimes (averaged across all years).

Chart 22-26: 1996-2000 Offenders – African American Offenders – New Criminal Referrals



Race / Ethnicity - African American Offenders



Race / Ethnicity - Asian Offenders

**Table 6: 1996-2000 Offenders -- Asian Offenders
New Criminal Referrals**


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 322 | 286 | 264 | 243 | 248 | 66.8 | 68.1 | 69.5 | 71.3 | 73.2 |
| 1 | 90 | 85 | 69 | 59 | 55 | 18.7 | 20.2 | 18.2 | 17.3 | 16.2 |
| 2 | 34 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 12 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 3.5 |
| 3 | 21 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 2.9 |
| 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 2.1 |
| 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 6 & Over | 7 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| TOTALS | 482 | 420 | 380 | 341 | 339 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 90 | 85 | 69 | 59 | 55 | 29.1 | 36.0 | 31.1 | 37.6 | 31.1 |
| 2 | 68 | 46 | 44 | 52 | 24 | 22.0 | 19.5 | 19.8 | 33.1 | 13.6 |
| 3 | 63 | 42 | 48 | 27 | 30 | 20.4 | 17.8 | 21.6 | 17.2 | 16.9 |
| 4 | 24 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 28 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 15.8 |
| 5 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 25 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 14.1 |
| 6 & Over | 54 | 32 | 42 | 6 | 15 | 17.5 | 13.6 | 18.9 | 3.8 | 8.5 |
| TOTALS | 309 | 236 | 222 | 157 | 177 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |





Summary of findings:

- In each of the five years, more than two-thirds of offenders did not re-offend.
- Each year, between 16.2% and 20.2% of offenders committed one new crime (29.1% to 37.6% of referrals).
- 3.5% - 7.6% committed 13.6% - 33.1% of new crimes (youth with two new referrals).
- 3.8% - 7.5% committed 29.3% - 55.4% of new crimes (youth with three or more new referrals).
- Referral Rate = 0.56 for all offenders (averaged across all years).
- Referral Rate = 4.10 for offenders with three or more new crimes (averaged across all years).

Charts 27-31: 1996-2000 Offenders – Asian Offenders – New Criminal Referrals



Race / Ethnicity - Asian Offenders



Race / Ethnicity - Caucasian Offenders

**Table 7: 1996-2000 Offenders -- Caucasian Offenders
New Criminal Referrals**


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 12,309 | 11,782 | 11,408 | 10,599 | 10,488 | 63.7 | 62.8 | 64.0 | 63.7 | 65.3 |
| 1 | 3,644 | 3,578 | 3,452 | 3,277 | 3,140 | 18.9 | 19.1 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 19.6 |
| 2 | 1,519 | 1,598 | 1,414 | 1,388 | 1,199 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 790 | 791 | 689 | 600 | 557 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| 4 | 408 | 403 | 375 | 321 | 303 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 5 | 236 | 233 | 187 | 183 | 143 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| 6 & Over | 413 | 386 | 305 | 258 | 225 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| TOTALS | 19,319 | 18,771 | 17,830 | 16,626 | 16,055 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3,644 | 3,578 | 3,452 | 3,277 | 3,140 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 25.7 | 26.9 | 28.3 |
| 2 | 3,038 | 3,196 | 2,828 | 2,776 | 2,398 | 19.7 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 22.8 | 21.6 |
| 3 | 2,370 | 2,373 | 2,067 | 1,800 | 1,671 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 15.1 |
| 4 | 1,632 | 1,612 | 1,500 | 1,284 | 1,212 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.9 |
| 5 | 1,180 | 1,165 | 935 | 915 | 715 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 6.4 |
| 6 & Over | 3,540 | 3,583 | 2,667 | 2,132 | 1,961 | 23.0 | 23.1 | 19.8 | 17.5 | 17.7 |
| TOTALS | 15,404 | 15,507 | 13,449 | 12,184 | 11,097 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |





Summary of findings:

- In each of the five years, nearly two-thirds of offenders did not re-offend.
- Each year, between 18.9% and 19.7% committed one new crime (23.1% to 28.3% of referrals).
- 7.5% - 8.5% committed 19.7% - 22.8% of new crimes (youth with two new referrals).
- 7.7% - 9.7% committed 50.1% - 56.6% of new crimes (youth with three or more new referrals).
- Referral Rate = 0.76 for all offenders (averaged across all years).
- Referral Rate = 4.65 for offenders with three or more new crimes (averaged across all years).

Charts 32-36: 1996-2000 Offenders – Caucasian Offenders – New Criminal Referrals



Race / Ethnicity - Caucasian Offenders



Race / Ethnicity - Hispanic Offenders

**Table 8: 1996-2000 Offenders -- Hispanic Offenders
New Criminal Referrals**


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 1,456 | 1,348 | 1,356 | 1,351 | 1,437 | 63.2 | 60.7 | 62.6 | 65.3 | 63.9 |
| 1 | 432 | 445 | 416 | 380 | 442 | 18.8 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 18.4 | 19.7 |
| 2 | 180 | 197 | 191 | 164 | 165 | 7.8 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
| 3 | 113 | 92 | 88 | 79 | 79 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
| 4 | 48 | 59 | 51 | 30 | 48 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| 5 | 24 | 46 | 21 | 28 | 35 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 6 & Over | 49 | 34 | 42 | 36 | 43 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 |
| TOTALS | 2,302 | 2,221 | 2,165 | 2,068 | 2,249 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 432 | 445 | 416 | 380 | 442 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 25.0 | 24.6 |
| 2 | 360 | 394 | 382 | 328 | 330 | 19.1 | 21.2 | 22.5 | 21.6 | 18.4 |
| 3 | 339 | 276 | 264 | 237 | 237 | 18.0 | 14.9 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 13.2 |
| 4 | 192 | 236 | 204 | 120 | 192 | 10.2 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 10.7 |
| 5 | 120 | 230 | 105 | 140 | 175 | 6.4 | 12.4 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 9.7 |
| 6 & Over | 438 | 276 | 329 | 317 | 422 | 23.3 | 14.9 | 19.4 | 20.8 | 23.5 |
| TOTALS | 1,881 | 1,857 | 1,700 | 1,522 | 1,798 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |





Summary of findings:

- In each of the five years, a majority of offenders did not re-offend.
- Each year, between 18.4% and 20.0% committed one new crime (23.0% to 25.0% of referrals).
- 7.3% - 8.9% committed 18.4% - 22.5% of new crimes (youth with two new referrals).
- 8.4% - 10.4% committed 53.1% - 57.9% of new crimes (youth with three or more new referrals).
- Referral Rate = 0.80 for all offenders (averaged across all years).
- Referral Rate = 4.64 for offenders with three or more new crimes (averaged across all years).

Charts 37-41: 1996-2000 Offenders – Hispanic Offenders – New Criminal Referrals



Race / Ethnicity - Hispanic Offenders



Race / Ethnicity - Native American Offenders

**Table 9: 1996-2000 Offenders -- Native American Offenders
New Criminal Referrals**


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 212 | 233 | 248 | 251 | 274 | 47.0 | 49.7 | 51.6 | 52.8 | 57.6 |
| 1 | 117 | 112 | 123 | 113 | 104 | 25.9 | 23.9 | 25.6 | 23.8 | 21.8 |
| 2 | 47 | 60 | 56 | 49 | 49 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| 3 | 21 | 29 | 17 | 22 | 17 | 4.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 23 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.9 |
| 5 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| 6 & Over | 20 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 4.4 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
| TOTALS | 451 | 469 | 481 | 475 | 476 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 117 | 112 | 123 | 113 | 104 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 23.9 | 22.8 | 24.5 |
| 2 | 94 | 120 | 112 | 98 | 98 | 16.8 | 21.9 | 21.8 | 19.8 | 23.1 |
| 3 | 63 | 87 | 51 | 66 | 51 | 11.2 | 15.8 | 9.9 | 13.3 | 12.0 |
| 4 | 92 | 56 | 64 | 64 | 56 | 16.4 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 13.2 |
| 5 | 55 | 35 | 50 | 50 | 45 | 9.8 | 6.4 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 10.6 |
| 6 & Over | 140 | 139 | 114 | 104 | 71 | 25.0 | 25.3 | 22.2 | 21.0 | 16.7 |
| TOTALS | 561 | 549 | 514 | 495 | 425 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |





Summary of findings:

- The proportion of offenders not re-offending improved each year.
- Each year, between 21.8% and 25.9% committed one new crime (20.4% to 24.5% of referrals).
- 10.3% - 12.8% committed 16.8% - 23.1% of new crimes (youth with two new referrals).
- 10.3% - 16.6% committed 52.5% - 62.4% of new crimes (youth with three or more new referrals).
- Referral Rate = 1.08 for all offenders (averaged across all years).
- Referral Rate = 4.78 for offenders with three or more new crimes (averaged across all years).

Charts 42-46: 1996-2000 Offenders – Native American Offenders – New Criminal Referrals



Race / Ethnicity - Native American Offenders



Severity

Table 10 provides a matrix of initial offense type and re-offense type by classification of crime. For example, it shows that there were an average of 1,370 person felony offenders each year. Of that total, 455 offenders acquired an additional 819 referrals over the subsequent twelve months. Of those 455 re-offenders:

- 143 committed another person felony
- 98 committed an other type of felony
- 59 committed a person misdemeanor
- 132 committed an other type of misdemeanor

(These numbers are averages across the five years – 1996 to 2000. Type of offense reflects the most severe committing offense.)

- 45.4% (3,700) of the re-offenders committed an offense equal in severity to the initial offense (shown in the shaded boxes).
- 37.2% (3,033) of the re-offenders committed an offense more severe than the initial offense (shown to the left of the shaded boxes).
- 17.5% (1,424) of the re-offenders committed an offense less severe than the initial offense (shown to the right of the shaded boxes).

Table 10: Severity by Crime Classification – 1996-2000 Averages

| Initial Offense | Number of Initial Offenders | Number of Repeat Offenders | MOST SEVERE RE-OFFENSE (# of Offenders) | | | | RE-OFFENSES Number of Referrals |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | Person Felony | Other Felony | Person Misd. | Other Misd. | |
| Person Felony | 1,370 | 455 (33%) | 143 | 98 | 59 | 132 | 819 |
| Other Felony | 4,507 | 1,873 (42%) | 170 | 936 | 157 | 594 | 4,140 |
| Person Misd. | 2,884 | 1,102 (38%) | 137 | 263 | 314 | 384 | 2,333 |
| Other Misd. | 13,509 | 4,786 (35%) | 407 | 1,430 | 626 | 2,307 | 10,241 |

Note: Some referrals whose main offense involved an attempted crime were excluded from this analysis because of data collection limitations. Overall, the excluded referrals accounted for less than 2% of all referrals.

Severity scale

A severity score is assigned to each offense. The score is based on criminal codes in the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS). A "Murder" category was created which includes Aggravated Murder and Murder / Criminal Homicide.

| CRIME CATEGORY | TYPE | SCORE |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Person: | Murder | 19 |
| | A Felony | 18 |
| | B Felony | 17 |
| | C Felony | 16 |
| | U Felony | 15 |
| Property: | A Felony | 14 |
| Other: | A Felony | 14 |
| Property: | B Felony | 13 |
| Other: | B Felony | 13 |
| Property: | C Felony | 12 |
| Other: | C Felony | 12 |
| Property: | U Felony | 11 |
| Other: | U Felony | 11 |
| Person: | A Misdemeanor | 10 |
| | B Misdemeanor | 9 |
| | C Misdemeanor | 8 |
| | U Misdemeanor | 7 |
| Property: | A Misdemeanor | 6 |
| Other: | A Misdemeanor | 6 |
| Property: | B Misdemeanor | 5 |
| Other: | B Misdemeanor | 5 |
| Property: | C Misdemeanor | 4 |
| Other: | C Misdemeanor | 4 |
| Property: | U Misdemeanor | 3 |
| Other: | U Misdemeanor | 3 |
| Non-Criminal: | Violation | 2 |
| | Status Offense | 1 |

Statewide juvenile recidivism - Referrals and offenses compared

The current report calculates recidivism using “referrals” rather than “offenses” as the unit of measurement. A law enforcement referral can be comprised of multiple individual offenses detailing the alleged crimes.

