

1 an inquiry, review, or investigation for alleged misconduct or alleged violation of professional
2 standards of conduct by either an employer or a licensure agency?), 3 (Have you ever been placed
3 on leave by your employer for any alleged misconduct?), and question 9 (Have you ever been
4 arrested or cited for any offense listed in section (8) that is still pending in the courts? This
5 includes any diversion, conditional discharge, or postponed adjudication that has not been
6 dismissed by the courts at the time this application is signed.) Driscoll's answers were false. At the
7 time Driscoll signed and dated his application, Driscoll was under investigation in the State of
8 Washington, Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. Driscoll had been placed on leave and
9 was subsequently dismissed from his employment. Driscoll had been criminally charged with four
10 counts of assault with sexual motivation. These charges stemmed from allegations that Driscoll
11 fondled minor female students' buttocks, spanked them, and made inappropriate sexual comments
12 to them.

13 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

14 Driscoll's conduct described in section two (2) above constitutes gross neglect of duty in
15 violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-
16 0010(5) (*Use professional judgment*); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(c) (*Knowing falsification of any*
17 *document or knowing misrepresentation directly related to licensure, employment, or*
18 *professional duties*); This conduct also constitutes gross unfitness in violation of ORS
19 342.175(1)(c); OAR 584-020-0040(5)(e) (*Admission of or engaging in acts constituting*
20 *criminal conduct, even in the absence of a conviction*).

21 The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS 342.175.

22 FINAL ORDER

23 The Commission hereby denies Ronald Keith Driscoll's application for a license and
24 revokes Ronald Keith Driscoll's right to apply for a teaching license in Oregon for a period of one
25 (1) year, to begin upon the date this order is signed and in effect.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 23rd day of April, 2012.

27 TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION

28 By: 
29

30 Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director

31 NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS

32
33 YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE
34 OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE SERVICE OF
35 THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO
36 THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.