| 1 2 3 | BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
|--|--|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | In the Matter of the Educator License of KAREN MARIE YEOMANS DEFAULT ORDER OF REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR AN EDUCATOR LICENSE |
| 9 | On May 3, 2013, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (Commission) |
| 10 | issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Karen Marie Yeomans (Yeomans) in which |
| 11 | the Commission charged her with Gross Neglect of Duty. The Notice was sent via U.S. First |
| 12 | Class Mail and U.S. Certified Mail Receipt 7011 2000 0001 1282 3404 to the address on file |
| 13 | with the Commission. The Notice designated the Commission file as the record for purposes |
| 14 | of proving a prima facie case. The Certified Mail receipt was returned, "Undeliverable" to |
| 15 | the Commission May 13, 2013. The regular mail was not returned to the Commission. The |
| 16 | Notice of Opportunity of Hearing, dated May 3, 2013, and signed by Victoria Chamberlain, |
| 17 | Executive Director, stated: |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | "IF A REQUEST FOR HEARING IS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 21-DAY PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR REASONABLE CONTROL. IF YOU DO NOT REQUEST A HEARING OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT A HEARING, THE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER OF DEFAULT WHICH MAY INCLUDE THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF YOUR LICENSE OR OTHER DISCIPLINE." |
| 26 | Yeomans did not request a hearing. The Commission, therefore, finds Yeomans to be in |
| 27 | default and enters the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and final order, based |
| 28 | on the files and records of the Commission concerning this matter. |
| 29 | FINDINGS OF FACT |
| 30 | 1. Karen Marie Yeomans has been licensed since November 14, 2007. Yeomans held an |
| 31 | Initial I Teaching License issued May 15, 2008, with an endorsement in Language Arts |
| 32 | (HS), which expired on December 9, 2011. Yeomans has not made application for |
| 33 | renewal. During all relevant times, Yeomans was not known to be employed by any |
| 34 | Oregon School District. |
| 35 | 2. On September 13, 2011, the Commission received information from the NASDTEC |
| 36 | clearing house indicating that Yeomans' teaching credentials in the State of |
| 37 | Tennessee had been suspended due to a positive test for drugs (amphetamine and |

| 1 | methamphetamine), for a period of one year commencing on April 15, 2011. Your |
|----------------------------|--|
| 2 | reinstatement, according to the Tennessee Order, is contingent upon proof of |
| 3 | rehabilitation. |
| 4 | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| 5 | Yeomans's conduct constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS |
| 6 | 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (<i>Use</i> |
| 7 | professional judgment), OAR 584-020-0040(4)((g) (Appearing on duty or at any district- |
| 8 | sponsored activity while under the influence of alcohol or any controlled substance); OAR |
| 9 | 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0035(3)(a)(Maintain the dignity of |
| 10 | the profession by respecting and obeying the law, exemplifying personal integrity and |
| 11 | honesty). This conduct also constitutes gross unfitness in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(c); |
| 12 | OAR $584-020-0040(5)(a)$ (Revocation, suspension or denial of a license by another state |
| 13 | for reasons and through procedures that are the same as, or substantially equivalent to, |
| 14 | those permitting similar action in Oregon); and OAR 584-020-0040(5)(e) (Admission of or |
| 15 | engaging in acts constituting criminal conduct, even in the absence of a conviction). |
| 16 | |
| 17 | The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS |
| 18 | 342.175. |
| 19 | FINAL ORDER |
| 20 | The Commission hereby revokes Karen Marie Yeomans' Right to Apply for an |
| 21 | Educator license. |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 22 10 day of October, 2013. |
| 23 | TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION |
| 24 25 26 27 28 | By: Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director |

| 1 | NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS |
|---|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW |
| 4 | MAY BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM |
| 5 | THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE |
| 6 | PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS. |