Table 11: 1998 Offenders – New Criminal Referrals/Offenses

| Number of New Referrals/Offenses | Number of Offenders | | Percent of Offenders | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Referrals | Offenses | Referrals | Offenses |
| 0 | 14,075 | 14,075 | 63.1 | 63.1 |
| 1 | 4,384 | 3,212 | 19.6 | 14.4 |
| 2 | 1,833 | 1,688 | 8.2 | 7.6 |
| 3 | 880 | 959 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| 4 | 491 | 654 | 2.2 | 2.9 |
| 5 | 248 | 452 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| 6 | 130 | 317 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| 7 | 91 | 220 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| 8 | 50 | 155 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 9 | 33 | 114 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 10 | 37 | 93 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 11&over | 59 | 372 | 0.3 | 1.7 |
| TOTALS | 22,311 | 22,311 | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals/Offenses | Total New Refs/Offs | | Percent of Overall Crime | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | Referrals | Offenses | Referrals | Offenses |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 4,384 | 3,212 | 25.1 | 11.6 |
| 2 | 3,666 | 3,376 | 21.0 | 12.2 |
| 3 | 2,640 | 2,877 | 15.1 | 10.4 |
| 4 | 1,964 | 2,616 | 11.3 | 9.4 |
| 5 | 1,240 | 2,260 | 7.1 | 8.2 |
| 6 | 780 | 1,902 | 4.5 | 6.9 |
| 7 | 637 | 1,540 | 3.7 | 5.6 |
| 8 | 400 | 1,240 | 2.3 | 4.5 |
| 9 | 297 | 1,026 | 1.7 | 3.7 |
| 10 | 370 | 930 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
| 11&over | 1,065 | 6,728 | 6.1 | 24.3 |
| TOTALS | 17,443 | 27,707 | 100% | 100% |

- Number of new referrals/offenses: The number of new offenses youth committed over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new offenses [e.g., in 1998, 14,075 offenders had no (0) new offenses].
- Percent of offenders: The percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals/offenses: The total number of subsequent offenses or referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 1998, 14,075 offenders committed 0% of the total new offenses.

Statewide juvenile recidivism - Referrals and offenses compared (continued)

Previous reports measured recidivism based on offenses. Offense based statistics tend to exaggerate the number of “chronic offenders” (offenders that recidivate three or more times) since a large number of these youth have multiple offenses from a *single* incident. The referral driven measurement for chronic offending only counts youth involved in three or more *separate* crime incidents.

Chart 47: 1998 Offender Recidivism - Referrals and Offenses Compared





Chart 48: 1998 Offenders - New Criminal Referrals and Offenses Compared



Summary of findings, 1998 comparison of referrals and offenses:

- By definition, an identical number of offenders do not re-offend.
- Use of referrals decreases the number of offenders categorized as chronic offenders.
- Use of referrals increases the number of offenders categorized as having one or two new crimes.
- 1998 All Offenders: Offense Rate = 1.24; Referral Rate = 0.78.
- 1998 Chronic Offenders: Offense Rate = 6.33; Referral Rate = 4.65.

County Juvenile Recidivism Reports

1996 - 2000 Data as Reported by Individual County

The following pages contain juvenile data as reported by Oregon's 36* counties. After the county detail there are four tables summarizing each year – 1996 through 2000.

As with the statewide data, recidivism baseline data begin with an initial criminal referral occurring in any of the five years studied. Subsequent crime is tracked for 12 months following an initial referral.

Virtually all of the counties' recidivism data show a similar pattern. A small group of offenders commit a majority of new crimes. While the proportion of chronic offenders varied among counties, this pattern did not change by location.

* The Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS) is the primary data source for the report. At present, 35 of 36 counties use JJIS. Data from the county not served by JJIS – Multnomah – were converted for inclusion in the recidivism database.

Baker County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 66 | 73 | 59 | 51 | 89 | 56.9 | 56.2 | 48.4 | 45.9 | 64.5 |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 27 | 21 | 21.6 | 15.4 | 13.1 | 24.3 | 15.2 |
| 2 | 11 | 12 | 21 | 15 | 17 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 17.2 | 13.5 | 12.3 |
| 3 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 4.5 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 0.7 |
| 6 & Over | 5 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 1.4 |
| TOTALS | 116 | 130 | 122 | 111 | 138 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 27 | 21 | 20.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 19.1 | 21.0 |
| 2 | 22 | 24 | 42 | 30 | 34 | 17.6 | 9.6 | 13.2 | 21.3 | 34.0 |
| 3 | 12 | 30 | 24 | 15 | 15 | 9.6 | 12.0 | 7.5 | 10.6 | 15.0 |
| 4 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 9.6 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 8.5 | 12.0 |
| 5 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | 5 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 21.3 | 5.0 |
| 6 & Over | 44 | 157 | 213 | 27 | 13 | 35.2 | 63.1 | 67.0 | 19.1 | 13.0 |
| TOTALS | 125 | 249 | 318 | 141 | 100 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed 12.0% of the total new referrals.

Baker County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 54.8% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 15.2% of offenders committed 72.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 1.51 for all offenders
- Referral Rate = 7.15 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Benton County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders* New Criminal Referrals

| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 80 | 103 | 109 | 149 | 172 | 55.2 | 51.5 | 47.2 | 54.6 | 63.5 |
| 1 | 30 | 46 | 46 | 58 | 50 | 20.7 | 23.0 | 19.9 | 21.2 | 18.5 |
| 2 | 16 | 13 | 33 | 31 | 25 | 11.0 | 6.5 | 14.3 | 11.4 | 9.2 |
| 3 | 6 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| 5 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| 6 & Over | 7 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 4.8 | 7.5 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS | 145 | 200 | 231 | 273 | 271 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 30 | 46 | 46 | 58 | 50 | 17.1 | 14.7 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 25.1 |
| 2 | 32 | 26 | 66 | 62 | 50 | 18.3 | 8.3 | 14.7 | 13.7 | 25.1 |
| 3 | 18 | 33 | 42 | 27 | 36 | 10.3 | 10.6 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 18.1 |
| 4 | 16 | 28 | 48 | 32 | 20 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 10.1 |
| 5 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 15 | 5.7 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 5.5 | 7.5 |
| 6 & Over | 69 | 154 | 216 | 247 | 28 | 39.4 | 49.4 | 48.2 | 54.8 | 14.1 |
| TOTALS | 175 | 312 | 448 | 451 | 199 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

* Prior to 2000, Benton data were compiled from the county information system. The conversion to JJIS could affect some findings.


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 5 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 5 offenders committed 10.1% of the total new referrals.

Benton County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 54.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 14.2% of offenders committed 70.6% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 1.42 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 7.04 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Clackamas County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 1,193 | 1,213 | 1,108 | 1,086 | 1,188 | 71.0 | 69.9 | 71.8 | 69.3 | 66.9 |
| 1 | 282 | 295 | 268 | 290 | 399 | 16.8 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 22.5 |
| 2 | 108 | 128 | 93 | 94 | 116 | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
| 3 | 45 | 55 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 30 | 16 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 6 & Over | 21 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| TOTALS | 1,680 | 1,735 | 1,543 | 1,567 | 1,777 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 282 | 295 | 268 | 290 | 399 | 29.3 | 31.6 | 34.3 | 32.3 | 42.5 |
| 2 | 216 | 256 | 186 | 188 | 232 | 22.4 | 27.4 | 23.8 | 21.0 | 24.7 |
| 3 | 135 | 165 | 99 | 111 | 114 | 14.0 | 17.6 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 12.2 |
| 4 | 100 | 88 | 72 | 120 | 64 | 10.4 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 13.4 | 6.8 |
| 5 | 30 | 45 | 25 | 65 | 30 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 3.2 |
| 6 & Over | 201 | 86 | 132 | 123 | 99 | 20.9 | 9.2 | 16.9 | 13.7 | 10.6 |
| TOTALS | 964 | 935 | 782 | 897 | 938 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 16 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 16 offenders committed 6.8% of the total new referrals.

Clackamas County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 69.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 5.3% of offenders committed 42.2% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.54 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.32 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Clatsop County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 267 | 235 | 217 | 182 | 239 | 73.2 | 73.2 | 73.1 | 67.4 | 72.0 |
| 1 | 48 | 59 | 46 | 47 | 55 | 13.2 | 18.4 | 15.5 | 17.4 | 16.6 |
| 2 | 19 | 12 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 5.2 | 3.7 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| 3 | 17 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 4.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 1.8 |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 1.2 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 6 & Over | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| TOTALS | 365 | 321 | 297 | 270 | 332 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 48 | 59 | 46 | 47 | 55 | 22.0 | 37.3 | 31.9 | 26.4 | 32.7 |
| 2 | 38 | 24 | 38 | 36 | 46 | 17.4 | 15.2 | 26.4 | 20.2 | 27.4 |
| 3 | 51 | 18 | 21 | 36 | 18 | 23.4 | 11.4 | 14.6 | 20.2 | 10.7 |
| 4 | 16 | 8 | 16 | 28 | 16 | 7.3 | 5.1 | 11.1 | 15.7 | 9.5 |
| 5 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 9.2 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 8.4 | 6.0 |
| 6 & Over | 45 | 39 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 20.6 | 24.7 | 5.6 | 9.0 | 13.7 |
| TOTALS | 218 | 158 | 144 | 178 | 168 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed 9.5% of the total new referrals.

Clatsop County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 71.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 6.3% of offenders committed 49.5% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.55 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.33 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Columbia County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 233 | 232 | 230 | 209 | 201 | 69.1 | 68.4 | 64.6 | 68.5 | 65.3 |
| 1 | 57 | 59 | 71 | 61 | 54 | 16.9 | 17.4 | 19.9 | 20.0 | 17.5 |
| 2 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 10 | 14 | 20 | 6 | 13 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 2.0 | 4.2 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.6 |
| 5 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 1.8 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| 6 & Over | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| TOTALS | 337 | 339 | 356 | 305 | 308 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 57 | 59 | 71 | 61 | 54 | 25.9 | 25.7 | 30.2 | 40.4 | 24.5 |
| 2 | 44 | 40 | 44 | 46 | 46 | 20.0 | 17.4 | 18.7 | 30.5 | 20.9 |
| 3 | 30 | 42 | 60 | 18 | 39 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 25.5 | 11.9 | 17.7 |
| 4 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 44 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 10.6 | 20.0 |
| 5 | 30 | 15 | 40 | 10 | 20 | 13.6 | 6.5 | 17.0 | 6.6 | 9.1 |
| 6 & Over | 47 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 21.4 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| TOTALS | 220 | 230 | 235 | 151 | 220 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 11 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 11 offenders committed 20.0% of the total new referrals.

Columbia County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 67.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 7.8% of offenders committed 50.6% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.64 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.17 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Coos County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 380 | 335 | 359 | 255 | 312 | 59.0 | 58.1 | 58.8 | 54.5 | 70.6 |
| 1 | 126 | 110 | 129 | 123 | 81 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 26.3 | 18.3 |
| 2 | 54 | 51 | 43 | 51 | 28 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 10.9 | 6.3 |
| 3 | 40 | 36 | 34 | 20 | 11 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 2.5 |
| 4 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 6 & Over | 16 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| TOTALS | 644 | 577 | 611 | 468 | 442 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 126 | 110 | 129 | 123 | 81 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 23.2 | 31.2 | 36.8 |
| 2 | 108 | 102 | 86 | 102 | 56 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 15.4 | 25.9 | 25.5 |
| 3 | 120 | 108 | 102 | 60 | 33 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 18.3 | 15.2 | 15.0 |
| 4 | 72 | 76 | 96 | 32 | 16 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 17.2 | 8.1 | 7.3 |
| 5 | 50 | 35 | 50 | 20 | 15 | 8.4 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 5.1 | 6.8 |
| 6 & Over | 116 | 137 | 94 | 57 | 19 | 19.6 | 24.1 | 16.9 | 14.5 | 8.6 |
| TOTALS | 592 | 568 | 557 | 394 | 220 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed 7.3% of the total new referrals.

Coos County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 59.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 11.1% of offenders committed 56.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.85 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.29 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Crook County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 22 | 105 | 66.7 | 87.5 | 57.9 | 47.8 | 64.4 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 32 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 30.4 | 19.6 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 10.9 | 8.0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 4.9 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.2 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| TOTALS | 6 | 8 | 19 | 46 | 163 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 32 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 35.0 | 29.4 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 26 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 25.0 | 23.9 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 30.0 | 22.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 7.3 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.8 |
| TOTALS | 2 | 2 | 13 | 40 | 109 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed 7.3% of the total new referrals.

Crook County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 61.6% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.3% of offenders committed 44.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.69 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.65 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Curry County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 128 | 98 | 141 | 122 | 100 | 61.5 | 56.0 | 62.9 | 63.2 | 62.1 |
| 1 | 41 | 44 | 41 | 40 | 33 | 19.7 | 25.1 | 18.3 | 20.7 | 20.5 |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 8.7 |
| 3 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| 4 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3.8 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 6 & Over | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 |
| TOTALS | 208 | 175 | 224 | 193 | 161 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 41 | 44 | 41 | 40 | 33 | 23.2 | 29.9 | 21.8 | 27.8 | 26.8 |
| 2 | 30 | 30 | 44 | 30 | 28 | 16.9 | 20.4 | 23.4 | 20.8 | 22.8 |
| 3 | 30 | 27 | 27 | 15 | 12 | 16.9 | 18.4 | 14.4 | 10.4 | 9.8 |
| 4 | 32 | 16 | 4 | 28 | 20 | 18.1 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 19.4 | 16.3 |
| 5 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| 6 & Over | 34 | 25 | 62 | 26 | 25 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 33.0 | 18.1 | 20.3 |
| TOTALS | 177 | 147 | 188 | 144 | 123 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 5 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 5 offenders committed 16.3% of the total new referrals.

