# REAL ESTATE AGENCY BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

3		
4	In the Matter of the Real Estate License of	
5		
6	RICHARD ERNEST GREGG	ORDER ON DEFAULT
7		
8		
9	1	<b>I.</b>

\*1

- 1.1 On November 14, 2016, the Real Estate Commissioner issued, by certified mail, a notice of intent to levy a civil penalty and revoke the real estate principal broker license of Richard Ernest Gregg (Gregg). The Oregon Real Estate Agency (OREA) sent the notice of intent to Gregg's last known address of record with the OREA on November 15, 2016. On November 15, 2016, the notice of intent was also mailed to Gregg by regular first class mail in a handwritten envelope.
- 1.2 The certified mailing and first class mailing of the notice of intent were sent to Gregg at the following addresses: 22061 Teresa, Mission Viejo, CA 92692 (OREA address of record for Gregg) and 27093 Callado Mission Viejo, CA 92691 (address provided by complainant for Gregg).
- 1.3 OREA received back both the certified mailing and regular mailing of the notice of intent mailed to 22061 Teresa, Mission Viejo, CA 92692. The regular mail returned was marked, "Return to Sender Not Deliverable As Addressed Unable to Forward." The certified mailing was marked, "Return to Sender Not Deliverable As Addressed Unable to Forward."
- 1.4 OREA received back the certified mailing of the notice of intent mailed to 27093 Via Callado, Mission Viejo, CA 92691, it was marked, "Forward Time Exp Rtn to Send," and provided a forwarding address for Richard E Gregg of 94 Hartford Dr #53, Newport Beach, CA 92660. The regular mailing of the notice of intent was not returned to OREA.
- 1.5 On November 21, 2016, a copy of the notice of intent was emailed to Gregg using the email address OREA had on file, <a href="mailto:richard@oreoit.com">richard@oreoit.com</a>.

- 1.6 On December 2, 2016, the notice of intent was mailed by both certified mail and by regular first class mail in a handwritten envelope to 94 Hartford Dr #53, Newport Beach, CA 92660. Neither mailing addressed to this address has been returned to OREA. The domestic return receipt for the certified mailing was signed for, however was unclear from the signature who signed for the certified mailing.
- 1.7 Over twenty (20) days have elapsed since the mailing of the notice issued in this matter and no written request for hearing has been received.
- 1.8 Copies of the entire investigation file are designated as the record for purposes of default, including any submission from respondent and all information in the administrative file relating to the mailing of notices and any responses received.

2.

Based upon the foregoing and upon a review of the above described investigation reports, documents and files, the Real Estate Commissioner finds:

- 2.1 Oregon Administrative Rule 863-001-0006 states, in part, that a notice of intent is properly served when deposited in the United States mail, registered or certified mail, addressed to the real estate licensee or to any other person having an interest in a proceeding before the Commissioner at the licensee's or other person's last known address of record with OREA.
- 2.2 Gregg's last known address of record with OREA was 22061 Teresa, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692.
- 2.3 A certified mailing of the notice of intent was mailed to Gregg at his last known address of record on November 15, 2016. OREA received back both the certified mailing and regular mailing of the notice of intent mailed to 22061 Teresa, Mission Viejo, CA 92692. The regular mail returned was marked, "Return to Sender Not Deliverable As Addressed Unable to Forward." The certified mailing was marked, "Return to Sender Not Deliverable As Addressed Unable to Forward."
- 2.4 OREA received back the certified mailing of the notice of intent mailed to 27093 Via Callado, Mission Viejo, CA 92691, it was marked, "Forward Time Exp Rtn to Send," and provided a forwarding address for Richard E Gregg of 94 Hartford Dr #53, Newport Beach, CA 92660. The regular mailing of the notice of intent was not returned to OREA.

III

2.5 On November 21, 2016, a copy of the notice of intent was emailed to Gregg using the email address OREA had on file, richard@oreoit.com.

