1 2 3	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
4 5 6	In the Matter of the Teaching License of CHAD GERALD SCHACHT) DEFAULT ORDER OF) LICENSE REVOCATION AND) RIGHT TO APPLY FOR A LICENSE
7 8	On January 31, 2011, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (Commission)	
9	issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Chad Gerald Schacht (Schacht) in which the	
10	Commission charged her with Gross Neglect of Duty and Gross Unfitness pursuant to OAR 584	
11	020-0040(5)(e), OAR 584-020-0040(4)(f), OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n), OAR 584-020-0010(5),	
12	OAR 584-040-0040(4)(0), OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(D), OAR 584-020-0040(1)(n), OAR 584-	
13	020-0040(1), OAR 584-020-0040(3)(a), OAR 584-020-0040(3)(d). The Notice was sent via	
14	U.S. First Class Mail and U.S. Certified Mail Receipt 7010 0290 0001 6831 2004 to the address	
15	on file with the Commission. Neither the Certified Mail, receipt, nor the regular mail were	
16	returned to the Commission and are assumed delivered. The Notice of Opportunity of Hearing,	
17	dated March 23, 2011, and signed by Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director, stated:	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	"IF A REQUEST FOR HEARING IS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 21-DAY PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR REASONABLE CONTROL IF YOU DO NOT REQUEST A HEARING OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT A HEARING, THE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER OF DEFAULT WHICH MAY INCLUDE THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF YOUR LICENSE OR OTHER DISCIPLINE."	
26	Schacht did not request a hearing.	The Commission, therefore, finds Schacht to be in default
27	and enters the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, based on the files and	
28	records of the Commission concerning this matter.	
29		FINDINGS OF FACT
30	1. On December 17, 2010,	Chad Gerald Schacht pled guilty to and was convicted of
31	seven counts of Sex Abu	se II (ORS 163.425). Schacht was sentenced to 66 months
32	incarceration and requir	ed to register as a sex offender upon his release.
33	2. This conviction arose from	om Schacht's sexual relationship with a 15-year-old female
34	student athlete whom he	e coached while employed as a track coach for the Eugene
35	School District.	

1 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 2 Chad Gerald Schacht's above described conviction constitutes gross neglect of duty and 3 gross unfitness in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b) and ORS 342.175(1)(c); OAR 584-020-4 0040(5)(e) (Admission of or engaging in acts constituting criminal conduct, even in the 5 absence of a conviction), OAR 584-020-0040(4)(f) (Any sexual conduct with a student), OAR 6 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (*Use professional judgment*); 7 OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0035(1)(c)(D) (Honoring 8 appropriate adult boundaries with students in conduct and conversations at all times.); and 9 OAR 584-020-0040(1)(n) (ORS 163.425 -- Sexual Abuse in the Second Degree). 10 Pursuant to ORS 342.175(2)(a) and OAR 584-020-0040(1) the Teacher Standards and 11 Practices Commission may revoke Schacht's license and his right to apply for a license based on 12 his conviction of any of the crimes listed in ORS 342.143(3)(a). Additionally, Schacht's 13 convictions constitute "gross unfitness" in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(c); OAR 584-020-14 0040(3)(a) and (d) as defined by OAR 584-020-0040 (5) ("Gross unfitness is any conduct 15 which renders an educator unqualified to perform his or her professional responsibilities"). 16 The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS 17 342.175. 18 FINAL ORDER 19 The Commission hereby revokes the licensure of Chad Gerald Schacht and revokes his 20 right to apply for a license. IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 16 th 21 day of May, 2011. 22 TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION 23 24 25 26 Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director 27 28 29 30 31 NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS 32 33 YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE 34 OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE SERVICE OF 35 THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO 36 THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS. 37