

PRAC Legislative Subcommittee meeting (rescheduled from 813)-20260811_110632-Meeting Recording

August 11, 2026, 6:06PM

23m 34s

● **ALBERT Todd * PRA** started transcription

AP **ALBERT Todd * PRA** 0:03

Keep trying to address them and they're not getting better.
That's true.

AE **April Ehrlich** 0:06

Yeah, I mean, I can understand you, yeah, but it's just a little a little difficult, so...
Uhh...
Where are we? So right now we need to approve the agenda, is that right?

AP **ALBERT Todd * PRA** 0:22

Yeah.

AE **April Ehrlich** 0:23

Okay, well then let's vote on that. Somebody want to make a motion?

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 0:28

I'll make a motion to approve the agenda.

AE **April Ehrlich** 0:31

All those in favor say aye.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 0:34

Hi.

AE **April Ehrlich** 0:35

All right. Motion carries. Next thing is continue the discussion and of and vote on recommending to the full council the public records toolkit legislative concepts.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 0:48

Yes.

So let me post the link for the updated concepts.

AP ALBERT Todd * PRA 0:56

I just said it.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 1:05

Um...

So.

The updates that I made to concept 2 was just to add in the safety valve provision being a trigger, as we discussed in one of the previous meetings, because I realized that that wasn't actually.

written in there.

And then for #3, I had a couple of conversations with the Secretary of State's office and with the IT folks with Enterprise. And just kind of based on their feedback and the information that we got from ORMS in a previous meeting.

I just kind of felt like tying it to ORMS specifically might not allow for, you know, the best concept for us to move forward. The Secretary of State is dealing right now with a mandate to update its Orstar system. So they have a lot of kind of money and time tied up in that work.

So pivoting to also updating RMS, you know, maybe it's maybe it's similar work because it's all in government transparency, right? But I just felt like if there's other things that are happening in other parts of the government that would make more sense.

you know, the legislature can decide how they want to achieve this concept. So I kind of took out the references to ORMS and just kind of said, you know, let's just kind of do this in whatever way makes the most sense.

So I talked with.

So let me get his name.

Michael Hannah Boutros Miring. He's working with the new kind of AI effort at the governor's office. He's come over from Nevada and has worked on concepts there that have been successful in

reducing duplicative spend. So basically kind of like the thing that we've been talking

about, which is that every single agency has to go out and figure out how they're going to solve this problem of providing public records. And instead, you know, what if the state of Oregon said, hey, we're going to.

you know, create this open concept through ORMS that you guys can all buy licenses to, or we're going to negotiate a contract on behalf of Oregon for.

500 licenses and then people can get a better rate for those from GovQA or whoever it is. So basically the concept is just to, you know, kind of make it easier for all of our agencies to have the same system. And I wanted to.

Can I share this?

Oh.

Window.

So this was part of our 2025 public records body survey. And this is just the graph that Google data, you know, created from the survey responses. But to me, this is just a picture of why this solution is needed. This is

You know, out of the 64 responses, like most people are just kind of using a spreadsheet and there's a billion different ways that people are tracking records requests, including that purple one, we don't. We don't track records requests. So, you know, so having...

A streamlined system just kind of seems to make sense for, you know, both improving government transparency and...

and improving the ability of public records, you know, responders, providers to like be able to kind of move back and forth through different agencies and understand the systems. So that's kind of the justification for that one.

AE **April Ehrlich** 6:00

Can I add something? I, yesterday I spoke with the League of Oregon Cities, Charlie something, and then the Association of Oregon Counties, Tim Dooley, about the mutual aid concept.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 6:01

Yeah, any questions?

AE **April Ehrlich** 6:19

Both of them said things that are not surprising to any of us, which is that logistically, it would be really difficult to roll out. It'd be really difficult to have somebody get

caught up on people's systems. I mean, I think the pie graph you showed kind of shows why it would be difficult for somebody to just be dropped into another agency and be able to work their system.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 6:42

Okay.

AE April Ehrlich 6:42

And it's a little different with things like emergency managers, which is the example I brought up before, which is a little bit more plug and play is what they called it. But they appreciated the idea of it, I think. But I did talk to them about this IT solution, having like a...

a thing that we can all use. And Tim Dooley especially was very open to that idea and very supportive of that idea. So I think it'd be a really, yeah, I think it'd be something that a lot of people can get behind. Yeah.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 7:09

Awesome.

Awesome.

So, I don't know if we want to vote on each one of these concepts separately, or I mean, I think that that's the best way to go about it, just so that we have... the maximum flexibility. And then that would be to the full council approval. on more discussion on September 2nd.

AE April Ehrlich 7:49

I think voting individually on them makes sense. And I guess there weren't any changes to the grant program concept, right? Okay.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 7:59

Yeah, not that I could think of.

AE April Ehrlich 8:02

Okay.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 8:06

Awesome.

