1 2	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
3 4 5 6 7 8	Lic	the Matter of the Educator cense of EO JOSEPH TRAN	) ) )	DEFAULT ORDER OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR AN EDUCATOR LICENSE
9 10		On August 17, 2016, the Teache	r Stand	lards and Practices Commission (Commission)
11	ice	_		Leo Joseph Tran (Tran) in which the
12		<del></del>		of Duty and Gross Unfitness. The Notice was
13			_	ed Mail Receipt 7015 3010 0002 3171 1057 to
14				Notice designated the Commission file as the
15				case. The Certified Mail receipt was returned
16				6. The first class mail was not returned to the
17	_			earing, dated August 17, 2016, and signed by
18		Ionica Beane, Executive Director, sta		curing, duted riaguet 1/, 2010, and eighted 2/
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	111	"IF A REQUEST FOR HEARIN PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A D UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO D REASONABLE CONTROL. IF FAIL TO APPEAR AT A HEAR	G IS NO HEARI REQUE YOU D ING, TI	OT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 60-DAY NG SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED EST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR O NOT REQUEST A HEARING OR IF YOU HE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER E THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF JINE."
27		Tran did not request a hearing.	The Co	ommission, therefore, finds Tran to be in
28	de	efault and enters the following findi	ngs of fa	act, conclusions of law, and final order, based
29	on	n the files and records of the Commi	ssion co	oncerning this matter.
30		FI	NDINO	SS OF FACT
31	1.	Tran has never been licensed by th	e Com	mission. On February 15, 2016, Tran made
32		application to the Commission for	an Ore	gon Teaching License.
33	2.	. On Tran's February 15, 2016, app	lication	for an Oregon Teaching License, Tran
34		answered "No" to all character que	estions.	This included answering "No" to question
35		number two, "Are you currently t	he subj	ect of an inquiry, review or investigation
36		for alleged misconduct or alleged	violati	on of professional standards of conduct by
37		either an employer or a licensure	agency	?" Tran answered "No" to character

1	question number four, "Have you ever had any adverse action taken on a
2	professional certificate, license, or charter school registration?" Tran also answered
3	"No" to question number seven, "Have you ever been disciplined by any public
4	agency responsible for licensure of any kind, including but not limited to
5	educational licensure?"
6	Commission investigation determined that Tran, at the time he made application to
7	the Commission, was aware that the Texas Education Agency (TEA) was / had been
8	conducting an investigation into Tran's conduct related to his performance and
9	mental health. In November of 2015, Tran had received a "Proposal for Decision"
10	from the TEA recommending the suspension of Tran's Texas licensure. On February
11	15, 2016, Tran made application to the Commission for an Oregon teaching license.
12	On February 22, 2016, Tran received a final order of discipline from the TEA,
13	revoking his Texas teaching license until he is able to present proof of fitness. This
14	final order was completed and in effect on February 12, 2016. Based on these
15	documented events Tran failed to answer his Oregon educator application character
16	questions accurately.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Leo Joseph Tran engaged in unprofessional conduct as described in section two (2) above. Tran's conduct is grounds for denial of his application under ORS 342.143(2). The above conduct also constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (*Use professional judgment*); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0035(3)(a) (*Maintain the dignity of the profession by respecting and obeying the law, exemplifying personal integrity and honesty*), and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(c) (*Falsification of any document or knowing misrepresentation directly related to licensure, employment, or professional duties*). The above conduct also constitutes gross unfitness in violation of ORS 342-175(1)(c); OAR 584-020-0040(5)(a) (*Revocation, suspension or denial of a license by another state for reasons and through procedures that are the same as, or substantially equivalent to, those permitting similar action in Oregon), and OAR 584-020-0040(5)(b)(<i>Fraud or misrepresentation*).

1	The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS
2	342.175.
3	FINAL ORDER
4	The Commission hereby denies Leo Joseph Tran's application for an Oregon
5	educator license and revokes Leo Joseph Tran's right to apply for an Oregon educator
6	license.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of January, 2017.
8	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
9	By: Monica Gene  Dr. Monica Beane, Executive Director
10	By:
	Dr. Monica Beane, Executive Director
l 1 l 2 l 3	
13	NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS
14	
15	YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW
16	MAY BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM
17	THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE
18	PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.