Curry County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 61.3% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.6% of offenders committed 53.7% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.81 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.54 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Deschutes County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 351 | 351 | 450 | 498 | 583 | 48.6 | 47.3 | 49.1 | 50.3 | 56.2 |
| 1 | 165 | 188 | 222 | 241 | 252 | 22.9 | 25.3 | 24.2 | 24.3 | 24.3 |
| 2 | 88 | 98 | 109 | 119 | 104 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 10.0 |
| 3 | 46 | 41 | 56 | 59 | 51 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 |
| 4 | 32 | 20 | 37 | 33 | 25 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.4 |
| 5 | 15 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 6 & Over | 25 | 30 | 23 | 27 | 14 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.4 |
| TOTALS | 722 | 742 | 917 | 991 | 1,037 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 165 | 188 | 222 | 241 | 252 | 18.9 | 20.8 | 21.5 | 22.9 | 29.8 |
| 2 | 176 | 196 | 218 | 238 | 208 | 20.2 | 21.7 | 21.1 | 22.6 | 24.6 |
| 3 | 138 | 123 | 168 | 177 | 153 | 15.8 | 13.6 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 18.1 |
| 4 | 128 | 80 | 148 | 132 | 100 | 14.7 | 8.9 | 14.4 | 12.5 | 11.8 |
| 5 | 75 | 70 | 100 | 70 | 40 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 6.6 | 4.7 |
| 6 & Over | 190 | 245 | 175 | 196 | 93 | 21.8 | 27.2 | 17.0 | 18.6 | 11.0 |
| TOTALS | 872 | 902 | 1,031 | 1,054 | 846 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 25 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 25 offenders committed 11.8% of the total new referrals.

Deschutes County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 50.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 13.4% of offenders committed 55.3% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 1.07 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.41 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Douglas County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 617 | 546 | 570 | 523 | 515 | 58.1 | 57.5 | 62.8 | 60.3 | 64.5 |
| 1 | 213 | 201 | 176 | 182 | 128 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 19.4 | 21.0 | 16.0 |
| 2 | 77 | 88 | 75 | 76 | 69 | 7.3 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 50 | 36 | 38 | 35 | 42 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 5.3 |
| 4 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 17 | 23 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| 5 | 15 | 16 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| 6 & Over | 63 | 38 | 22 | 16 | 14 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| TOTALS | 1,062 | 949 | 907 | 867 | 799 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 213 | 201 | 176 | 182 | 128 | 16.2 | 18.9 | 22.9 | 24.5 | 19.4 |
| 2 | 154 | 176 | 150 | 152 | 138 | 11.7 | 16.6 | 19.5 | 20.4 | 20.9 |
| 3 | 150 | 108 | 114 | 105 | 126 | 11.4 | 10.2 | 14.8 | 14.1 | 19.1 |
| 4 | 108 | 96 | 72 | 68 | 92 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 14.0 |
| 5 | 75 | 80 | 40 | 90 | 40 | 5.7 | 7.5 | 5.2 | 12.1 | 6.1 |
| 6 & Over | 615 | 400 | 216 | 147 | 135 | 46.8 | 37.7 | 28.1 | 19.8 | 20.5 |
| TOTALS | 1,315 | 1,061 | 768 | 744 | 659 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 23 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 23 offenders committed 14.0% of the total new referrals.

Douglas County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 60.5% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 11.5% of offenders committed 63.3% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.99 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 5.45 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Gilliam County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1998 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|------|-------|------|------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | na | 1 | 2 | 6 | na | na | 100.0 | 66.7 | 85.7 |
| 1 | na | na | 0 | 1 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 |
| 3 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | na | 1 | 3 | 7 | na | na | 100% | 100% | 100% |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | na | na | 0 | 1 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 2 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 3 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | na | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | na | na | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | na | 0 | 1 | 2 | na | na | 100% | 100% | 100% |

"na": data not available.


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 1 offender had 2 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 1 offender committed 100% of the total new referrals.

Gilliam County

1998 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1998 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1998 – 2000:

- 81.8% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.27 for all offenders.

Grant County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 36 | 25 | 50.0 | 78.9 | 78.9 | 72.0 | 62.5 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 37.5 | 10.5 | 15.8 | 12.0 | 22.5 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 5.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| TOTALS | 8 | 19 | 19 | 50 | 40 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 60.0 | 28.6 | 50.0 | 23.1 | 33.3 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 40.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 22.2 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 50.0 | 11.5 | 22.2 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 |
| TOTALS | 5 | 7 | 6 | 26 | 27 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 3 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed 22.2% of the total new referrals.

Grant County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 69.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 5.2% of offenders committed 36.6% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.52 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.71 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Harney County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 40 | 14 | 8 | 21 | 27 | 76.9 | 63.6 | 66.7 | 61.8 | 57.4 |
| 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 13.5 | 18.2 | 8.3 | 26.5 | 29.8 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 25.0 | 2.9 | 6.4 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 52 | 22 | 12 | 34 | 47 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 33.3 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 33.3 | 45.2 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 19.0 | 28.6 | 85.7 | 7.4 | 19.4 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 28.6 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 9.7 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 21 | 14 | 7 | 27 | 31 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed 25.8% of the total new referrals.

Harney County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 65.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 6.6% of offenders committed 43.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.60 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.91 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Hood River County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 6 | 13 | 14 | 33 | 86 | 66.7 | 65.0 | 46.7 | 50.8 | 77.5 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 33.3 | 25.0 | 23.3 | 18.5 | 11.7 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 12.3 | 5.4 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.7 | 12.3 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 9 | 20 | 30 | 65 | 111 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 19.4 | 17.1 | 28.9 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 12 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 16.7 | 22.9 | 26.7 |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 24 | 12 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 16.7 | 34.3 | 26.7 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 11.4 | 17.8 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 3 | 10 | 36 | 70 | 45 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed 17.8% of the total new referrals.

Hood River County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 64.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.6% of offenders committed 53.7% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.70 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.52 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Jackson County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 1,238 | 1,127 | 1,191 | 1,073 | 1,074 | 63.8 | 60.4 | 64.4 | 63.5 | 64.2 |
| 1 | 369 | 374 | 341 | 316 | 341 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 18.5 | 18.7 | 20.4 |
| 2 | 158 | 161 | 146 | 161 | 109 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 7.9 | 9.5 | 6.5 |
| 3 | 76 | 88 | 75 | 53 | 58 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 3.5 |
| 4 | 45 | 49 | 47 | 31 | 36 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| 5 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 6 & Over | 32 | 42 | 28 | 34 | 34 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| TOTALS | 1,940 | 1,866 | 1,848 | 1,689 | 1,673 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 369 | 374 | 341 | 316 | 341 | 25.6 | 23.4 | 24.6 | 23.3 | 25.1 |
| 2 | 316 | 322 | 292 | 322 | 218 | 21.9 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 23.8 | 16.1 |
| 3 | 228 | 264 | 225 | 159 | 174 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 11.7 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 180 | 196 | 188 | 124 | 144 | 12.5 | 12.3 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 10.6 |
| 5 | 110 | 125 | 100 | 105 | 105 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 7.7 |
| 6 & Over | 238 | 316 | 238 | 328 | 375 | 16.5 | 19.8 | 17.2 | 24.2 | 27.6 |
| TOTALS | 1,441 | 1,597 | 1,384 | 1,354 | 1,357 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 36 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 36 offenders committed 10.6% of the total new referrals.

Jackson County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 63.3% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.3% of offenders committed 55.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.79 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.69 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Jefferson County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 136 | 110 | 154 | 123 | 173 | 56.4 | 51.9 | 62.3 | 57.7 | 64.6 |
| 1 | 61 | 57 | 51 | 53 | 58 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 20.6 | 24.9 | 21.6 |
| 2 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 22 | 23 | 9.5 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 2.6 |
| 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| 6 & Over | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| TOTALS | 241 | 212 | 247 | 213 | 268 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 61 | 57 | 51 | 53 | 58 | 31.9 | 29.8 | 29.1 | 34.0 | 35.6 |
| 2 | 46 | 48 | 52 | 44 | 46 | 24.1 | 25.1 | 29.7 | 28.2 | 28.2 |
| 3 | 36 | 27 | 15 | 27 | 21 | 18.8 | 14.1 | 8.6 | 17.3 | 12.9 |
| 4 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 7.4 |
| 5 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 2.6 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 6.1 |
| 6 & Over | 19 | 15 | 32 | 19 | 16 | 9.9 | 7.9 | 18.3 | 12.2 | 9.8 |
| TOTALS | 191 | 191 | 175 | 156 | 163 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed 7.4% of the total new referrals.

Jefferson County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 58.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 7.4% of offenders committed 41.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.74 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.14 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Josephine County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 247 | 280 | 310 | 306 | 281 | 58.8 | 57.4 | 64.3 | 62.2 | 68.4 |
| 1 | 76 | 87 | 92 | 95 | 73 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 19.1 | 19.3 | 17.8 |
| 2 | 39 | 49 | 38 | 43 | 29 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 7.1 |
| 3 | 15 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 8 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 1.9 |
| 4 | 12 | 16 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| 5 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 0.7 |
| 6 & Over | 22 | 25 | 10 | 12 | 6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS | 420 | 488 | 482 | 492 | 411 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 76 | 87 | 92 | 95 | 73 | 15.2 | 14.7 | 25.8 | 21.0 | 27.2 |
| 2 | 78 | 98 | 76 | 86 | 58 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 21.3 | 19.0 | 21.6 |
| 3 | 45 | 60 | 48 | 57 | 24 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 13.5 | 12.6 | 9.0 |
| 4 | 48 | 64 | 28 | 32 | 44 | 9.6 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 7.1 | 16.4 |
| 5 | 45 | 55 | 45 | 45 | 15 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 5.6 |
| 6 & Over | 207 | 226 | 67 | 137 | 54 | 41.5 | 38.3 | 18.8 | 30.3 | 20.1 |
| TOTALS | 499 | 590 | 356 | 452 | 268 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 11 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 11 offenders committed 16.4% of the total new referrals.

Josephine County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 62.1% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.8% of offenders committed 62.2% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.94 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 5.43 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Klamath County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 342 | 330 | 370 | 355 | 353 | 65.0 | 61.9 | 64.5 | 64.9 | 65.1 |
| 1 | 104 | 102 | 129 | 118 | 103 | 19.8 | 19.1 | 22.5 | 21.6 | 19.0 |
| 2 | 39 | 45 | 42 | 38 | 47 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 8.7 |
| 3 | 17 | 31 | 22 | 19 | 18 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| 4 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| 5 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| 6 & Over | 9 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| TOTALS | 526 | 533 | 574 | 547 | 542 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 104 | 102 | 129 | 118 | 103 | 28.9 | 25.1 | 38.9 | 36.0 | 28.0 |
| 2 | 78 | 90 | 84 | 76 | 94 | 21.7 | 22.1 | 25.3 | 23.2 | 25.5 |
| 3 | 51 | 93 | 66 | 57 | 54 | 14.2 | 22.9 | 19.9 | 17.4 | 14.7 |
| 4 | 36 | 60 | 28 | 44 | 32 | 10.0 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 13.4 | 8.7 |
| 5 | 30 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 9.5 |
| 6 & Over | 61 | 37 | 15 | 18 | 50 | 16.9 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 13.6 |
| TOTALS | 360 | 407 | 332 | 328 | 368 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 8 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 8 offenders committed 8.7% of the total new referrals.

Klamath County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 64.3% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 7.5% of offenders committed 45.5% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.66 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.99 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Lake County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 43 | 32 | 28 | 31 | 54 | 65.2 | 64.0 | 70.0 | 56.4 | 73.0 |
| 1 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 21.2 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 23.6 | 12.2 |
| 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 3.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 10.9 | 8.1 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.4 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| 6 & Over | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 66 | 50 | 40 | 55 | 74 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 30.4 | 21.6 | 44.4 | 30.2 | 23.7 |
| 2 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 8.7 | 32.4 | 22.2 | 27.9 | 31.6 |
| 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 13.0 | 8.1 | 33.3 | 20.9 | 31.6 |
| 4 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 34.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 13.2 |
| 6 & Over | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 46 | 37 | 18 | 43 | 38 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 3 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed 31.6% of the total new referrals.

