

FITCH RATES OREGON'S \$519MM GO BONDS 'AA+'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-28 January 2019: Fitch Ratings has assigned 'AA+' ratings to the following state of Oregon general obligation (GO) bonds:

- \$310 million 2019 series A (Article XI-Q State Projects) (Tax-Exempt);
- \$40 million 2019 series B (Article XI-Q State Projects) (Federally Taxable) (Sustainability Bonds);
- \$20 million 2019 series C (Article XI-Q State Projects) (Federally Taxable);
- \$150 million 2019 series D (Articles XI-M, XI-N and XI-P State Grant Programs) (Tax-Exempt).

The bonds are expected to sell via negotiation on or around Feb. 12-13, 2019. They will fund capital improvement projects across 14 state agencies.

Fitch also has affirmed the following ratings:

- Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'AA+';
- \$6.1 billion in outstanding GO bonds at 'AA+';
- Oregon School Bond Guaranty Program at 'AA+';
- \$241 million in outstanding state-appropriation backed bonds at 'AA'.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

GO bonds are direct general obligations of the state of Oregon, with the full faith and credit of the state pledged to bond repayment.

The outstanding state-appropriation backed bonds are payable from monies appropriated by the state to debt repayment. The one-notch distinction reflects greater repayment optionality.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

Oregon's 'AA+' Issuer Default Rating (IDR) and GO rating reflect the state's strong control over revenues and spending, low liabilities and record of prompt actions to maintain financial flexibility in challenging revenue periods. Strong financial management is critical to the rating given a revenue structure largely dependent on the cyclical personal income tax, exposure to voter initiatives that can have negative fiscal impacts and constitutional 'kicker' provisions that require the return of surplus revenues to taxpayers. There is no state-wide sales tax. The state's operating performance is sustained by a diverse economy with strong growth prospects.

Economic Resource Base

Oregon's economy tends to be more cyclical than the nation's due to its large high-tech sector and international trade activities that expose the state to global economic cycles. The economy has retained its large agriculture and natural resource sectors, although they now represent a smaller proportion of the economy due to the strong growth in the computer and manufacturing sectors, anchoring a diverse, growth-oriented economy.

The state has made steady economic gains in recent years, recording growth rates amongst the strongest of the states. However, based on historical experience, the state remains vulnerable

to sharp declines in economic performance during recessionary periods, a characteristic that Fitch does not expect will change. The state projects that its population and labor force gains will continue, albeit at a slower pace than in recent years, propelled by growing employment opportunities and wage expansion across the state and all major industries. As a result of this job and wage growth, the state is reporting that economic metrics have improved for its most disadvantaged populations and eight of its rural counties have now returned to pre-recession employment levels.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Framework: 'aaa'

Fitch expects Oregon's revenues, which have a heavy dependence on personal income tax revenues, to continue to reflect the strength of the economy and its volatility. The state has an unlimited legal ability to raise operating revenues as needed.

Expenditure Framework: 'aaa'

The state maintains ample expenditure flexibility with a low burden of carrying costs for liabilities and the broad expense-cutting ability common to most U.S. states. As with most states, Medicaid remains a key expense driver, but one that Fitch expects to remain manageable.

Long-Term Liability Burden: 'aaa'

Combined debt and pension liabilities represent a low burden on resources, even when taking planned future debt issuances into account. Other post-employment benefit (OPEB) obligations are modest.

Operating Performance: 'aa'

The state's strong management of its financial operations offsets volatility in its revenue sources, leaving it well-positioned to deal with economic downturns. The state has very strong gap-closing capacity in the form of its control over revenues and spending. State balancing measures in downturns include reserve draws and there is a consistent history of rebuilding reserves as the economy strengthens. Voter initiatives periodically affect state finances.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

The rating is sensitive to shifts in the state's fundamental credit characteristics, including its proactive financial management and low liability profile.

CREDIT PROFILE

Revenue Framework

Oregon's general fund relies most heavily on the personal income tax (85% of fiscal 2018 general fund revenues), which has demonstrated some volatility. Further, the state does not fully capture revenue growth since increases more than 2% above the state's close of session forecast are subject to constitutional kicker requirements, whereby excess personal income tax revenue is returned to taxpayers. Corporate income tax collections above the 2% forecast threshold are also subject to kicker requirements; however, excess corporate income tax collections are directed to education in the subsequent biennium and have no impact on the state's general fund revenues.

Historical growth in the state's revenues, after adjusting for the estimated impact of tax policy changes, has generally been above inflation, with robust growth in most years more than compensating for recessionary declines. Kickers for both the personal income and corporate income taxes (approximately \$76 million) were triggered at the end of the fiscal 2015-2017 biennium (July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2017) following stronger than forecast growth. Payouts for the personal income tax kicker are distributed as a credit on subsequent tax year filings, while the corporate income tax kicker is dedicated to education funding.