Okay, then I make a motion to forward on to the council the public records capacity grant program.

I think Todd mutely seconded the motion.

AE **April Ehrlich** 8:31

It like, but...

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 8:31

Are you?

AE **April Ehrlich** 8:32

Can you type it just so we have, so we know that that's what you said?

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 8:35

Yeah.

AE **April Ehrlich** 8:43

Thank you for that, Todd. All those in favor say aye.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 8:47

Time.

AE **April Ehrlich** 8:49

I...

All right, motion passes. Moving on to the second one.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 9:02

I make a motion to forward on to the full council the public records mutual aids and surge support program.

AE **April Ehrlich** 9:13

All those in got a second from Todd. All those in favor say aye.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 9:16
Hi.

AE April Ehrlich 9:17
I...

And motion carries, and then we have the third concept, statewide public records technology collaborative.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 9:25

I make a motion to forward on the statewide public records technology collaborative to the full council for a vote.

AE April Ehrlich 9:33

Todd seconds. All those in favor say aye.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 9:36
Hi.

AE April Ehrlich 9:37
Hi.

And we got an eye from Todd, I believe, and...

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 9:40
Hey.

AE April Ehrlich 9:45
He's typing.

I think that's an I from him. That's an I. Okay. Although, okay, motion carries. Okay, we're good. Anything, I mean, do we move on now to the next agenda item? Is there another agenda item? I lost my page.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 9:50
Yeah.
One is public commentary.

AE April Ehrlich 10:06

Okay, if we're ready to move on to that, we will, Joe, would go ahead and you got the floor.

JB Jo A. Barker 10:16

Thank you. I'm somewhat concerned with the latest AI attacks from OpenAI, Meta, and Google.

I know that, I mean, I understand that there are far more sophisticated individuals out there dealing with AI, but I think that there should be some type of...

Provision written in.

dealing with the development of AI assistant tools and how open it's actually going to be.

If it's going to be for...

What I would call character identification, you know, like if you have...

specific classifications of subject matter.

You have small cities that are under 1200 and they all use the same type of genre of subject matter. Are they in one little group? You know, and this goes back to the 500. classification, you know, the 500 purchase license. I mean, how are you going to stop the attack?

I mean, that's the bottom question here. I mean, is Oregon going to develop its own specific AI program, or are we going to rely on Anthropic, Meta, Google, Open AI, or whoever else is at the front runner?

Of developing this program.

That's.

I mean, I'm concerned about that. You know, we're talking government records now.

That's basically all I have.

The question about...

Thank you.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 12:20

Can I ask for clarification? Because I'm not sure what that means. Like, are you saying that that it would be better for Oregon to develop its own public system rather than...

relying on private licenses for private tech.

JB **Jo A. Barker** 12:42

In all honesty, I think it would be better.

Because if you've read the newspapers or any articles dealing with the attacks...

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 12:55

Can you clarify what you mean by a tax?

JB **Jo A. Barker** 12:58

Where they've acted, where a specific AI program has literally went out and attacked another AI program.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 13:06

Oh, uh-huh.

JB **Jo A. Barker** 13:09

I can supply Todd with hyperlinks to e-mail addresses if their e-mail web addresses. I'm sorry, I'm having a hard time talking today and getting my words. Newspaper articles.

dealing with these open attacks from one AI program to another. Anthrop was the first one to disclose back in late July that there was another attack, that they attacked another AI program. underneath strategic testing.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 13:49

Okay.

JB **Jo A. Barker** 13:50

Um...

So, yeah, I mean, it's...

I don't think Oregon should buy into the one-size-fits-all because a major company has developed this technology.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 14:08

Mhm.

JB **Jo A. Barker** 14:09

I mean, there...

So, I'll get these links off to you, Todd, okay?

All right, thank you.

AE **April Ehrlich** 14:24

Can I add to the discussion? That'd be okay. So I just got back from a coding boot camp and they have internal LLMs that you can download where it would just be in your computer so that whatever you use that LLM for wouldn't be shared with an outside source. And then there's other like closed systems.

that like Claude uses, that Claude has, that like hospitals are using and lawyers are using. I think there are options for closed systems where you're not sharing the information that you put in with like outside sources and you don't give them, you know, control of your internal systems.

But I'm not a cybersecurity expert. We do have cybersecurity experts at the Department of Administrative Services. So I think they, I mean, if anything, you know, we could rope them into the conversation to talk about how to safely involve AI so that it doesn't leave.

you know, our public record system vulnerable to any sort of attack. Because I do think it's a valid concern, you know, considering it's a very powerful thing and it's gotten so powerful that it's starting to...