Lake County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 66.0% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.1% of offenders committed 47.3% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.64 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.74 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Lane County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 1,431 | 1,340 | 1,127 | 1,160 | 1,135 | 64.7 | 63.4 | 61.8 | 64.4 | 65.7 |
| 1 | 372 | 361 | 329 | 345 | 317 | 16.8 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 18.3 |
| 2 | 172 | 176 | 169 | 126 | 130 | 7.8 | 8.3 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| 3 | 91 | 85 | 76 | 80 | 51 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.0 |
| 4 | 49 | 54 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 5 | 32 | 31 | 36 | 12 | 13 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| 6 & Over | 64 | 65 | 42 | 32 | 37 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| TOTALS | 2,211 | 2,112 | 1,825 | 1,801 | 1,728 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 372 | 361 | 329 | 345 | 317 | 19.9 | 17.8 | 19.7 | 24.8 | 23.4 |
| 2 | 344 | 352 | 338 | 252 | 260 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 20.2 | 18.1 | 19.2 |
| 3 | 273 | 255 | 228 | 240 | 153 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 13.6 | 17.2 | 11.3 |
| 4 | 196 | 216 | 184 | 184 | 180 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 13.2 | 13.3 |
| 5 | 160 | 155 | 180 | 60 | 65 | 8.6 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 4.8 |
| 6 & Over | 525 | 689 | 415 | 311 | 381 | 28.1 | 34.0 | 24.8 | 22.3 | 28.1 |
| TOTALS | 1,870 | 2,028 | 1,674 | 1,392 | 1,356 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 45 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 45 offenders committed 13.3% of the total new referrals.

Lane County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 64.0% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.2% of offenders committed 60.7% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.86 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 5.12 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Lincoln County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 242 | 252 | 235 | 229 | 210 | 62.5 | 62.7 | 61.4 | 65.6 | 67.1 |
| 1 | 86 | 79 | 93 | 61 | 59 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 24.3 | 17.5 | 18.8 |
| 2 | 28 | 32 | 24 | 28 | 22 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.0 |
| 3 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 9 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 2.9 |
| 4 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 6 & Over | 4 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.3 |
| TOTALS | 387 | 402 | 383 | 349 | 313 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 86 | 79 | 93 | 61 | 59 | 32.5 | 17.0 | 28.9 | 24.5 | 28.9 |
| 2 | 56 | 64 | 48 | 56 | 44 | 21.1 | 13.7 | 14.9 | 22.5 | 21.6 |
| 3 | 48 | 42 | 42 | 48 | 27 | 18.1 | 9.0 | 13.0 | 19.3 | 13.2 |
| 4 | 40 | 24 | 24 | 32 | 16 | 15.1 | 5.2 | 7.5 | 12.9 | 7.8 |
| 5 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 1.9 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 10.0 | 12.3 |
| 6 & Over | 30 | 232 | 90 | 27 | 33 | 11.3 | 49.8 | 28.0 | 10.8 | 16.2 |
| TOTALS | 265 | 466 | 322 | 249 | 204 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed 7.8% of the total new referrals.

Lincoln County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 63.7% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.4% of offenders committed 57.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.82 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 5.58 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Linn County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 602 | 451 | 518 | 437 | 428 | 61.9 | 57.9 | 66.5 | 68.4 | 67.5 |
| 1 | 173 | 160 | 151 | 107 | 123 | 17.8 | 20.5 | 19.4 | 16.7 | 19.4 |
| 2 | 75 | 77 | 58 | 54 | 49 | 7.7 | 9.9 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 7.7 |
| 3 | 48 | 36 | 29 | 20 | 21 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| 4 | 26 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| 5 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 6 & Over | 35 | 24 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 |
| TOTALS | 972 | 779 | 779 | 639 | 634 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 173 | 160 | 151 | 107 | 123 | 17.7 | 20.2 | 31.9 | 26.7 | 30.5 |
| 2 | 150 | 154 | 116 | 108 | 98 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 24.5 | 26.9 | 24.3 |
| 3 | 144 | 108 | 87 | 60 | 63 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 18.4 | 15.0 | 15.6 |
| 4 | 104 | 80 | 40 | 40 | 24 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 6.0 |
| 5 | 65 | 55 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 2.5 |
| 6 & Over | 344 | 235 | 65 | 71 | 85 | 35.1 | 29.7 | 13.7 | 17.7 | 21.1 |
| TOTALS | 980 | 792 | 474 | 401 | 403 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 6 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 6 offenders committed 6.0% of the total new referrals.

Linn County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 64.1% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.9% of offenders committed 56.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.80 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 5.03 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Malheur County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 332 | 278 | 310 | 262 | 277 | 64.1 | 60.2 | 64.2 | 69.9 | 63.8 |
| 1 | 101 | 94 | 95 | 46 | 72 | 19.5 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 12.3 | 16.6 |
| 2 | 36 | 40 | 32 | 27 | 28 | 6.9 | 8.7 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 6.5 |
| 3 | 17 | 23 | 19 | 12 | 16 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| 4 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 |
| 5 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.2 |
| 6 & Over | 14 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 18 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 4.1 |
| TOTALS | 518 | 462 | 483 | 375 | 434 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 101 | 94 | 95 | 46 | 72 | 23.9 | 23.6 | 25.2 | 14.1 | 16.1 |
| 2 | 72 | 80 | 64 | 54 | 56 | 17.0 | 20.1 | 17.0 | 16.6 | 12.6 |
| 3 | 51 | 69 | 57 | 36 | 48 | 12.1 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 11.0 | 10.8 |
| 4 | 36 | 28 | 48 | 24 | 36 | 8.5 | 7.0 | 12.7 | 7.4 | 8.1 |
| 5 | 45 | 40 | 10 | 25 | 70 | 10.6 | 10.1 | 2.7 | 7.7 | 15.7 |
| 6 & Over | 118 | 87 | 103 | 141 | 164 | 27.9 | 21.9 | 27.3 | 43.3 | 36.8 |
| TOTALS | 423 | 398 | 377 | 326 | 446 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 9 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 9 offenders committed 8.1% of the total new referrals.

Malheur County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 64.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.7% of offenders committed 62.7% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.87 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 5.11 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Marion County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 1,461 | 1,500 | 1,382 | 1,291 | 1,396 | 61.4 | 62.9 | 65.1 | 63.3 | 66.5 |
| 1 | 482 | 469 | 405 | 413 | 410 | 20.3 | 19.7 | 19.1 | 20.3 | 19.5 |
| 2 | 212 | 190 | 163 | 167 | 149 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 7.1 |
| 3 | 105 | 99 | 70 | 79 | 84 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| 4 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 38 | 33 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 5 | 24 | 36 | 18 | 25 | 18 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 6 & Over | 47 | 38 | 32 | 25 | 10 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 |
| TOTALS | 2,379 | 2,383 | 2,122 | 2,038 | 2,100 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 482 | 469 | 405 | 413 | 410 | 25.0 | 24.6 | 26.9 | 28.5 | 32.7 |
| 2 | 424 | 380 | 326 | 334 | 298 | 22.0 | 19.9 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 23.8 |
| 3 | 315 | 297 | 210 | 237 | 252 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 14.0 | 16.4 | 20.1 |
| 4 | 192 | 204 | 208 | 152 | 132 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 13.8 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| 5 | 120 | 180 | 90 | 125 | 90 | 6.2 | 9.4 | 6.0 | 8.6 | 7.2 |
| 6 & Over | 397 | 376 | 265 | 186 | 71 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 17.6 | 12.9 | 5.7 |
| TOTALS | 1,930 | 1,906 | 1,504 | 1,447 | 1,253 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 33 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 33 offenders committed 10.5% of the total new referrals.

Marion County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 63.8% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.5% of offenders committed 51.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.73 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.40 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Morrow County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 48 | 37 | 67 | 56 | 71 | 62.3 | 48.1 | 56.3 | 53.3 | 58.7 |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 31 | 13.0 | 19.5 | 16.0 | 21.0 | 25.6 |
| 2 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 5.0 |
| 3 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 6.5 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 2.5 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| 6 & Over | 5 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6.5 | 10.4 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| TOTALS | 77 | 77 | 119 | 105 | 121 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 31 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 18.6 | 28.7 |
| 2 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 12 | 22.5 | 16.9 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 11.1 |
| 3 | 15 | 9 | 27 | 24 | 9 | 18.8 | 7.6 | 18.8 | 20.3 | 8.3 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 13.9 | 6.8 | 11.1 |
| 5 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 6.9 | 12.7 | 9.3 |
| 6 & Over | 37 | 55 | 46 | 29 | 34 | 46.3 | 46.6 | 31.9 | 24.6 | 31.5 |
| TOTALS | 80 | 118 | 144 | 118 | 108 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed 11.1% of the total new referrals.

Morrow County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 55.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 15.4% of offenders committed 66.7% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 1.14 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.92 offenders with three or more new crimes.

Multnomah County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 2,706 | 2,601 | 2,383 | 2,095 | 1,987 | 61.9 | 62.2 | 61.7 | 63.0 | 62.1 |
| 1 | 892 | 797 | 820 | 623 | 615 | 20.4 | 19.1 | 21.2 | 18.7 | 19.2 |
| 2 | 367 | 386 | 306 | 298 | 248 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 9.0 | 7.7 |
| 3 | 200 | 182 | 158 | 145 | 122 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| 4 | 90 | 101 | 90 | 57 | 102 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 3.2 |
| 5 | 57 | 56 | 36 | 42 | 54 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| 6 & Over | 58 | 56 | 69 | 63 | 73 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| TOTALS | 4,370 | 4,179 | 3,862 | 3,323 | 3,201 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 892 | 797 | 820 | 623 | 615 | 26.8 | 24.8 | 27.5 | 24.6 | 22.5 |
| 2 | 734 | 772 | 612 | 596 | 496 | 22.0 | 24.0 | 20.5 | 23.5 | 18.1 |
| 3 | 600 | 546 | 474 | 435 | 366 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 15.9 | 17.2 | 13.4 |
| 4 | 360 | 404 | 360 | 228 | 408 | 10.8 | 12.6 | 12.1 | 9.0 | 14.9 |
| 5 | 285 | 280 | 180 | 210 | 270 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 8.3 | 9.9 |
| 6 & Over | 463 | 413 | 540 | 441 | 583 | 13.9 | 12.9 | 18.1 | 17.4 | 21.3 |
| TOTALS | 3,334 | 3,212 | 2,986 | 2,533 | 2,738 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 102 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 102 offenders committed 14.9% of the total new referrals.

Multnomah County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 62.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.6% of offenders committed 53.0% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.78 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.33 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Polk County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 278 | 295 | 288 | 268 | 310 | 60.3 | 62.4 | 65.8 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| 1 | 80 | 91 | 86 | 78 | 85 | 17.4 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 19.5 | 18.5 |
| 2 | 49 | 46 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 6.3 |
| 3 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 12 | 17 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| 4 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| 5 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| 6 & Over | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS | 461 | 473 | 438 | 399 | 460 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 80 | 91 | 86 | 78 | 85 | 20.3 | 26.5 | 31.7 | 34.1 | 27.8 |
| 2 | 98 | 92 | 64 | 62 | 58 | 24.8 | 26.8 | 23.6 | 27.1 | 19.0 |
| 3 | 81 | 72 | 57 | 36 | 51 | 20.5 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 15.7 | 16.7 |
| 4 | 48 | 24 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 12.2 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 7.0 | 7.8 |
| 5 | 45 | 35 | 15 | 5 | 30 | 11.4 | 10.2 | 5.5 | 2.2 | 9.8 |
| 6 & Over | 43 | 29 | 25 | 32 | 58 | 10.9 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 14.0 | 19.0 |
| TOTALS | 395 | 343 | 271 | 229 | 306 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 6 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed 7.8% of the total new referrals.

Polk County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 64.5% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 8.3% of offenders committed 48.6% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.69 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.05 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Sherman County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 2 offenders had 1 new referral].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 2 offenders committed 100% of the total new referrals.

Sherman County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 54.6% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.1% of offenders committed 42.9% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.64 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 3.00 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Tillamook County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 130 | 132 | 123 | 138 | 116 | 57.0 | 60.8 | 55.2 | 67.0 | 57.1 |
| 1 | 63 | 44 | 56 | 35 | 46 | 27.6 | 20.3 | 25.1 | 17.0 | 22.7 |
| 2 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 9.4 |
| 3 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| 4 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 6 & Over | 2 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 5.9 |
| TOTALS | 228 | 217 | 223 | 206 | 203 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 63 | 44 | 56 | 35 | 46 | 34.8 | 27.8 | 28.0 | 22.0 | 21.3 |
| 2 | 38 | 42 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 21.0 | 26.6 | 19.0 | 23.9 | 17.6 |
| 3 | 18 | 30 | 33 | 6 | 18 | 9.9 | 19.0 | 16.5 | 3.8 | 8.3 |
| 4 | 12 | 32 | 28 | 12 | 12 | 6.6 | 20.3 | 14.0 | 7.5 | 5.6 |
| 5 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 13.8 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| 6 & Over | 25 | 0 | 30 | 63 | 97 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 39.6 | 44.9 |
| TOTALS | 181 | 158 | 200 | 159 | 216 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 3 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 3 offenders committed 5.6% of the total new referrals.