The state's December 2018 economic and revenue forecast indicated that Oregon's economic expansion has slowed and stabilized; job growth has slowed to match population and labor force gains. Nevertheless, state revenue collections continue to outpace the forecast. In part this is due to temporary factors such as households' and businesses' response to federal tax law changes. Consequently, the state now expects to collect more revenue in the current fiscal 2017-2019 biennium and less during the fiscal 2019-2021 biennium. Other factors projected to slow fiscal 2019-2021 revenue growth are supply-side capacity constraints and federal monetary policy. While the December 2018 forecast indicated these factors could dampen the rate of revenue growth in the fiscal 2019-2021 biennium, the state is forecasting continued general fund revenue growth through the fiscal 2025-2027 biennium.

If this forecast comes to pass, both the personal income tax kicker (an estimated \$724 million to be credited against 2020 income tax returns) and the corporate kicker (an estimated \$230 million for K-12 public education funding) would be triggered for the fiscal 2017-2019 biennium.

The state has no legal limitations on its ability to raise revenues through base broadenings, rate increases, or the assessment of new taxes or fees. The state has a strong track record of adjusting revenues to accomplish programmatic goals. Voters did not approve two citizen initiatives in the November 2018 ballot that could have adversely affected the state's ability to raise revenues in the future.

Expenditure Framework

As in most states, education and health and human services spending are Oregon's largest operating expenses. Education is the larger line item, as the state provides significant funding for local school districts and an extensive public university and college system. Health and human services spending is the second largest area of spending, with Medicaid being the primary driver.

Spending growth, absent policy actions, will likely be slightly ahead of revenue growth driven primarily by Medicaid, requiring regular budget measures to ensure ongoing balance. According to a state forecast, Oregon Health Authority cost shifts to the general fund could increase by an estimated 44% during the fiscal 2019-2021 biennium, significantly contributing to a \$623 million budget gap. This 44% estimated increase is being caused by shifting federal resources and the sunset of health care provider assessments. To address that, the Governor's proposed budget contains a \$657 million new revenue package to offset increased general fund costs. Some of the new revenue package's components are expected to be politically controversial, potentially placing some of the solution at risk. Any shortfall would likely be met by reprogramming funding away from new policy initiatives.

To protect the general fund, the state has set aside \$40 million in an emergency fund in case medical caseloads increase unexpectedly. The fiscal challenge of Medicaid is common to all U.S. states and the nature of the program as well as federal government rules limit the states' options in managing the pace of spending growth. Federal action to revise Medicaid's programmatic and financial structure appears less likely in the near term given divided control in Congress.

In other major areas of spending, Oregon is able to more easily adjust the trajectory of growth since it does not retain responsibility for direct service delivery, although the state has demonstrated strong support for education spending, a fundamental state responsibility. The state's voter initiative process remains very active and successful measures can place a financial burden on the state. The legislature retains the legal ability to modify voter-approved measures, which can provide some budgetary relief. However, the legislature must abide by voter-approved constitutional initiatives, which can only be modified by another voter-approved constitutional amendment.

Overall, Oregon retains ample ability to adjust expenditures to meet changing fiscal circumstances. Spending requirements for debt service, pension and OPEB are manageable at less than 5% of governmental expenditures. The state consistently funds its actuarially determined contributions for the pension system, subject to rate collars that limit and spread large contribution increases over multiple biennia. The state's funding methodology does not smooth asset performance.

Long-Term Liability Burden

Oregon's combined burden of debt and net pension liabilities is low at somewhat over 7% of personal income, assuming the issuance of all planned fiscal 2019 bonds and Fitch's 6% investment return assumption for pension plans.

Debt issuance is closely controlled by the state legislature under state constitutional guidelines. The largest portion of the state's outstanding debt is GO bonds, followed by debt issued for transportation purposes and funded by highway user and gasoline tax revenues. Fitch expects the debt burden to remain low despite the state planning to issue a further \$447 million in GO bonds and general fund-backed certificates of participation through May, 2019. The Governor's budget proposal for the fiscal 2019-2021 biennium includes over \$1 billion in GO bonds and \$301 million in lottery revenue bonds. Fitch expects the debt burden would remain low.

Funding of the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (OPERS) has declined in the past several years as an adverse 2015 state supreme court ruling was incorporated and changes to actuarial assumptions and methods were executed. The state supreme court decision rejected some of the state's 2013 pension reforms, restoring cost of living increases to retirees and current employees. The decision preserved the cost of living reduction for service performed after the reform law. The adoption of more conservative actuarial assumptions and investment returns below assumptions raised the system's unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) and led to higher required employer contributions. The state is responsible for approximately 28% of the state-wide UAAL. Using a 6% discount rate results in a Fitch-adjusted increase in the state's proportionate share of the UAAL to an estimated \$5.9 billion, with a funded ratio of 70%. Since the state's funding methodology does not smooth asset performance, the liability can change significantly year to year.

