

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

BEFORE THE
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
STATE OF OREGON

In the Matter of) Board Case No. 2025-064
)
)
STEPHEN E. OGLEVIE) DEFAULT ORDER
)
Unlicensed)

1.

The Board of Psychology (Board) is the state agency responsible for licensing and disciplining psychologists, and for regulating the practice of psychology in the State of Oregon. ORS 675.110. Stephen E. Oglevie, (Respondent) is an individual subject to the Board's jurisdiction when practicing psychology in Oregon pursuant to ORS 675.020(1).

2.

On June 29, 2026, the Board served, by personal service, a Notice of Intent to Impose Civil Penalty (Notice) upon Respondent in which the Board proposed to sanction Respondent by imposing a civil penalty of \$40,000 and by ordering Respondent to pay the maximum allowable costs of the disciplinary process in an amount not to exceed \$150,000, pursuant to ORS 675.020(1), ORS 675.070(2)(h), ORS 675.070(3)(b)(E) and ORS 675.110(17). Respondent did not file a request for hearing. As a result, Respondent has waived his right to a hearing and now stands in default. The Board elects in this case to designate the record of proceedings to date, which consists of Respondent's file with the Board as the record for purposes of proving a *prima facie* case, pursuant to ORS 183.417(4).

3.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Board's sanction of Respondent is based on the following facts:

3.1 Client, located in Oregon, received therapy from a Board-licensed psychologist

///

1 for Dissociative Identity Disorder. Client's history indicated extreme vulnerability, including
2 suicidality.

3 3.2 Dissociative Identity Disorder is listed in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual,
4 Fifth Edition Text Revision (DSM-5TR) as a mental disorder.

5 3.3 On at least 59 occasions, between December 27, 2023, and August 6, 2025,
6 Respondent participated in Client's therapy sessions in Oregon. During the sessions, Respondent
7 identified Client's internal functions, for the purpose of changing Client's thoughts about
8 suicidal ideation and compulsion with the goal of reducing or eliminating those thoughts.
9 Respondent purported to be able to relieve Client of entrenched messages and internal patterns
10 regarding suicidality and other matters, which Client allegedly could not avoid because of
11 amnesic barriers due to childhood trauma, and which created dysfunction and suffering for
12 Client.

13 3.4 Respondent's treatment was rendered for the purpose of improving or
14 ameliorating Client's mental health disorder. By participating in the sessions directly with
15 Client, Respondent independently provided therapy and treatment to Client. In addition,
16 Respondent's methodology informed and advanced the clinical approach taken by Client's
17 psychologist.

18 3.5 Respondent's methodology relies on the assumption of the existence of mind-
19 control and the ability of an outside person to "program" the mind of another. In providing
20 services to Client, Respondent claimed to be able to "deprogram" clients from the effects of
21 trauma or mind-control by the use of key words or numbers, spoken aloud.

22 3.6 At the site of Client's suicide attempt, Respondent was contacted by Client's
23 psychologist and agreed to speak with Client by phone, claiming to be able to recite a code of
24 words or numbers to remove or reduce Client's acute suicidality.

25 3.7 Respondent's purported treatment method is not a validated treatment modality
26 within the discipline of psychology and is completely unfounded. When provided to clients, the
27 purported treatment method can create significant risk of harm to the public.

1 4.

2 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3 The acts and conduct of Respondent described above constitute violations of ORS
4 675.020(1)(a) *unlicensed practice of psychology* in that Respondent, who was unlicensed,
5 provided psychological services to Client, who was located in Oregon,¹ in the form of providing
6 services to improve Client's mental health, or to alleviate Client's behavioral, emotional or
7 mental disorders.² Respondent's participation in each of the 59 individual sessions was a
8 separate violation.

9 5.

10 5.1 The Board has authority to investigate complaints and alleged violations under
11 ORS 675.110(9). The Board has authority to require payment of a civil penalty and to assess the
12 maximum amount permissible of the Board's costs of this disciplinary process, including but not
13 limited to all legal costs from the Oregon Department of Justice, all hearing costs from the Office
14 of Administrative Hearings, all costs associated with any expert or witness, all costs related to
15 security and transcriptionist services for the hearing and administrative costs specific to this
16 proceeding in an amount not to exceed \$150,000 pursuant to ORS 675.070(1)(g), (2)(h),
17 (3)(b)(E), and ORS 675.110(5), (11) and (17).

18 5.2 Each of the 59 individual violations listed in paragraph 4, above, is an adequate
19 independent basis for the Board to impose a separate \$10,000 civil penalty. The Board imposes
20 \$10,000 for each violation, but caps the total civil penalty imposed at \$40,000.

21 6.

22 FINAL ORDER

23 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to ORS 183.417(4), ORS 675.110, ORS 675.070, ORS

24 ¹ As long as Client was located in Oregon, it is immaterial whether Respondent provided psychological services by
25 telehealth from another state. OAR 858-010-0003(1).

26 ² "Practice of psychology" is defined in ORS 675.010(4) and OAR 858-010-0001(1). OAR 858-010-0001(27)
27 defines "Treatment" as "services provided to an individual, group or organization for the purpose of improving
mental health and/or alleviating behavioral, emotional or mental disorders." ORS 858-010-0001(1)(b) defines
"Therapy" to include "treating mental disorders as defined by the Fifth Edition, Text Revision of the Diagnostic and
Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR) published by the American Psychiatric Association."

675.020 and other applicable authority, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

6.1 Respondent is ordered to pay a civil penalty of \$40,000 to the Board within 30 days of the effective date of this Order.

6.2 Respondent is not required to pay any costs for this disciplinary process because the Board's total costs are below the threshold set in ORS 675.110(17)(a). No costs are due.

This Order is a public document that is reportable to the National Practitioners Databank as a disciplinary action. The terms of this Order become effective on the date the Board designee signs this Final Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 11 day of September, 2026.

BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
State of Oregon

David Greaves, Ph.D.
Board Chair

Right to Judicial Review

NOTICE: You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review may be obtained by filing a petition for review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 60 days after the final order is served upon you. *See* ORS 183.482. If this Order was personally delivered to you, the date of service is the day it was mailed, not the day you received it. If you do not file a petition for judicial review within the 60 days' time period, you will lose your right to appeal.

Collection Notice

Pursuant to ORS 293.231, the Board will refer delinquent accounts for collection by the Department of Revenue or a private collection agency within 90 days of delinquency. Final amounts due may include collection fees imposed by the collector and the accrual of interest, up to the statutory maximum permitted by ORS 82.101, in addition to the unpaid principal amount. The Board may record an unpaid penalties or costs with the county clerk of any county in this state, pursuant to ORS 205.125 and 205.126.