Tillamook County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 59.3% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.0% of offenders committed 52.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.85 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.91 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Umatilla County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 484 | 457 | 421 | 430 | 431 | 64.1 | 59.0 | 58.1 | 61.3 | 63.7 |
| 1 | 151 | 156 | 140 | 150 | 129 | 20.0 | 20.1 | 19.3 | 21.4 | 19.1 |
| 2 | 46 | 85 | 82 | 46 | 48 | 6.1 | 11.0 | 11.3 | 6.6 | 7.1 |
| 3 | 39 | 36 | 36 | 28 | 27 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 4 | 16 | 15 | 19 | 21 | 17 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| 5 | 9 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 6 & Over | 10 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| TOTALS | 755 | 775 | 725 | 701 | 677 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 151 | 156 | 140 | 150 | 129 | 27.5 | 23.9 | 21.1 | 26.5 | 24.2 |
| 2 | 92 | 170 | 164 | 92 | 96 | 16.8 | 26.0 | 24.8 | 16.2 | 18.0 |
| 3 | 117 | 108 | 108 | 84 | 81 | 21.3 | 16.5 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 15.2 |
| 4 | 64 | 60 | 76 | 84 | 68 | 11.7 | 9.2 | 11.5 | 14.8 | 12.8 |
| 5 | 45 | 70 | 85 | 65 | 70 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 13.2 |
| 6 & Over | 80 | 90 | 89 | 92 | 88 | 14.6 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 16.5 |
| TOTALS | 549 | 654 | 662 | 567 | 532 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 17 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 17 offenders committed 12.8% of the total new referrals.

Umatilla County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 61.2% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.4% of offenders committed 54.8% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.82 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.31 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Union County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 149 | 113 | 128 | 148 | 82 | 65.6 | 61.7 | 65.0 | 64.1 | 61.2 |
| 1 | 39 | 31 | 38 | 52 | 36 | 17.2 | 16.9 | 19.3 | 22.5 | 26.9 |
| 2 | 18 | 14 | 21 | 22 | 6 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 10.7 | 9.5 | 4.5 |
| 3 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 2.2 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| 5 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| 6 & Over | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.5 |
| TOTALS | 227 | 183 | 197 | 231 | 134 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 39 | 31 | 38 | 52 | 36 | 23.5 | 17.3 | 31.7 | 38.5 | 39.1 |
| 2 | 36 | 28 | 42 | 44 | 12 | 21.7 | 15.6 | 35.0 | 32.6 | 13.0 |
| 3 | 27 | 30 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 16.3 | 16.8 | 15.0 | 6.7 | 9.8 |
| 4 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 12.0 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 17.4 |
| 5 | 25 | 35 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 15.1 | 19.6 | 4.2 | 14.8 | 5.4 |
| 6 & Over | 19 | 47 | 9 | 6 | 14 | 11.4 | 26.3 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 15.2 |
| TOTALS | 166 | 179 | 120 | 135 | 92 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 4 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 4 offenders committed 17.4% of the total new referrals.

Union County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 63.8% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 7.7% of offenders committed 48.3% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.71 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.45 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Wallowa County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 37 | 52.2 | 45.8 | 71.4 | 75.0 | 75.5 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 13.0 | 25.0 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 18.4 |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 17.4 | 12.5 | 3.6 | 7.5 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13.0 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 4.1 |
| TOTALS | 23 | 24 | 28 | 40 | 49 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 12.0 | 23.1 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 27.3 |
| 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 32.0 | 23.1 | 11.1 | 20.7 | 0.0 |
| 3 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 36.0 | 34.6 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 12.1 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 20 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.8 | 60.6 |
| TOTALS | 25 | 26 | 18 | 29 | 33 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 1 offender had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 1 offender committed 12.1% of the total new referrals.

Wallowa County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 67.1% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 10.4% of offenders committed 63.4% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.80 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.88 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Wasco County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 171 | 182 | 179 | 164 | 130 | 63.3 | 70.5 | 66.1 | 63.3 | 66.7 |
| 1 | 59 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 21.9 | 18.6 | 18.8 | 20.1 | 20.5 |
| 2 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 12 | 6.7 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 9.3 | 6.2 |
| 3 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.6 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 |
| 6 & Over | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 0.5 |
| TOTALS | 270 | 258 | 271 | 259 | 195 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 59 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 40 | 31.6 | 41.0 | 30.9 | 27.1 | 33.9 |
| 2 | 36 | 40 | 44 | 48 | 24 | 19.3 | 34.2 | 26.7 | 25.0 | 20.3 |
| 3 | 27 | 15 | 39 | 18 | 12 | 14.4 | 12.8 | 23.6 | 9.4 | 10.2 |
| 4 | 24 | 4 | 16 | 20 | 20 | 12.8 | 3.4 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 16.9 |
| 5 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 10.7 | 8.5 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 12.7 |
| 6 & Over | 21 | 0 | 10 | 49 | 7 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 25.5 | 5.9 |
| TOTALS | 187 | 117 | 165 | 192 | 118 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 5 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 5 offenders committed 16.9% of the total new referrals.

Wasco County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 65.9% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 6.5% of offenders committed 43.3% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.62 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.16 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Washington County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 1,290 | 1,241 | 1,131 | 1,080 | 1,189 | 66.8 | 63.4 | 67.5 | 68.6 | 71.0 |
| 1 | 306 | 369 | 301 | 297 | 288 | 15.8 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 18.9 | 17.2 |
| 2 | 152 | 182 | 120 | 106 | 94 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 5.6 |
| 3 | 83 | 81 | 43 | 44 | 47 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| 4 | 42 | 46 | 26 | 15 | 21 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| 5 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 6 & Over | 36 | 19 | 39 | 20 | 23 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| TOTALS | 1,932 | 1,957 | 1,676 | 1,574 | 1,674 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 306 | 369 | 301 | 297 | 288 | 21.4 | 26.7 | 25.6 | 32.6 | 29.5 |
| 2 | 304 | 364 | 240 | 212 | 188 | 21.3 | 26.3 | 20.4 | 23.3 | 19.2 |
| 3 | 249 | 243 | 129 | 132 | 141 | 17.4 | 17.6 | 11.0 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
| 4 | 168 | 184 | 104 | 60 | 84 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 8.6 |
| 5 | 115 | 95 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 8.1 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.1 |
| 6 & Over | 285 | 129 | 322 | 149 | 216 | 20.0 | 9.3 | 27.4 | 16.4 | 22.1 |
| TOTALS | 1,427 | 1,384 | 1,176 | 910 | 977 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 21 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 21 offenders committed 8.6% of the total new referrals.

Washington County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 67.3% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 7.6% of offenders committed 51.2% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.67 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.51 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

Wheeler County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals

| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------|------|------|------|----------------------|-------|------|------|-------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | 1 | na | na | 1 | na | 100.0 | na | na | 100.0 |
| 1 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 3 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | 1 | na | na | 1 | na | 100% | na | na | 100% |


| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 1 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 2 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 3 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 4 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 5 | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| 6 & Over | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 0.0 | na | na | 0.0 |
| TOTALS | na | 0 | na | na | 0 | na | 100% | na | na | 100% |

"na": Data not available – no offenders.


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 1 offender had no new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row.

Wheeler County

1997 & 2000 Offender Recidivism



1997 & 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1997 & 2000:

- 100% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.0 for all offenders.

Yamhill County

The table below is the overview data on juvenile recidivism in the county for the years 1996 through 2000. Recidivism is based on a new criminal referral within 12 months of the initial referral. The data exclude violations and status offenses.

1996 - 2000 Offenders New Criminal Referrals


| Number of New Referrals | Number of Offenders | | | | | Percent of Offenders | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 467 | 470 | 417 | 446 | 395 | 56.0 | 60.6 | 59.7 | 61.3 | 66.2 |
| 1 | 186 | 149 | 145 | 157 | 112 | 22.3 | 19.2 | 20.7 | 21.6 | 18.8 |
| 2 | 76 | 69 | 75 | 68 | 50 | 9.1 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 9.4 | 8.4 |
| 3 | 40 | 36 | 30 | 26 | 23 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 3.9 |
| 4 | 28 | 26 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| 5 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 6 & Over | 24 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 2.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| TOTALS | 834 | 775 | 699 | 727 | 597 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Number of New Referrals | Total New Referrals (offenders x referrals) | | | | | Percent of Overall Crime | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 | 186 | 149 | 145 | 157 | 112 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 26.3 | 30.4 | 31.2 |
| 2 | 152 | 138 | 150 | 136 | 100 | 18.4 | 21.0 | 27.2 | 26.3 | 27.9 |
| 3 | 120 | 108 | 90 | 78 | 69 | 14.5 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 15.1 | 19.2 |
| 4 | 112 | 104 | 60 | 64 | 40 | 13.5 | 15.8 | 10.9 | 12.4 | 11.1 |
| 5 | 65 | 60 | 35 | 40 | 25 | 7.9 | 9.1 | 6.3 | 7.7 | 7.0 |
| 6 & Over | 193 | 98 | 72 | 42 | 13 | 23.3 | 14.9 | 13.0 | 8.1 | 3.6 |
| TOTALS | 828 | 657 | 552 | 517 | 359 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |


- Number of new referrals: The number of new referrals youth were involved in over 12 months.
- Number of offenders: The number of offenders corresponds to the number of new referrals [e.g., in 2000, 10 offenders had 4 new referrals].
- Percent of offenders: This column shows the percentage of offenders based on the total number of all offenders.
- Total new referrals: The total number of subsequent referrals per row.
- Percent of overall crime: The percentage of overall crime per row. For example, in 2000, 10 offenders committed 11.1% of the total new referrals.

Yamhill County

1996 - 2000 Offender Recidivism



1996 - 2000 Offender Averages - New Criminal Referrals



Summary of findings, averages for 1996 – 2000:

- 60.4% of offenders did not commit a new crime.
- 9.6% of offenders committed 51.1% of new crime.
- Referral Rate = 0.80 for all offenders.
- Referral Rate = 4.25 for offenders with three or more new crimes.