- 2.6 On December 2, 2016, the notice of intent was mailed by both certified mail and by regular first class mail in a handwritten envelope to 94 Hartford Dr #53, Newport Beach, CA 92660. Neither mailing addressed to this address has been returned to OREA. The domestic return receipt for the certified mailing was signed for, however was unclear from the signature who signed for the certified mailing.
- 2.7 The mailing in the handwritten envelope addressed to Gregg at the 94 Hartford Dr #53, Newport Beach, CA 92660 address has not been returned to OREA. In accordance with ORS 40.135(1)(q), there is a presumption that the mailing properly addressed and placed with the U.S. Postal Service was delivered. That presumption has not been overcome by any evidence.
- 2.8 Over twenty (20) days have elapsed since the mailing of the notice and no written request for a hearing has been received.
- 2.9 Pursuant to ORS 696.775, the lapsed status of Gregg's license does not prohibit the Commissioner from proceeding with further action.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

&

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3.

- 3.1 Gregg was licensed as a principal broker doing business under the registered business name of Apple 1 Realty. Gregg's license was active from April 29, 2014 through September 30, 2015. On October 1, 2015, Gregg's license expired. On October 1, 2016, Gregg's license lapsed.
- 3.2 On June 7, 2015, OREA received a complaint from Amir "John" Gabriel (Gabriel). OREA opened an investigation.
- 3.3 On September 27, 2013, Gregg emailed Gabriel regarding property located at 1194 Uglow Avenue, Dallas, Oregon, (subject property) that he offered to repair and sell for Gabriel.

- 3.4 On October 2, 2013, Gregg and Gabriel discussed the vesting and financing of the subject property purchase by email. Gregg asked Gabriel to sign the vesting agreement attached to his email and Gabriel replied, "Please attach a copy of the contract along with this assignment (no worry about the assignment fee :)." Gregg responded to the email, "I do not have a copy of the contract, but attached is escrow instructions, which should do what you need."
- 3.5 On October, 7, 2013, Gabriel emailed the lender and Gregg stating, "The Escrow is in SRB Investment Properties name and not Princy Investment Group Inc? That is fine if we are doing a double closing otherwise what is needed is the contract which shows that SRB Investment Properties, Inc has the right to assign the contract and the assignment agreement which stipulate the contract purchase price plus the assignment fee and ORIET marketing fee."
- 3.6 During the investigation OREA Investigator/Auditor Jeremy Brooks interviewed Gabriel regarding the purchase of the subject property. Gabriel said the purchase contract was informal, and Gregg listed himself as an investor, then charged a marketing fee. Gabriel provided for the investigation copies of the vesting agreement between Princy Investment Group, Inc (Gabriel was a real estate investor from the Princy Investment Group) and SRB Investment Properties dated October 3, 2013, where SRB Investment Properties agreed to purchase the subject property for \$78,836.00, pay all closing costs and pay a \$2,000 "Marketing Fee" to OREOIT LLC. (Gregg was the President of OREOIT according to the Oregon Secretary of State Records.)
- 3.7 Regarding the marketing fee, Gabriel emailed Brooks the following, "Richard received 2,000 from Princy Investment as per the vesting agreement through SRB Investment Properties Inc."
- 3.8 When Brooks first spoke with Gregg about the purchase of the subject property, Gregg said he received a small finder's fee. During Brooks' second interview with Gregg on February 19, 2016, Gregg said, "I was never paid a finder's fee."

**Violation:** By receiving a \$2,000 commission in the form of a "marketing fee" for the subject property, as the sole agent of OREOIT LLC, on October 3, 2013, without having an active real estate license, Gregg violated ORS 696.020 (2013 Edition), which states, an individual may not engage in, carry on, advertise or purport to engage in or carry on

30 | ///

/// ///

professional real estate activity, or act in the capacity of a real estate licensee, within this state unless the individual holds an active license.