1 2	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON			
3 4 5 6 7 8	Lic	the Matter of the Educator cense of EO JOSEPH TRAN	) ) )	DEFAULT ORDER OF DENIAL OF APPLICATION AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO APPLY FOR AN EDUCATOR LICENSE
9 10		On August 17, 2016, the Teache	r Stand	lards and Practices Commission (Commission)
11	ice	_		Leo Joseph Tran (Tran) in which the
12		<del></del>		of Duty and Gross Unfitness. The Notice was
13			_	ed Mail Receipt 7015 3010 0002 3171 1057 to
14				Notice designated the Commission file as the
15				case. The Certified Mail receipt was returned
16				6. The first class mail was not returned to the
17	_			earing, dated August 17, 2016, and signed by
18		Ionica Beane, Executive Director, sta		curing, duted riaguet 1/, 2010, and eighted 2/
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	111	"IF A REQUEST FOR HEARIN PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A D UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO D REASONABLE CONTROL. IF FAIL TO APPEAR AT A HEAR	G IS NO HEARI REQUE YOU D ING, TI	OT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 60-DAY NG SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED EST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR O NOT REQUEST A HEARING OR IF YOU HE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER E THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF JINE."
27		Tran did not request a hearing.	The Co	ommission, therefore, finds Tran to be in
28	de	efault and enters the following findi	ngs of fa	act, conclusions of law, and final order, based
29	on	n the files and records of the Commi	ssion co	oncerning this matter.
30		FI	NDINO	SS OF FACT
31	1.	Tran has never been licensed by th	e Com	mission. On February 15, 2016, Tran made
32		application to the Commission for	an Ore	gon Teaching License.
33	2.	. On Tran's February 15, 2016, app	lication	for an Oregon Teaching License, Tran
34		answered "No" to all character que	estions.	This included answering "No" to question
35		number two, "Are you currently t	he subj	ect of an inquiry, review or investigation
36		for alleged misconduct or alleged	violati	on of professional standards of conduct by
37		either an employer or a licensure	agency	?" Tran answered "No" to character

1	question number four, "Have you ever had any adverse action taken on a
2	professional certificate, license, or charter school registration?" Tran also answered
3	"No" to question number seven, "Have you ever been disciplined by any public
4	agency responsible for licensure of any kind, including but not limited to
5	educational licensure?"
6	Commission investigation determined that Tran, at the time he made application to
7	the Commission, was aware that the Texas Education Agency (TEA) was / had been
8	conducting an investigation into Tran's conduct related to his performance and
9	mental health. In November of 2015, Tran had received a "Proposal for Decision"
10	from the TEA recommending the suspension of Tran's Texas licensure. On February
11	15, 2016, Tran made application to the Commission for an Oregon teaching license.
12	On February 22, 2016, Tran received a final order of discipline from the TEA,
13	revoking his Texas teaching license until he is able to present proof of fitness. This
14	final order was completed and in effect on February 12, 2016. Based on these
15	documented events Tran failed to answer his Oregon educator application character
16	questions accurately.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Leo Joseph Tran engaged in unprofessional conduct as described in section two (2) above. Tran's conduct is grounds for denial of his application under ORS 342.143(2). The above conduct also constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (*Use professional judgment*); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0035(3)(a) (*Maintain the dignity of the profession by respecting and obeying the law, exemplifying personal integrity and honesty*), and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(c) (*Falsification of any document or knowing misrepresentation directly related to licensure, employment, or professional duties*). The above conduct also constitutes gross unfitness in violation of ORS 342-175(1)(c); OAR 584-020-0040(5)(a) (*Revocation, suspension or denial of a license by another state for reasons and through procedures that are the same as, or substantially equivalent to, those permitting similar action in Oregon), and OAR 584-020-0040(5)(b)(<i>Fraud or misrepresentation*).

1	The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS
2	342.175.
3	FINAL ORDER
4	The Commission hereby denies Leo Joseph Tran's application for an Oregon
5	educator license and revokes Leo Joseph Tran's right to apply for an Oregon educator
6	license.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of January, 2017.
8	TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
9	By: Monica Gene  Dr. Monica Beane, Executive Director
10	By:
	Dr. Monica Beane, Executive Director
l 1 l 2 l 3	
13	NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS
14	
15	YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW
16	MAY BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM
17	THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE
18	PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.