1996

| 1996 | | No New Referrals | | | 1 New Referral | | | 2 New Referrals | | | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals | | | | Re-referral Rate | | |
|------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| County | Total Number | Total Number | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Total Number | | | | Rate - All Offenders | Rate - Chronic Offenders |
| | of Offenders | of New Referrals | No New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 1 New Referral | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 2 New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | Chronic Offenders | of 3+ Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | | |
| Baker | 116 | 125 | 66 | 56.9% | 0.0% | 25 | 21.6% | 20.0% | 11 | 9.5% | 17.6% | 14 | 78 | 12.1% | 62.4% | 1.08 | 5.57 |
| Benton | 145 | 175 | 80 | 55.2% | 0.0% | 30 | 20.7% | 17.1% | 16 | 11.0% | 18.3% | 19 | 113 | 13.1% | 64.6% | 1.21 | 5.95 |
| Clackamas | 1680 | 964 | 1193 | 71.0% | 0.0% | 282 | 16.8% | 29.3% | 108 | 6.4% | 22.4% | 97 | 466 | 5.8% | 48.3% | 0.57 | 4.80 |
| Clatsop | 365 | 218 | 267 | 73.2% | 0.0% | 48 | 13.2% | 22.0% | 19 | 5.2% | 17.4% | 31 | 132 | 8.5% | 60.6% | 0.60 | 4.26 |
| Columbia | 337 | 220 | 233 | 69.1% | 0.0% | 57 | 16.9% | 25.9% | 22 | 6.5% | 20.0% | 25 | 119 | 7.4% | 54.1% | 0.65 | 4.76 |
| Coos | 644 | 592 | 380 | 59.0% | 0.0% | 126 | 19.6% | 21.3% | 54 | 8.4% | 18.2% | 84 | 358 | 13.0% | 60.5% | 0.92 | 4.26 |
| Crook | 6 | 2 | 4 | 66.7% | 0.0% | 2 | 33.3% | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.33 | 0.00 |
| Curry | 208 | 177 | 128 | 61.5% | 0.0% | 41 | 19.7% | 23.2% | 15 | 7.2% | 16.9% | 24 | 106 | 11.5% | 59.9% | 0.85 | 4.42 |
| Deschutes | 722 | 872 | 351 | 48.6% | 0.0% | 165 | 22.9% | 18.9% | 88 | 12.2% | 20.2% | 118 | 531 | 16.3% | 60.9% | 1.21 | 4.50 |
| Douglas | 1062 | 1315 | 617 | 58.1% | 0.0% | 213 | 20.1% | 16.2% | 77 | 7.3% | 11.7% | 155 | 948 | 14.6% | 72.1% | 1.24 | 6.12 |
| Gilliam | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grant | 8 | 5 | 4 | 50.0% | 0.0% | 3 | 37.5% | 60.0% | 1 | 12.5% | 40.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.63 | n/a |
| Harney | 52 | 21 | 40 | 76.9% | 0.0% | 7 | 13.5% | 33.3% | 2 | 3.8% | 19.0% | 3 | 10 | 5.8% | 47.6% | 0.40 | 3.33 |
| Hood River | 9 | 3 | 6 | 66.7% | 0.0% | 3 | 33.3% | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.33 | n/a |
| Jackson | 1940 | 1441 | 1238 | 63.8% | 0.0% | 369 | 19.0% | 25.6% | 158 | 8.1% | 21.9% | 175 | 756 | 9.0% | 52.5% | 0.74 | 4.32 |
| Jefferson | 241 | 191 | 136 | 56.4% | 0.0% | 61 | 25.3% | 31.9% | 23 | 9.5% | 24.1% | 21 | 84 | 8.7% | 44.0% | 0.79 | 4.00 |
| Josephine | 420 | 499 | 247 | 58.8% | 0.0% | 76 | 18.1% | 15.2% | 39 | 9.3% | 15.6% | 58 | 345 | 13.8% | 69.1% | 1.19 | 5.95 |
| Klamath | 526 | 360 | 342 | 65.0% | 0.0% | 104 | 19.8% | 28.9% | 39 | 7.4% | 21.7% | 41 | 178 | 7.8% | 49.4% | 0.68 | 4.34 |
| Lake | 66 | 46 | 43 | 65.2% | 0.0% | 14 | 21.2% | 30.4% | 2 | 3.0% | 8.7% | 7 | 28 | 10.6% | 60.9% | 0.70 | 4.00 |
| Lane | 2211 | 1870 | 1431 | 64.7% | 0.0% | 372 | 16.8% | 19.9% | 172 | 7.8% | 18.4% | 236 | 1154 | 10.7% | 61.7% | 0.85 | 4.89 |
| Lincoln | 387 | 265 | 242 | 62.5% | 0.0% | 86 | 22.2% | 32.5% | 28 | 7.2% | 21.1% | 31 | 123 | 8.0% | 46.4% | 0.68 | 3.97 |
| Linn | 972 | 980 | 602 | 61.9% | 0.0% | 173 | 17.8% | 17.7% | 75 | 7.7% | 15.3% | 122 | 657 | 12.6% | 67.0% | 1.01 | 5.39 |
| Malheur | 518 | 423 | 332 | 64.1% | 0.0% | 101 | 19.5% | 23.9% | 36 | 6.9% | 17.0% | 49 | 250 | 9.5% | 59.1% | 0.82 | 5.10 |
| Marion | 2379 | 1930 | 1461 | 61.4% | 0.0% | 482 | 20.3% | 25.0% | 212 | 8.9% | 22.0% | 224 | 1024 | 9.4% | 53.1% | 0.81 | 4.57 |
| Morrow | 77 | 80 | 48 | 62.3% | 0.0% | 10 | 13.0% | 12.5% | 9 | 11.7% | 22.5% | 10 | 52 | 13.0% | 65.0% | 1.04 | 5.20 |
| Multnomah | 4370 | 3334 | 2706 | 61.9% | 0.0% | 892 | 20.4% | 26.8% | 367 | 8.4% | 22.0% | 405 | 1708 | 9.3% | 51.2% | 0.76 | 4.22 |
| Polk | 461 | 395 | 278 | 60.3% | 0.0% | 80 | 17.4% | 20.3% | 49 | 10.6% | 24.8% | 54 | 217 | 11.7% | 54.9% | 0.86 | 4.02 |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.00 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 228 | 181 | 130 | 57.0% | 0.0% | 63 | 27.6% | 34.8% | 19 | 8.3% | 21.0% | 16 | 80 | 7.0% | 44.2% | 0.79 | 5.00 |
| Umatilla | 755 | 549 | 484 | 64.1% | 0.0% | 151 | 20.0% | 27.5% | 46 | 6.1% | 16.8% | 74 | 306 | 9.8% | 55.7% | 0.73 | 4.14 |
| Union | 227 | 166 | 149 | 65.6% | 0.0% | 39 | 17.2% | 23.5% | 18 | 7.9% | 21.7% | 21 | 91 | 9.3% | 54.8% | 0.73 | 4.33 |
| Wallowa | 23 | 25 | 12 | 52.2% | 0.0% | 3 | 13.0% | 12.0% | 4 | 17.4% | 32.0% | 4 | 14 | 17.4% | 56.0% | 1.09 | 3.50 |
| Wasco | 270 | 187 | 171 | 63.3% | 0.0% | 59 | 21.9% | 31.6% | 18 | 6.7% | 19.3% | 22 | 92 | 8.1% | 49.2% | 0.69 | 4.18 |
| Washington | 1932 | 1427 | 1290 | 66.8% | 0.0% | 306 | 15.8% | 21.4% | 152 | 7.9% | 21.3% | 184 | 817 | 9.5% | 57.3% | 0.74 | 4.44 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Yamhill | 834 | 828 | 467 | 56.0% | 0.0% | 186 | 22.3% | 22.5% | 76 | 9.1% | 18.4% | 105 | 490 | 12.6% | 59.2% | 0.99 | 4.67 |

Juvenile Recidivism

1997

| 1997 | | No New Referrals | | | 1 New Referral | | | 2 New Referrals | | | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals | | | | Re-referral Rate | | |
|------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| County | Total Number | Total Number | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Total Number | | | | Rate - All Offenders | Rate - Chronic Offenders |
| | of Offenders | of New Referrals | No New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 1 New Referral | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 2 New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | Chronic Offenders | of 3+ Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | | |
| Baker | 130 | 249 | 73 | 56.2% | 0.0% | 20 | 15.4% | 8.0% | 12 | 9.2% | 9.6% | 25 | 205 | 19.2% | 82.3% | 1.92 | 8.20 |
| Benton | 200 | 312 | 103 | 51.5% | 0.0% | 46 | 23.0% | 14.7% | 13 | 6.5% | 8.3% | 38 | 240 | 19.0% | 76.9% | 1.56 | 6.32 |
| Clackamas | 1735 | 935 | 1213 | 69.9% | 0.0% | 295 | 17.0% | 31.6% | 128 | 7.4% | 27.4% | 99 | 384 | 5.7% | 41.1% | 0.54 | 3.88 |
| Clatsop | 321 | 158 | 235 | 73.2% | 0.0% | 59 | 18.4% | 37.3% | 12 | 3.7% | 15.2% | 15 | 75 | 4.7% | 47.5% | 0.49 | 5.00 |
| Columbia | 339 | 230 | 232 | 68.4% | 0.0% | 59 | 17.4% | 25.7% | 20 | 5.9% | 17.4% | 28 | 131 | 8.3% | 57.0% | 0.68 | 4.68 |
| Coos | 577 | 568 | 335 | 58.1% | 0.0% | 110 | 19.1% | 19.4% | 51 | 8.8% | 18.0% | 81 | 356 | 14.0% | 62.7% | 0.98 | 4.40 |
| Crook | 8 | 2 | 7 | 87.5% | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 | 12.5% | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.25 | n/a |
| Curry | 175 | 147 | 98 | 56.0% | 0.0% | 44 | 25.1% | 29.9% | 15 | 8.6% | 20.4% | 18 | 73 | 10.3% | 49.7% | 0.84 | 4.06 |
| Deschutes | 742 | 902 | 351 | 47.3% | 0.0% | 188 | 25.3% | 20.8% | 98 | 13.2% | 21.7% | 105 | 518 | 14.2% | 57.4% | 1.22 | 4.93 |
| Douglas | 949 | 1061 | 546 | 57.5% | 0.0% | 201 | 21.2% | 18.9% | 88 | 9.3% | 16.6% | 114 | 684 | 12.0% | 64.5% | 1.12 | 6.00 |
| Gilliam | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Grant | 19 | 7 | 15 | 78.9% | 0.0% | 2 | 10.5% | 28.6% | 1 | 5.3% | 28.6% | 1 | 3 | 5.3% | 42.9% | 0.37 | 3.00 |
| Harney | 22 | 14 | 14 | 63.6% | 0.0% | 4 | 18.2% | 28.6% | 2 | 9.1% | 28.6% | 2 | 6 | 9.1% | 42.9% | 0.64 | 3.00 |
| Hood River | 20 | 10 | 13 | 65.0% | 0.0% | 5 | 25.0% | 50.0% | 1 | 5.0% | 20.0% | 1 | 3 | 5.0% | 30.0% | 0.50 | 3.00 |
| Jackson | 1866 | 1597 | 1127 | 60.4% | 0.0% | 374 | 20.0% | 23.4% | 161 | 8.6% | 20.2% | 204 | 901 | 10.9% | 56.4% | 0.86 | 4.42 |
| Jefferson | 212 | 191 | 110 | 51.9% | 0.0% | 57 | 26.9% | 29.8% | 24 | 11.3% | 25.1% | 21 | 86 | 9.9% | 45.0% | 0.90 | 4.10 |
| Josephine | 488 | 590 | 280 | 57.4% | 0.0% | 87 | 17.8% | 14.7% | 49 | 10.0% | 16.6% | 72 | 405 | 14.8% | 68.6% | 1.21 | 5.63 |
| Klamath | 533 | 407 | 330 | 61.9% | 0.0% | 102 | 19.1% | 25.1% | 45 | 8.4% | 22.1% | 56 | 215 | 10.5% | 52.8% | 0.76 | 3.84 |
| Lake | 50 | 37 | 32 | 64.0% | 0.0% | 8 | 16.0% | 21.6% | 6 | 12.0% | 32.4% | 4 | 17 | 8.0% | 45.9% | 0.74 | 4.25 |
| Lane | 2112 | 2028 | 1340 | 63.4% | 0.0% | 361 | 17.1% | 17.8% | 176 | 8.3% | 17.4% | 235 | 1315 | 11.1% | 64.8% | 0.96 | 5.60 |
| Lincoln | 402 | 466 | 252 | 62.7% | 0.0% | 79 | 19.7% | 17.0% | 32 | 8.0% | 13.7% | 39 | 323 | 9.7% | 69.3% | 1.16 | 8.28 |
| Linn | 779 | 792 | 451 | 57.9% | 0.0% | 160 | 20.5% | 20.2% | 77 | 9.9% | 19.4% | 91 | 478 | 11.7% | 60.4% | 1.02 | 5.25 |
| Malheur | 462 | 398 | 278 | 60.2% | 0.0% | 94 | 20.3% | 23.6% | 40 | 8.7% | 20.1% | 50 | 224 | 10.8% | 56.3% | 0.86 | 4.48 |
| Marion | 2383 | 1906 | 1500 | 62.9% | 0.0% | 469 | 19.7% | 24.6% | 190 | 8.0% | 19.9% | 224 | 1057 | 9.4% | 55.5% | 0.80 | 4.72 |
| Morrow | 77 | 118 | 37 | 48.1% | 0.0% | 15 | 19.5% | 12.7% | 10 | 13.0% | 16.9% | 15 | 83 | 19.5% | 70.3% | 1.53 | 5.53 |
| Multnomah | 4179 | 3212 | 2601 | 62.2% | 0.0% | 797 | 19.1% | 24.8% | 386 | 9.2% | 24.0% | 395 | 1643 | 9.5% | 51.2% | 0.77 | 4.16 |
| Polk | 473 | 343 | 295 | 62.4% | 0.0% | 91 | 19.2% | 26.5% | 46 | 9.7% | 26.8% | 41 | 160 | 8.7% | 46.6% | 0.73 | 3.90 |
| Sherman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.00 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 217 | 158 | 132 | 60.8% | 0.0% | 44 | 20.3% | 27.8% | 21 | 9.7% | 26.6% | 20 | 72 | 9.2% | 45.6% | 0.73 | 3.60 |
| Umatilla | 775 | 654 | 457 | 59.0% | 0.0% | 156 | 20.1% | 23.9% | 85 | 11.0% | 26.0% | 77 | 328 | 9.9% | 50.2% | 0.84 | 4.26 |
| Union | 183 | 179 | 113 | 61.7% | 0.0% | 31 | 16.9% | 17.3% | 14 | 7.7% | 15.6% | 25 | 120 | 13.7% | 67.0% | 0.98 | 4.80 |
| Wallowa | 24 | 26 | 11 | 45.8% | 0.0% | 6 | 25.0% | 23.1% | 3 | 12.5% | 23.1% | 4 | 14 | 16.7% | 53.8% | 1.08 | 3.50 |
| Wasco | 258 | 117 | 182 | 70.5% | 0.0% | 48 | 18.6% | 41.0% | 20 | 7.8% | 34.2% | 8 | 29 | 3.1% | 24.8% | 0.45 | 3.63 |
| Washington | 1957 | 1384 | 1241 | 63.4% | 0.0% | 369 | 18.9% | 26.7% | 182 | 9.3% | 26.3% | 165 | 651 | 8.4% | 47.0% | 0.71 | 3.95 |
| Wheeler | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Yamhill | 775 | 657 | 470 | 60.6% | 0.0% | 149 | 19.2% | 22.7% | 69 | 8.9% | 21.0% | 87 | 370 | 11.2% | 56.3% | 0.85 | 4.25 |