3.9 On April 12, 2014, Gregg sent Gabriel a listing agreement for the subject property. The listing agreement was between Princy Investments Group Inc. and California Home Realty/Richard Gregg, with Gregg listed as, "(Agent)" for California Home Realty/Richard Gregg. The listing agreement set the price at \$179,900. The listing agreement stated under Section 4 Compensation to Broker, "Seller agrees to pay Broker," 6% percent of the listing price. At the time of the listing agreement, Gregg did not have an Oregon real estate license.

Violation: By entering into a listing agreement with Princy Investment Group on April 9, 2014, for the subject property, without having an active real estate license, Gregg violated ORS 696.020 (2013 Edition), which states, an individual may not engage in, carry on, advertise or purport to engage in or carry on professional real estate activity, or act in the capacity of a real estate licensee, within this state unless the individual holds an active license.

- 3.10 On July 23, 2014, Gabriel emailed Gregg requesting a price reduction to either \$174,900 or \$172,900. Gregg replied the following day and suggested lowering the price to \$173,900. On August 14, 2014, Gabriel emailed Greg to point out the websites did not reflect the new sales price and was still showing the \$179,000 price. Gabriel said he tried to contact Gregg for a month and didn't receive a response until Gregg emailed Gabriel on September 9, 2014, with multiple reasons why he hadn't been in contact. Gregg stated he was going to take the property off the market for seven days to reset the listing so it would show up as new.
- 3.11 On September 17, 2014, Gabriel emailed Gregg that the property was still showing up on Zillow and Trulia.

**Violation:** By failing to lower the subject property listing price on a timely basis after the seller requested the reduction, Gregg violated ORS 696.301(12) (2013 Edition), which states a licensee's real estate license may be disciplined if they have demonstrated incompetence or untrustworthiness in performing any act for which the licensee is required to hold a license.

30 | ///

III

III

3.12 On November 16, 2014, Gregg emailed Gabriel an attachment titled, "Purchase Offer Kastle. pdf." In his complaint Gabriel made several comments about the purchase contract and the pre-approval letter, including the following:

"The contract looked unusual with no closing date or other dates in it. I had called that [sic] alleged buyer after searching the name on the Internet, the buyer [sic] agent that was on the contract and the mortgage company that was supposedly issued the letter for the buyer. Found out that the person listed as buyer- Richard Castle- not in the market to buy any property and he did not know or met [sic] Richard, that Blum Real Estate named buyer agency do [sic] not have that buyer or the property in their records and the mortgage company- LandMark and Associates Inc. — do [sic] not have that named Loan Originator — Nelson Lomax — and the company is licensed only in Florida and never done any business in Oregon. Richard fake and forged not only a Sales Contract but also a Loan Pre-approval Letter. I sent the data to the Lender. And on 11-21-2014 talked to Richard and he gave me names of the broker and the mortgage company phone number and both were made up names and wrong phone numbers. And that led to investigating the data in the contract and the letter."

On November 19, 2014, Gregg sent Gabriel an attachment titled "Preapproval.pdf."

- 3.13 A "Prequalification Letter" was also included with Gregg's November 16, 2014 email that indicates on November 7, 2014, borrower Chad Kastle was pre-qualified by Nelson Lomax, Loan Originator of LandMark Mortgage & Associates, to purchase a home for up to \$183,400.00 and referenced the subject property in the address line.
- 3.14 Brooks contacted Nelson Lomax and discussed the pre-qualification letter with Lomax. Lomax told Brooks he had never heard of Chad Kastle, the alleged buyer. Brooks was able to confirm the letterhead from the pre-qualification letter submitted by Gregg did not come from LandMark Professional Mortgage. Brooks searched the National Mortgage System for additional loan originators named Nelson Lomax nationwide. The only result was for Nelson Lomax, who was registered with Landmark Professional Mortgage in Salem Oregon.

