1 2 3		BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
4	In the	Matter of the)	
5		ing License of) DEFAULT ORDER OF	
6	LONE	DEAN GILLAS) REVOCATION OF LICENSE	
7 8			
9		On May 11, 2010, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (Commission)	
10	issued	a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Lon Gillas (Gillas) in which the Commission	
11	charge	ed him with Gross Neglect of Duty pursuant to OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n), OAR 584-020-	
12	0010(5), OAR 584-020-0040(4)(k) and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(q). Notice was sent via U.S. First		
13	Class Mail and U.S. Certified Mail Receipt 7009 1410 0002 1902 5177 to the address on file		
14	with the Commission. Mr. Gillas signed for the certified mail on May 14, 2010. The Notice of		
15	Opportunity of Hearing, dated May 11, 2010, and signed by Victoria Chamberlain, Executive		
16	Director, stated:		
17 18 19		"If you want a hearing, you must file a written request for a hearing with the Commission within 21 days of the date of this notice."	
20		Mr. Gillas did not request a hearing. The Commission, therefore, finds Mr. Gillas to be in	
21	defaul	t and enters the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, based on the files	
22	and re	ecords of the Commission concerning this matter.	
23			
24		FINDINGS OF FACT	
25	1.	On November 3, 2006, the Commission adopted a stipulated agreement imposing a public	
26		reprimand and three years probation upon Mr. Gillas' license for using a school district computer	
27		to view sexually explicit materials. As a part of the terms of probation, Mr. Gillas agreed to	
28		abide by Standards for Competent and Ethical Performance of Oregon Educators, including not	
29		using school district computers to view sexually explicit materials. In addition Mr. Gillas agreed	
30		to report to the Executive Director within 10 days of any arrest or citation for any felony,	
31		misdemeanor, major traffic violation or violation of criminal probation.	
32	2.	During the 2007-2008 school year, Mr. Gillas utilized a school district computer to access	
33		sexually explicit materials. At least two students witnessed Mr. Gillas engage in this conduct.	
34	3.	During this time Mr. Gillas continued to send in reports of his compliance with the 2006 Order by	

the Commission.

35

1	4. On January 10, 2008, Mr. Gillas was convicted of a misdemeanor Fish and Game License		
2	violation in Yamhill County Circuit Court. He did not report this criminal conviction to the		
3	Commission as required by the November 3, 2006, stipulated agreement.		
4			
5	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
6	Lon Dean Gillas' breach of the 2006 Commission order by utilizing school computers to view		
7	sexually explicit materials constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584		
8	020-0040(4)(q) (Knowing and unauthorized use of school computer equipment to receive, store,		
9	produce or send sexually explicit materials), OAR 584-020-0040(4)(k) (Knowing violation of any order		
10	or rule of the Commission) and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (Use		
11	professional judgment). Mr. Gillas' failure to report his misdemeanor conviction constitutes gross		
12	neglect of duty in violation of OAR 584-020-0040(4)(k) (Knowing violation of any order or rule of the		
13	Commission) and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (Use professional		
14	judgment). The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS 342.175		
15			
16	FINAL ORDER		
17	The Commission hereby revokes Lon Dean Gillas' educator license.		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 9^{th} day of August, 2010.		
19	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION		
20 21 22 23 24 25	By: <u>Julian Jamberlain</u> , Executive Director		
26 27	NOTICE OF APPEAL OF PICUTO		
28 29	NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS		
30 31 32 33	YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.		