1998

| County | 1998 | | No New Referrals | | | 1 New Referral | | | 2 New Referrals | | | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals | | | | Re-referral Rate | |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Total Number of Offenders | Total Number of New Referrals | No New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 1 New Referral | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 2 New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | Chronic Offenders | of 3+ Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | Rate - All Offenders | Rate - Chronic Offenders |
| Baker | 122 | 318 | 59 | 48.4% | 0.0% | 16 | 13.1% | 5.0% | 21 | 17.2% | 13.2% | 26 | 260 | 21.3% | 81.8% | 2.61 | 10.00 |
| Benton | 231 | 448 | 109 | 47.2% | 0.0% | 46 | 19.9% | 10.3% | 33 | 14.3% | 14.7% | 43 | 336 | 18.6% | 75.0% | 1.94 | 7.81 |
| Clackamas | 1543 | 782 | 1108 | 71.8% | 0.0% | 268 | 17.4% | 34.3% | 93 | 6.0% | 23.8% | 74 | 328 | 4.8% | 41.9% | 0.51 | 4.43 |
| Clatsop | 297 | 144 | 217 | 73.1% | 0.0% | 46 | 15.5% | 31.9% | 19 | 6.4% | 26.4% | 15 | 60 | 5.1% | 41.7% | 0.48 | 4.00 |
| Columbia | 356 | 235 | 230 | 64.6% | 0.0% | 71 | 19.9% | 30.2% | 22 | 6.2% | 18.7% | 33 | 120 | 9.3% | 51.1% | 0.66 | 3.64 |
| Coos | 611 | 557 | 359 | 58.8% | 0.0% | 129 | 21.1% | 23.2% | 43 | 7.0% | 15.4% | 80 | 342 | 13.1% | 61.4% | 0.91 | 4.28 |
| Crook | 19 | 13 | 11 | 57.9% | 0.0% | 5 | 26.3% | 38.5% | 1 | 5.3% | 15.4% | 2 | 6 | 10.5% | 46.2% | 0.68 | 3.00 |
| Curry | 224 | 188 | 141 | 62.9% | 0.0% | 41 | 18.3% | 21.8% | 22 | 9.8% | 23.4% | 20 | 103 | 8.9% | 54.8% | 0.84 | 5.15 |
| Deschutes | 917 | 1031 | 450 | 49.1% | 0.0% | 222 | 24.2% | 21.5% | 109 | 11.9% | 21.1% | 136 | 591 | 14.8% | 57.3% | 1.12 | 4.35 |
| Douglas | 907 | 768 | 570 | 62.8% | 0.0% | 176 | 19.4% | 22.9% | 75 | 8.3% | 19.5% | 86 | 442 | 9.5% | 57.6% | 0.85 | 5.14 |
| Gilliam | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Grant | 19 | 6 | 15 | 78.9% | 0.0% | 3 | 15.8% | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 | 3 | 5.3% | 50.0% | 0.32 | 3.00 |
| Harney | 12 | 7 | 8 | 66.7% | 0.0% | 1 | 8.3% | 14.3% | 3 | 25.0% | 85.7% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.58 | n/a |
| Hood River | 30 | 36 | 14 | 46.7% | 0.0% | 7 | 23.3% | 19.4% | 3 | 10.0% | 16.7% | 6 | 23 | 20.0% | 63.9% | 1.20 | 3.83 |
| Jackson | 1848 | 1384 | 1191 | 64.4% | 0.0% | 341 | 18.5% | 24.6% | 146 | 7.9% | 21.1% | 170 | 751 | 9.2% | 54.3% | 0.75 | 4.42 |
| Jefferson | 247 | 175 | 154 | 62.3% | 0.0% | 51 | 20.6% | 29.1% | 26 | 10.5% | 29.7% | 16 | 72 | 6.5% | 41.1% | 0.71 | 4.50 |
| Josephine | 482 | 356 | 310 | 64.3% | 0.0% | 92 | 19.1% | 25.8% | 38 | 7.9% | 21.3% | 42 | 188 | 8.7% | 52.8% | 0.74 | 4.48 |
| Klamath | 574 | 332 | 370 | 64.5% | 0.0% | 129 | 22.5% | 38.9% | 42 | 7.3% | 25.3% | 33 | 119 | 5.7% | 35.8% | 0.58 | 3.61 |
| Lake | 40 | 18 | 28 | 70.0% | 0.0% | 8 | 20.0% | 44.4% | 2 | 5.0% | 22.2% | 2 | 6 | 5.0% | 33.3% | 0.45 | 3.00 |
| Lane | 1825 | 1674 | 1127 | 61.8% | 0.0% | 329 | 18.0% | 19.7% | 169 | 9.3% | 20.2% | 200 | 1007 | 11.0% | 60.2% | 0.92 | 5.04 |
| Lincoln | 383 | 322 | 235 | 61.4% | 0.0% | 93 | 24.3% | 28.9% | 24 | 6.3% | 14.9% | 31 | 181 | 8.1% | 56.2% | 0.84 | 5.84 |
| Linn | 779 | 474 | 518 | 66.5% | 0.0% | 151 | 19.4% | 31.9% | 58 | 7.4% | 24.5% | 52 | 207 | 6.7% | 43.7% | 0.61 | 3.98 |
| Malheur | 483 | 377 | 310 | 64.2% | 0.0% | 95 | 19.7% | 25.2% | 32 | 6.6% | 17.0% | 46 | 218 | 9.5% | 57.8% | 0.78 | 4.74 |
| Marion | 2122 | 1504 | 1382 | 65.1% | 0.0% | 405 | 19.1% | 26.9% | 163 | 7.7% | 21.7% | 172 | 773 | 8.1% | 51.4% | 0.71 | 4.49 |
| Morrow | 119 | 144 | 67 | 56.3% | 0.0% | 19 | 16.0% | 13.2% | 11 | 9.2% | 15.3% | 22 | 103 | 18.5% | 71.5% | 1.21 | 4.68 |
| Multnomah | 3862 | 2986 | 2383 | 61.7% | 0.0% | 820 | 21.2% | 27.5% | 306 | 7.9% | 20.5% | 353 | 1554 | 9.1% | 52.0% | 0.77 | 4.40 |
| Polk | 438 | 271 | 288 | 65.8% | 0.0% | 86 | 19.6% | 31.7% | 32 | 7.3% | 23.6% | 32 | 121 | 7.3% | 44.6% | 0.62 | 3.78 |
| Sherman | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 223 | 200 | 123 | 55.2% | 0.0% | 56 | 25.1% | 28.0% | 19 | 8.5% | 19.0% | 25 | 106 | 11.2% | 53.0% | 0.90 | 4.24 |
| Umatilla | 725 | 662 | 421 | 58.1% | 0.0% | 140 | 19.3% | 21.1% | 82 | 11.3% | 24.8% | 82 | 358 | 11.3% | 54.1% | 0.91 | 4.37 |
| Union | 197 | 120 | 128 | 65.0% | 0.0% | 38 | 19.3% | 31.7% | 21 | 10.7% | 35.0% | 10 | 40 | 5.1% | 33.3% | 0.61 | 4.00 |
| Wallowa | 28 | 18 | 20 | 71.4% | 0.0% | 3 | 10.7% | 16.7% | 1 | 3.6% | 11.1% | 4 | 13 | 14.3% | 72.2% | 0.64 | 3.25 |
| Wasco | 271 | 165 | 179 | 66.1% | 0.0% | 51 | 18.8% | 30.9% | 22 | 8.1% | 26.7% | 19 | 70 | 7.0% | 42.4% | 0.61 | 3.68 |
| Washington | 1676 | 1176 | 1131 | 67.5% | 0.0% | 301 | 18.0% | 25.6% | 120 | 7.2% | 20.4% | 124 | 635 | 7.4% | 54.0% | 0.70 | 5.12 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Yamhill | 699 | 552 | 417 | 59.7% | 0.0% | 145 | 20.7% | 26.3% | 75 | 10.7% | 27.2% | 62 | 257 | 8.9% | 46.6% | 0.79 | 4.15 |