To help offset a portion of OPERS rate increases, the state legislature has dedicated portions of several revenue streams to assist school districts and local governments with rising pension system contributions. To begin the process, corporate tax revenues repatriated under federal tax law reform will seed a school district unfunded liability fund side account (up to \$115 million) and an employer incentive fund (up to \$100 million). Final allocation decisions will be made by OPERS in July 2023. The Governor's budget for the fiscal 2019-2021 biennium proposes augmenting the side account. The legislature also capped OPERS' contingency reserve fund at \$50 million, reallocating \$533 million to the OPERS benefits in force reserve to support future benefit payments and reduce the UAAL.

OPEB obligations are modest and the state funded the full actuarially required contribution in fiscal 2018. There are no plans to change OPEB entitlements.

Operating Performance

Oregon's ability to respond to cyclical downturns rests with its superior budget flexibility. The state typically takes a multi-prong approach to solving budget gaps during times of budgetary stress; tax rates are adjusted, expenditures are reduced, and the state applies reserves from its rainy day fund and education stability fund to attain balance.

Quarterly economic and revenue forecasts enable the state to quickly identify changes in economically sensitive personal income tax revenues. If the department of administrative services declares a projected budget deficit due to insufficient revenues, with the governor's approval

the department can reduce allotments to prevent the deficit. A separate state emergency board composed of legislative leadership can reallocate appropriations when the legislature is not in session, and the legislature can also apply holdbacks of appropriations.

Accurate revenue forecasting and strong financial management are critical to the rating given a revenue structure largely dependent on the cyclical personal income tax, exposure to voter initiatives that can have negative fiscal impacts, and constitutional kicker provisions that require the return of surplus revenues to taxpayers.

The state historically makes a robust recovery post-recession, allowing it to restore programmatic cuts, bolster aid to education and rebuild reserves. The rainy day fund and the education stability fund are capped at 7.5% and 5.0%, respectively, of general fund revenues in the prior biennium. The combined rainy day fund and education stability fund ending balances for the fiscal 2017-2019 biennium are projected to be \$1.2 billion, almost 6% of this biennium's budgeted gross general fund revenues. This would represent a notable increase over the combined rainy day fund and education stability fund reserves at the end of the fiscal 2015-2017 biennium (\$761 million, approximately 4% of that biennium's general fund revenues), benefitting from improved revenues.

The state plans to grow its combined rainy day fund and education stability fund reserves to \$3.1 billion by the end of the fiscal 2025-2027 biennium (almost 11% of that biennium's projected general fund revenues). This projected growth would result from both automatic deposits and tight restrictions against withdrawals. Withdrawals require one of three triggers (employment decline, a projected budgetary shortfall or declaration of a state of emergency) and a three-fifths vote. Withdrawals from the rainy day fund are capped at two-thirds of the beginning balance for that biennium. General fund and lottery fund ending balances provide additional cushion.

The state's enacted \$19.9 billion general fund budget for the fiscal 2017-2019 biennium addressed a \$1.4 billion forecast budget deficit that resulted from strong projected growth in expenditures. These included required pension contribution increases, escalating health care services expenses and new funding needs under three ballot measures that were approved by the electorate in November 2016. Enacted measures to solve the budget deficit included the passage of higher assessments on health care providers and a new tax on insurance premiums, a significant reduction in agency budgets, appropriation of cash balances and various fund sweeps and transfers.

The gap was also closed by strong general fund revenue growth and the fiscal 2017-2019 biennium is now expected to close with a \$1.3 billion surplus. As a consequence, the Governor's proposed \$22.3 billion general fund budget for the fiscal 2019-2021 biennium needed to solve for a much smaller \$623 million gap resulting from rising service delivery costs and implementation of governmental priorities, particularly related to health care.

Oregon School Bond Guaranty Program

The Oregon School Bond Guaranty Program provides credit enhancement to school districts.

The state treasurer can pledge the full faith and credit and taxing power of the state to guarantee full and timely payment of principal and interest on school bonds. In the event a school district is unable to make a scheduled debt service payment, the state treasurer can make the payment on the school district's behalf and then be reimbursed by that school district for all debt repayment, interest, penalty and other costs incurred, through intercept of state revenues to that school district if necessary.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Alan Gibson
Director

+1-415-732-7577
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
One Post Street,
San Francisco, CA 94104

Secondary Analyst
Marcy Block
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0239

Committee Chairperson
Karen Krop
Senior Director
+1-212-908-0661

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212 908 0278, Email:
sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

U.S. Public Finance Tax-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 03 Apr 2018)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10024656>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2019 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a

particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.