1 2 3	BEF	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON					
5 6 7 8	In the Matter of Educator Licens JEREMY C. JC	e of)	DEFAULT ORDER OF REVOCATION OF LICENSURE			
9	On Sept	On September 12, 2019, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission					
10	(Commission)	Commission) issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Jeremy Johnson (Johnson)					
11	in which the Co	in which the Commission charged him with Gross Neglect of Duty and /or Gross					
12	Unfitness. The Notice was sent via U.S. First Class Mail and U.S. Certified Mail Receipt						
13	7018 1830 0001 6178 6513 to the address on file with the Commission. The Notice						
14	designated the	Commission file	as the record f	or purposes of proving a prima facie case.			
15	The Certified N	Iail was returned	"Unclaimed" t	o the Commission. The regular first class			
16	mail was not returned to the Commission, and assumed delivered. The Notice of						
17	Opportunity of Hearing, dated September 12, 2019, and signed by Anthony Rosilez,						
18	Executive Dire	ctor, stated:					
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	PERIOI UNLES REASO WITHD AT A HI APPEAI DEFAU	D, YOUR RIGHT ' S YOUR FAILURI NABLE CONTRO RAW YOUR REQ EARING, OR NO R AT HEARING, '	FO A HEARIN E TO REQUES L. IF YOU DO DUEST FOR HI FIFY THE COMMIS INCLUDE TH	T RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 21-DAY G SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED T A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR NOT REQUEST A HEARING, EARING, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR MMISSION THAT YOU WILL NOT SION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER OF E REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF NE."			
29	Johnson did n	ot request a heari	ng. The Comm	ission, therefore, finds Johnson to be in			
30	default and en	ters the following	findings of fac	t, conclusions of law, and final order,			
31	based on the fi	les and records of	the Commissi	on concerning this matter.			
32							
33			FINDINGS	OF FACT			
34	 Jeremy Joh 	inson has been lic	ensed by the C	Commission since August 24, 2016.			
35	Johnson's	Preliminary Teach	ning License, w	ith an endorsement in Music (PK-12), is			
36	valid from	June 24, 2017 thr	ough June 23,	2020. During all relevant times, Johnson			
37	was employ	yed by the Astoria	School Distric	t (ASD).			

38

- On or about November 5, 2018, the Commission received a Department of Human
 Services (DHS) screening report indicating Johnson may have committed an act of
 gross neglect of duty and/or gross unfitness. According to information received,
 Johnson allegedly communicated inappropriately with a foreign exchange student
 who had attended ASD and was in Johnson's band class. Contact with ASD
 confirmed they were aware of the allegations and were conducting an investigation,
 and local law enforcement was conducting an investigation as well.
- Investigation determined that GF, a female foreign exchange student, attended
 school in the ASD and was a student in Johnson's band class during the 2017 2018
 school year. On or about October 20, 2018, shortly after GF returned home, GF
 received inappropriate social media communications from Johnson. These
 communications included discussions about sex, masturbation, request from
 Johnson for nude photos of GF, and Johnson sending GF photos of himself, wearing
 only shorts.
 - 4. On December 3, 2018, in response to the school district notifying Johnson of an investigation and request for an interview, Johnson, through his attorney, declined the interview and resigned his employment with ASD effective immediately. Local law enforcement investigated Johnson's conduct and, as of this date, have not filed criminal charges.
 - 5. On April 9, 2019, Commission investigator(s) sent Johnson correspondence, formally requesting that Johnson participate in an interview as required by Commission rules. The request included information advising Johnson that a failure to respond to requests for information or participation in an interview could lead to an additional charge of failure to cooperate in a Commission investigation. Johnson's attorney contacted the investigator and advised that Johnson would not be participating in an interview as requested.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Jeremy Johnson's conduct described in sections two (2), three (3), and four (4) above constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR

1	584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(1) (Recognize the
2	worth and dignity of all persons and respect for each individual); OAR 584-
3	020-0010(5) (Use professional judgment); OAR 584-020-0025(2)(e) (Using
4	district lawful and reasonable rules and regulations); OAR 584-020-0030(2)(b)
5	(Skill in communicating with administrators, students, staff, parents, and other
6	patrons); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(f) (Any sexual conduct with a student) as
7	defined by OAR 584-020-0005(5); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it incorporates
8	OAR $584-020-0035(1)(c)(A)$ (Not demonstrating or expressing professionally
9	inappropriate interest in a student's personal life); OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(D)
10	(Honoring appropriate adult boundaries with students in conduct and
11	conversations at all times); and OAR 584-020-0035(3)(a)(Maintain the dignity
12	of the profession by respecting and obeying the law, exemplifying personal
13	integrity and honesty).
14	Jeremy Johnson's conduct described in section five (5) above constitutes gross
15	neglect of duty in violation of OAR 584-020-0040(4)(p) (Subject to the exercise
16	of any legal right or privilege, failure or refusal by an educator under
17	investigation to respond to requests for information, to furnish documents or to
18	participate in interviews with a Commission representative relating to a
19	Commission investigation).
20	The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based
21	upon ORS 342.175.
22	FINAL ORDER
23	The Commission hereby revokes Jeremy Johnson's Educator license.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED THISday of October, 2019.
25	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
26 27	By:
28	
29 30	//// ////
31	

BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE	1	NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS
BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS (2	
SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF	3	YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY
	4	
ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.	5	SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF
	6	ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.