Juvenile Recidivism

1999

| 1999 | | No New Referrals | | | 1 New Referral | | | 2 New Referrals | | | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals | | | | Re-referral Rate | | |
|------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| County | Total Number | Total Number | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Total Number | | | | Rate - All Offenders | Rate - Chronic Offenders |
| | of Offenders | of New Referrals | No New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 1 New Referral | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 2 New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | Chronic Offenders | of 3+ Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | | |
| Baker | 111 | 141 | 51 | 45.9% | 0.0% | 27 | 24.3% | 19.1% | 15 | 13.5% | 21.3% | 18 | 84 | 16.2% | 59.6% | 1.27 | 4.67 |
| Benton | 273 | 451 | 149 | 54.6% | 0.0% | 58 | 21.2% | 12.9% | 31 | 11.4% | 13.7% | 35 | 331 | 12.8% | 73.4% | 1.65 | 9.46 |
| Clackamas | 1567 | 897 | 1086 | 69.3% | 0.0% | 290 | 18.5% | 32.3% | 94 | 6.0% | 21.0% | 97 | 419 | 6.2% | 46.7% | 0.57 | 4.32 |
| Clatsop | 270 | 178 | 182 | 67.4% | 0.0% | 47 | 17.4% | 26.4% | 18 | 6.7% | 20.2% | 23 | 95 | 8.5% | 53.4% | 0.66 | 4.13 |
| Columbia | 305 | 151 | 209 | 68.5% | 0.0% | 61 | 20.0% | 40.4% | 23 | 7.5% | 30.5% | 12 | 44 | 3.9% | 29.1% | 0.50 | 3.67 |
| Coos | 468 | 394 | 255 | 54.5% | 0.0% | 123 | 26.3% | 31.2% | 51 | 10.9% | 25.9% | 39 | 169 | 8.3% | 42.9% | 0.84 | 4.33 |
| Crook | 46 | 40 | 22 | 47.8% | 0.0% | 14 | 30.4% | 35.0% | 5 | 10.9% | 25.0% | 5 | 16 | 10.9% | 40.0% | 0.87 | 3.20 |
| Curry | 193 | 144 | 122 | 63.2% | 0.0% | 40 | 20.7% | 27.8% | 15 | 7.8% | 20.8% | 16 | 74 | 8.3% | 51.4% | 0.75 | 4.63 |
| Deschutes | 991 | 1054 | 498 | 50.3% | 0.0% | 241 | 24.3% | 22.9% | 119 | 12.0% | 22.6% | 133 | 575 | 13.4% | 54.6% | 1.06 | 4.32 |
| Douglas | 867 | 744 | 523 | 60.3% | 0.0% | 182 | 21.0% | 24.5% | 76 | 8.8% | 20.4% | 86 | 410 | 9.9% | 55.1% | 0.86 | 4.77 |
| Gilliam | 3 | 1 | 2 | 66.7% | 0.0% | 1 | 33.3% | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.33 | n/a |
| Grant | 50 | 26 | 36 | 72.0% | 0.0% | 6 | 12.0% | 23.1% | 6 | 12.0% | 46.2% | 2 | 8 | 4.0% | 30.8% | 0.52 | 4.00 |
| Harney | 34 | 27 | 21 | 61.8% | 0.0% | 9 | 26.5% | 33.3% | 1 | 2.9% | 7.4% | 3 | 16 | 8.8% | 59.3% | 0.79 | 5.33 |
| Hood River | 65 | 70 | 33 | 50.8% | 0.0% | 12 | 18.5% | 17.1% | 8 | 12.3% | 22.9% | 12 | 42 | 18.5% | 60.0% | 1.08 | 3.50 |
| Jackson | 1689 | 1354 | 1073 | 63.5% | 0.0% | 316 | 18.7% | 23.3% | 161 | 9.5% | 23.8% | 139 | 716 | 8.2% | 52.9% | 0.80 | 5.15 |
| Jefferson | 213 | 156 | 123 | 57.7% | 0.0% | 53 | 24.9% | 34.0% | 22 | 10.3% | 28.2% | 15 | 59 | 7.0% | 37.8% | 0.73 | 3.93 |
| Josephine | 492 | 452 | 306 | 62.2% | 0.0% | 95 | 19.3% | 21.0% | 43 | 8.7% | 19.0% | 48 | 271 | 9.8% | 60.0% | 0.92 | 5.65 |
| Klamath | 547 | 328 | 355 | 64.9% | 0.0% | 118 | 21.6% | 36.0% | 38 | 6.9% | 23.2% | 36 | 134 | 6.6% | 40.9% | 0.60 | 3.72 |
| Lake | 55 | 43 | 31 | 56.4% | 0.0% | 13 | 23.6% | 30.2% | 6 | 10.9% | 27.9% | 5 | 18 | 9.1% | 41.9% | 0.78 | 3.60 |
| Lane | 1801 | 1392 | 1160 | 64.4% | 0.0% | 345 | 19.2% | 24.8% | 126 | 7.0% | 18.1% | 170 | 795 | 9.4% | 57.1% | 0.77 | 4.68 |
| Lincoln | 349 | 249 | 229 | 65.6% | 0.0% | 61 | 17.5% | 24.5% | 28 | 8.0% | 22.5% | 31 | 132 | 8.9% | 53.0% | 0.71 | 4.26 |
| Linn | 639 | 401 | 437 | 68.4% | 0.0% | 107 | 16.7% | 26.7% | 54 | 8.5% | 26.9% | 41 | 186 | 6.4% | 46.4% | 0.63 | 4.54 |
| Malheur | 375 | 326 | 262 | 69.9% | 0.0% | 46 | 12.3% | 14.1% | 27 | 7.2% | 16.6% | 40 | 226 | 10.7% | 69.3% | 0.87 | 5.65 |
| Marion | 2038 | 1447 | 1291 | 63.3% | 0.0% | 413 | 20.3% | 28.5% | 167 | 8.2% | 23.1% | 167 | 700 | 8.2% | 48.4% | 0.71 | 4.19 |
| Morrow | 105 | 118 | 56 | 53.3% | 0.0% | 22 | 21.0% | 18.6% | 10 | 9.5% | 16.9% | 17 | 76 | 16.2% | 64.4% | 1.12 | 4.47 |
| Multnomah | 3323 | 2533 | 2095 | 63.0% | 0.0% | 623 | 18.7% | 24.6% | 298 | 9.0% | 23.5% | 307 | 1314 | 9.2% | 51.9% | 0.76 | 4.28 |
| Polk | 399 | 229 | 268 | 67.2% | 0.0% | 78 | 19.5% | 34.1% | 31 | 7.8% | 27.1% | 22 | 89 | 5.5% | 38.9% | 0.57 | 4.05 |
| Sherman | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 | 3 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Tillamook | 206 | 159 | 138 | 67.0% | 0.0% | 35 | 17.0% | 22.0% | 19 | 9.2% | 23.9% | 14 | 86 | 6.8% | 54.1% | 0.77 | 6.14 |
| Umatilla | 701 | 567 | 430 | 61.3% | 0.0% | 150 | 21.4% | 26.5% | 46 | 6.6% | 16.2% | 75 | 325 | 10.7% | 57.3% | 0.81 | 4.33 |
| Union | 231 | 135 | 148 | 64.1% | 0.0% | 52 | 22.5% | 38.5% | 22 | 9.5% | 32.6% | 9 | 39 | 3.9% | 28.9% | 0.58 | 4.33 |
| Wallowa | 40 | 29 | 30 | 75.0% | 0.0% | 5 | 12.5% | 17.2% | 3 | 7.5% | 20.7% | 2 | 18 | 5.0% | 62.1% | 0.73 | 9.00 |
| Wasco | 259 | 192 | 164 | 63.3% | 0.0% | 52 | 20.1% | 27.1% | 24 | 9.3% | 25.0% | 19 | 92 | 7.3% | 47.9% | 0.74 | 4.84 |
| Washington | 1574 | 910 | 1080 | 68.6% | 0.0% | 297 | 18.9% | 32.6% | 106 | 6.7% | 23.3% | 91 | 401 | 5.8% | 44.1% | 0.58 | 4.41 |
| Wheeler | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Yamhill | 727 | 517 | 446 | 61.3% | 0.0% | 157 | 21.6% | 30.4% | 68 | 9.4% | 26.3% | 56 | 224 | 7.7% | 43.3% | 0.71 | 4.00 |

2000

| 2000 | | No New Referrals | | | 1 New Referral | | | 2 New Referrals | | | Chronic Offender (3+) Referrals | | | | Re-referral Rate | | |
|------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| County | Total Number | Total Number | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Offenders With | | | Total Number | | | | Rate - All Offenders | Rate - Chronic Offenders |
| | of Offenders | of New Referrals | No New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 1 New Referral | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | 2 New Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | Chronic Offenders | of 3+ Referrals | % of Offenders | % of Referrals | | |
| Baker | 138 | 100 | 89 | 64.5% | 0.0% | 21 | 15.2% | 21.0% | 17 | 12.3% | 34.0% | 11 | 45 | 8.0% | 45.0% | 0.72 | 4.09 |
| Benton | 271 | 199 | 172 | 63.5% | 0.0% | 50 | 18.5% | 25.1% | 25 | 9.2% | 25.1% | 24 | 99 | 8.9% | 49.7% | 0.73 | 4.13 |
| Clackamas | 1777 | 938 | 1188 | 66.9% | 0.0% | 399 | 22.5% | 42.5% | 116 | 6.5% | 24.7% | 74 | 307 | 4.2% | 32.7% | 0.53 | 4.15 |
| Clatsop | 332 | 168 | 239 | 72.0% | 0.0% | 55 | 16.6% | 32.7% | 23 | 6.9% | 27.4% | 15 | 67 | 4.5% | 39.9% | 0.51 | 4.47 |
| Columbia | 308 | 220 | 201 | 65.3% | 0.0% | 54 | 17.5% | 24.5% | 23 | 7.5% | 20.9% | 30 | 120 | 9.7% | 54.5% | 0.71 | 4.00 |
| Coos | 442 | 220 | 312 | 70.6% | 0.0% | 81 | 18.3% | 36.8% | 28 | 6.3% | 25.5% | 21 | 83 | 4.8% | 37.7% | 0.50 | 3.95 |
| Crook | 163 | 109 | 105 | 64.4% | 0.0% | 32 | 19.6% | 29.4% | 13 | 8.0% | 23.9% | 13 | 51 | 8.0% | 46.8% | 0.67 | 3.92 |
| Curry | 161 | 123 | 100 | 62.1% | 0.0% | 33 | 20.5% | 26.8% | 14 | 8.7% | 22.8% | 14 | 62 | 8.7% | 50.4% | 0.76 | 4.43 |
| Deschutes | 1037 | 846 | 583 | 56.2% | 0.0% | 252 | 24.3% | 29.8% | 104 | 10.0% | 24.6% | 98 | 386 | 9.5% | 45.6% | 0.82 | 3.94 |
| Douglas | 799 | 659 | 515 | 64.5% | 0.0% | 128 | 16.0% | 19.4% | 69 | 8.6% | 20.9% | 87 | 393 | 10.9% | 59.6% | 0.82 | 4.52 |
| Gilliam | 7 | 2 | 6 | 85.7% | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1 | 14.3% | 100.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.29 | n/a |
| Grant | 40 | 27 | 25 | 62.5% | 0.0% | 9 | 22.5% | 33.3% | 3 | 7.5% | 22.2% | 3 | 12 | 7.5% | 44.4% | 0.68 | 4.00 |
| Harney | 47 | 31 | 27 | 57.4% | 0.0% | 14 | 29.8% | 45.2% | 3 | 6.4% | 19.4% | 3 | 11 | 6.4% | 35.5% | 0.66 | 3.67 |
| Hood River | 111 | 45 | 86 | 77.5% | 0.0% | 13 | 11.7% | 28.9% | 6 | 5.4% | 26.7% | 6 | 20 | 5.4% | 44.4% | 0.41 | 3.33 |
| Jackson | 1673 | 1357 | 1074 | 64.2% | 0.0% | 341 | 20.4% | 25.1% | 109 | 6.5% | 16.1% | 149 | 798 | 8.9% | 58.8% | 0.81 | 5.36 |
| Jefferson | 268 | 163 | 173 | 64.6% | 0.0% | 58 | 21.6% | 35.6% | 23 | 8.6% | 28.2% | 14 | 59 | 5.2% | 36.2% | 0.61 | 4.21 |
| Josephine | 411 | 268 | 281 | 68.4% | 0.0% | 73 | 17.8% | 27.2% | 29 | 7.1% | 21.6% | 28 | 137 | 6.8% | 51.1% | 0.65 | 4.89 |
| Klamath | 542 | 368 | 353 | 65.1% | 0.0% | 103 | 19.0% | 28.0% | 47 | 8.7% | 25.5% | 39 | 171 | 7.2% | 46.5% | 0.68 | 4.38 |
| Lake | 74 | 38 | 54 | 73.0% | 0.0% | 9 | 12.2% | 23.7% | 6 | 8.1% | 31.6% | 5 | 17 | 6.8% | 44.7% | 0.51 | 3.40 |
| Lane | 1728 | 1356 | 1135 | 65.7% | 0.0% | 317 | 18.3% | 23.4% | 130 | 7.5% | 19.2% | 146 | 779 | 8.4% | 57.4% | 0.78 | 5.34 |
| Lincoln | 313 | 204 | 210 | 67.1% | 0.0% | 59 | 18.8% | 28.9% | 22 | 7.0% | 21.6% | 22 | 101 | 7.0% | 49.5% | 0.65 | 4.59 |
| Linn | 634 | 403 | 428 | 67.5% | 0.0% | 123 | 19.4% | 30.5% | 49 | 7.7% | 24.3% | 34 | 182 | 5.4% | 45.2% | 0.64 | 5.35 |
| Malheur | 434 | 446 | 277 | 63.8% | 0.0% | 72 | 16.6% | 16.1% | 28 | 6.5% | 12.6% | 57 | 318 | 13.1% | 71.3% | 1.03 | 5.58 |
| Marion | 2100 | 1253 | 1396 | 66.5% | 0.0% | 410 | 19.5% | 32.7% | 149 | 7.1% | 23.8% | 145 | 545 | 6.9% | 43.5% | 0.60 | 3.76 |
| Morrow | 121 | 108 | 71 | 58.7% | 0.0% | 31 | 25.6% | 28.7% | 6 | 5.0% | 11.1% | 13 | 65 | 10.7% | 60.2% | 0.89 | 5.00 |
| Multnomah | 3201 | 2738 | 1987 | 62.1% | 0.0% | 615 | 19.2% | 22.5% | 248 | 7.7% | 18.1% | 351 | 1627 | 11.0% | 59.4% | 0.86 | 4.64 |
| Polk | 460 | 306 | 310 | 67.4% | 0.0% | 85 | 18.5% | 27.8% | 29 | 6.3% | 19.0% | 36 | 163 | 7.8% | 53.3% | 0.67 | 4.53 |
| Sherman | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71.4% | 0.0% | 2 | 28.6% | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.29 | n/a |
| Tillamook | 203 | 216 | 116 | 57.1% | 0.0% | 46 | 22.7% | 21.3% | 19 | 9.4% | 17.6% | 22 | 132 | 10.8% | 61.1% | 1.06 | 6.00 |
| Umatilla | 677 | 532 | 431 | 63.7% | 0.0% | 129 | 19.1% | 24.2% | 48 | 7.1% | 18.0% | 69 | 307 | 10.2% | 57.7% | 0.79 | 4.45 |
| Union | 134 | 92 | 82 | 61.2% | 0.0% | 36 | 26.9% | 39.1% | 6 | 4.5% | 13.0% | 10 | 44 | 7.5% | 47.8% | 0.69 | 4.40 |
| Wallowa | 49 | 33 | 37 | 75.5% | 0.0% | 9 | 18.4% | 27.3% | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 3 | 24 | 6.1% | 72.7% | 0.67 | 8.00 |
| Wasco | 195 | 118 | 130 | 66.7% | 0.0% | 40 | 20.5% | 33.9% | 12 | 6.2% | 20.3% | 13 | 54 | 6.7% | 45.8% | 0.61 | 4.15 |
| Washington | 1674 | 977 | 1189 | 71.0% | 0.0% | 288 | 17.2% | 29.5% | 94 | 5.6% | 19.2% | 103 | 501 | 6.2% | 51.3% | 0.58 | 4.86 |
| Wheeler | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | n/a | 0.00 | n/a |
| Yamhill | 597 | 359 | 395 | 66.2% | 0.0% | 112 | 18.8% | 31.2% | 50 | 8.4% | 27.9% | 40 | 147 | 6.7% | 40.9% | 0.60 | 3.68 |