

1 application was closed. During all relevant times, White was employed by the
2 Harrisburg School District.

3 2. On November 26, 2012, the Commission received a report from the Harrisburg School
4 District alleging White violated professional standards and practices. Subsequent
5 investigation determined the following:

6 a. On November 21, 2011, White was issued a letter of reprimand for unprofessional
7 boundaries with students. Specifically, White engaged in physical horseplay,
8 pushing, poking, and mock choking students as well as using inappropriate
9 language and humor with sexual content. On November 6, 2012, White was again
10 reprimanded and also given two days off without pay for a similar incident.
11 Specifically, White made an inappropriate comment to a student that implied she
12 was sexually active. This was done publicly at a school football event and
13 offended the student and her parent. The student involved was also involved in
14 the 2011 incident(s) and related to your prior reprimand.
15

16 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

17 Raymond Burleigh White engaged in unprofessional conduct as described above.
18 This conduct constitutes Gross Neglect of Duty in violation of ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-
19 020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (*Use professional judgment*),
20 OAR 584-020-0025(2)(e) (*Using district lawful and reasonable rules and regulations*),
21 OAR 584-020-0030(2)(b) (*Skill in communicating with administrators, students, staff,*
22 *parents, and other patrons*); and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(o) as it incorporates OAR 584-
23 020-0035(1)(c)(D) (*Honoring appropriate adult boundaries with students in conduct and*
24 *conversations at all times*).
25

26 The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS
27 342.175.

28 ////

29 ////

1 **FINAL ORDER**

2 The Commission hereby issues a Public Reprimand upon Raymond Burleigh White's
3 Educator licensure.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 20th day of May, 2015.

6
7 TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION



8 By: _____
9 Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director

10
11 NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS

12
13 YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW
14 MAY BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM
15 THE SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE
16 PROVISIONS OF ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.