Yeah, mess up our systems. It's so powerful that even the makers are concerned about it. So yeah, I think it's a valid point, but I think it's also something that we can talk about with people who are smart about this kind of stuff.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 15:55

Yeah, so I just shared a link in the chat to Oregon's artificial intelligence responsible usage policy. So that's the folks that I was talking to about this. So Nick Blosser and Michael, whose last name I don't remember, they are a part of that. So I definitely do see the need to.

like, you know, incorporate whatever it is they're doing with what we're doing and what we're doing with what they're doing. You know, making sure that, you know, as we go into this brave new world, both, you know, AI users and government are thinking about how that impacts government transparency and records provision.

And also, you know, how providing public records can also not expose not public records to, you know, potential attack or exposure.

I'm going to read this out loud so that it's captured on recording. Todd says, any public body would have to use any software system envisioned in compliance with their own policies on AI. For instance, the state currently does not permit the input of confidential or proprietary info. I zoom into AI.

Also, the state's Chief Privacy and Communications Officer is in the meeting. Great, welcome.

AE April Ehrlich 17:31

Very cool. Well, anything else to discuss on the matter?

Is there anybody else who would like to speak during the public comment period?

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 17:38

No.

AE April Ehrlich 17:47

Yes, Michael.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 17:47

No.

M Michael Hanna Butros Meyering 17:49

I am.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 17:50

Hi, it's you.

M Michael Hanna Butros Meyering 17:51

Sorry, I'm, I was double booking myself, but I'm like, man, I really want to join this meeting and I wanted to just introduce myself. And thank you. Yeah, so love. I had a conversation with Shasta earlier.

So thank you for giving me a little bit more context. I'm still learning what the problems that we're, you know, trying to solve together. So happy, just wanted to reach out and just extend my contacts and hopefully I'm useful and so I could

supplement any.

Anything I can, uh, with this with this effort, so...

That's it.

AE April Ehrlich 18:36

Great. Thank you. Thanks for introducing yourself and joining our meeting.

Alright, anybody else?

All right, closing the public comment period. Now we'll talk about next meeting dates.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 18:53

Yeah, so I popped into the Oregon Sunshine Committee's last meeting, and they were talking about their legislative concepts that they're going to propose. And they invited us to the September 16th meeting.

So I'm going to try to attend that one in person. And I guess, you know, so their charge, for those who don't know, the Oregon Sunshine Committee is supposed to go through the 550, although I think it's now it's 700 exemptions in public records law and see if

those can be paired down at all or combined or whatever to just make the public records law a little easier to understand for everybody and fairer. So they are working on that. So most of I think their concepts are related to.

you know, exemptions. And, but we were also talking about the open government impact statements and a way to get them more usable. You know, if it could like spit out an e-mail whenever like a positive one comes up, because right now it's in.

OLIS that you can, you know, you can kind of sort them by which bills have an open government impact statement. That's like, yes, it has an impact, but the vast majority of bills don't. And there's no way for interested parties to like,

you know, get it and get notified if there's one that pops up that it is an impact. So I don't know what that looks like right now or really if it even needs a bill, but that's kind of what we were discussing at the last meeting. So probably we would discuss it again and then

Maybe something to come back to this body to discuss as well.

AE April Ehrlich 20:59

Appreciate you. Yeah, keeping up with that. Cool. And are we, so we're not going to

meet until after the next meeting, right? And we probably,
So when would that be like...
mid, late September or the following month.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 21:25

So yeah, late September, early October would probably be good. Or we may not need to meet if we want to just like...

AE April Ehrlich 21:29

Yeah, I mean.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 21:34

So this body was to develop concepts for the full council. So if we're already kind of developing those with the Sunshine Committee, we could just go to the directly to the council. It would need to be.

AE April Ehrlich 21:39

Mm.

SM Shasta Kearns Moore 21:50

Before, I think, December is the next filing deadline.

So we could discuss the...

The concept that the next council full council meeting, I got a BRB my son needs.

AE April Ehrlich 22:10

So, okay, so it kind of just, it depends, okay, depends on how they decide to handle it. Okay, so we may not need to meet. Maybe let's wait and, or maybe we should get a meeting on the books just in case and then cancel it. I mean, just because it's so hard to schedule things with folks, it seems like just having a spot saved in case we need to come back.

to do something.

Full council meeting is not that far off to regroup then. Okay. Okay, so what you're saying is we don't need to schedule anything. Let's just wait until the next full council meeting. We'll decide then. Okay. Shasta. Hello. Yeah, so we were saying we can just

go to the next meeting, the next full council meeting and decide if we need to meet again at that point.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 23:02

Hi, sorry.

Okay, that sounds good.

Yeah, that sounds great.

AE **April Ehrlich** 23:18

Okay, cool. Well, thanks everybody and I'll see you at the next meeting.

SM **Shasta Kearns Moore** 23:23

Okay. Bye.

AE **April Ehrlich** 23:24

All right, bye.

● **ALBERT Todd * PRA** stopped transcription