- 3.15 By doing an online search, Brooks was able to locate LandMark Mortgage & Associates, Inc., in Lakeland FL that appeared to have a similar logo to the prequalification letter submitted by Gregg. Brooks contacted LandMark Mortgage & Associates, Inc., to find out if Nelson Lomax had ever worked there or if Kastle had been a client in the past. Brooks was told Lomax did not ever work there, and they did not have any record of any file for Chad Kastle as a borrower.
- 3.16 Brooks met with Gladys Blum (Blum) of Blum Real Estate to verify the details of the purchase offer Gregg submitted to Gabriel. The purchase contract appeared to have been written by Patrick Livingston (Livingston) at Blum Real Estate. Blum stated she didn't have a broker named Livingston and was not familiar with the offer. The transaction coordinator from Blum Real Estate, verified they were not familiar with Kastle, Livingston or the transaction in general.
- 3.17 Brooks contacted Chad Kastle (Kastle) in Salem Oregon using a phone number he found on the internet. Kastle confirmed he had not made any offer on the subject property. Brooks was unable to locate any other Chad Kastle located in Salem.

**Violation:** By making a material misrepresentation to the seller presenting a fictitious mortgage pre-qualification letter associated with a purchase offer Gregg violated ORS 696.301(1), (12) and (14) (2013 Edition) which states, a licensee's real estate license may be disciplined for the following reasons if they: (1) created a reasonable probability of damage or injury to a person by making one or more material misrepresentations or false promises in a matter related to professional real estate activity, (12) demonstrated incompetence or untrustworthiness in performing any act for which the licensee is required to hold a license, and (14) committed an act of fraud or engaged in dishonest conduct substantially related to the fitness of the applicant or licensee to conduct professional real estate activity, without regard to whether the act or conduct occurred in the course of professional real estate activity.

///

///

///

///

.

///

///

 $/\!/\!/$ 

26 | ///

26 | 11.

27 | ///

28 | ///

29 | ///

30 | ///

**Violation:** By making a material misrepresentation to the seller by presenting a fictitious purchase offer for the subject property, Gregg violated ORS 696.301(1), (12) and (14) (2013 Edition) which states, a licensee's real estate license may be disciplined for the following reasons if they: (1) created a reasonable probability of damage or injury to a person by making one or more material misrepresentations or false promises in a matter related to professional real estate activity, (12) demonstrated incompetence or untrustworthiness in performing any act for which the licensee is required to hold a license, and (14) committed an act of fraud or engaged in dishonest conduct substantially related to the fitness of the applicant or licensee to conduct professional real estate activity, without regard to whether the act or conduct occurred in the course of professional real estate activity

The above violations are grounds for discipline pursuant to ORS 696.301. Based on these violations, OREA is revoking Gregg's principal broker license. A revocation is appropriate under ORS 696.396(2)(c)(B) and (C). According to ORS 696.396, OREA may revoke a real estate license if the material facts establish a violation of ground for discipline under ORS 696.301 that exhibits incompetence in the performance of professional real estate activity, and/or exhibits dishonesty or fraudulent conduct.

5.

- 5.1 OREA reserves the right to investigate and pursue additional complaints that may be received in the future regarding this licensee.
- 5.2 In establishing the violations alleged above, OREA may rely on one or more definitions contained in ORS 696.010.

### ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Gregg's principal broker license is revoked.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to ORS 696.990, Gregg pay a civil penalty in the sum of \$1,500, and said penalty to be paid to the General Fund of the State Treasury by paying the same to OREA. ORS 696.990 allows for the imposition of a civil penalty in the range of \$100 to \$500.00 for the first occurrence of unlicensed professional real estate activity, and \$500 to \$1,000.00 for each subsequent occurrence. In this instance there were two occurrences of unlicensed activity.

Dated this 18th day of JANUARY , 2017.

OREGON REAL ESTATE AGENCY

**GENE BENTLEY** 

Real Estate Commissioner

Date of service: 1 10 2017

NOTICE: You are entitled to judicial review of this order. Judicial review may be obtained by filing a petition for review within 60 days from the date of service of this order. Judicial review is pursuant to the provisions of ORS 183.482.