| 1 2 3 | BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
|--|--|
| 4 5 6 7 | In the Matter of the) DEFAULT ORDER OF Teaching License of) LICENSE REVOCATION STEVEN EDWARD CORDILL) |
| 8 | On February 8, 2011, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (Commission) |
| 9 | issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Steven Edward Cordill (Cordill) in which the |
| 10 | Commission charged him with Gross Neglect of Duty pursuant to OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n), |
| 11 | OAR 584-020-0010(5), OAR 584-020-0040(4)(o), OAR 584-020-0035(2)(e), OAR 584-020- |
| 12 | 0040(4)(q). The Notice was sent via U.S. First Class Mail and U.S. Certified Mail Receipt 7010 |
| 13 | 0290 0001 6831 2042 to the address on file with the Commission. The Certified Mail was |
| 14 | signed for by S. Cordill on February 10, 2011. The regular mail was not returned to the |
| 15 | Commission. The Notice of Opportunity of Hearing, dated February 8, 2011, and signed by |
| 16 | Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director, stated: |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | "IF A REQUEST FOR HEARING IS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 21-DAY PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR REASONABLE CONTROL. IF YOU DO NOT REQUEST A HEARING OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT A HEARING, THE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER OF DEFAULT WHICH MAY INCLUDE THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF YOUR LICENSE OR OTHER DISCIPLINE." |
| 25 25 | Cordill did not request a hearing. The Commission, therefore, finds Cordill to be in default and |
| 26 | enters the following findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, based on the files and records |
| 27 | of the Commission concerning this matter. |
| 28 | |
| 29 | FINDINGS OF FACT |
| 30 | 1. Steven Edward Cordill has been licensed as a teacher in Oregon since August 19, 2010. |
| 31 | His current Initial I Teaching License, issued on June 29, 2009, is valid until January 11, |
| 32 33 | 2013. During all relevant times Cordill was employed by the La Grande School District. |
| 34 | 2. On April 5, 2010 the Commission received information from Cordill's employer that he |
| 3 5 | that it is a second in the sec |
| 36 | utilized his school district computer to access sexually explicit materials on the internet. Specifically Cordill searched for such things as, "date rape", "see through swim suit", "nip |
| | See through Swim searched for such things as, date rade, see through swim stiff. hip |

| 1 | slip", "nude", "see through", "up skirt", "wardrobe slip", "alcohol and ass-hell yeah official |
|----------|--|
| 2 | video", and "vaginal exam video." Cordill also used his district computer to access such |
| 3 | sites as "oopstapes.com" a web site featuring celebrity sex videos and images, and a video |
| 4 | game web site. |
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| 6 | Steven Edward Cordill used his school district computer and internet connection to |
| 7 | access sexually explicit material and internet game sites. This conduct constitutes gross |
| 8 | neglect of duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates |
| 9 | OAR 584-020-0010(5) (Use professional judgment); OAR 584-020-0040(o) as it incorporates |
| 10 | OAR 584-020-0035(2)(e) (Not use the district's or school's name, property, or resources for |
| 11 | non-educational benefit or purposes without approval of the educator's supervisor or the |
| 12 | appointing authority); and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(q)(Knowing and unauthorized use of school |
| 13 | computer equipment to receive, store, produce or send sexually explicit materials). |
| 14 | The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS |
| 15 | 342.175. |
| 16 | FINAL ORDER |
| 17 | The Commission hereby revokes the licensure of Steven Edward Cordill. |
| 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 16 day of May, 2011. |
| 19 | TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION |
| 20 | But Wir Maria (Samberlain |
| 21 22 | By: Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director |
| 23 | Victoria Chambehani, Executive Director |
| 24 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS |
| 28 29 | YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE |
| 30 | OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE SERVICE OF |
| 31 32 | THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS. |
| 33 | |