## BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON

1 2 3

| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8                                     | In the Matter of the Educator)DEFAULT ORDER OF DENIAL OFLicense of)APPLICATION AND REVOCATION OFJON LOREN BISHOP)RIGHT TO APPLYON LOREN DISHOP)FOR AN EDUCATOR LICENSE  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 9  | On July 22, 2020, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission  |  |  |  |
| 10   | (Commission) issued a Notice of Denial of Reinstatement and Opportunity for Hearing   |  |  |  |
| 11   | to Jon Loren Bishop (Bishop) in which the Commission charged Bishop with Gross  |  |  |  |
| 12   | Neglect of Duty. The Notice was sent via U.S. First Class Mail and U.S. Certified Mail  |  |  |  |
| 13   | Receipt 7019 0700 0002 0914 4864 to the address on file with the Commission. The  |  |  |  |
| 14   | Notice designated the Commission file as the record for purposes of proving a prima   |  |  |  |
| 15   | facie case. The Certified Mail receipt was returned to the Commission in late July 2020.  |  |  |  |
| 16   | The regular first class mail was not returned to the Commission, and assumed delivered.   |  |  |  |
| 17   | The Notice of Opportunity of Hearing, dated July 22, 2019, and signed by Anthony  |  |  |  |
| 18   | Rosilez, Executive Director, stated:  |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29 | "IF A REQUEST FOR HEARING IS NOT RECEIVED WITHIN THIS 21-DAY<br>PERIOD, YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING SHALL BE CONSIDERED WAIVED<br>UNLESS YOUR FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING WAS BEYOND YOUR<br>REASONABLE CONTROL. IF YOU DO NOT REQUEST A HEARING,<br>WITHDRAW YOUR REQUEST FOR HEARING, IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT A<br>HEARING, OR NOTIFY THE COMMISSION THAT YOU WILL NOT APPEAR<br>AT HEARING, THE COMMISSION WILL ADOPT AN ORDER OF DEFAULT<br>WHICH MAY INCLUDE THE REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION OF YOUR<br>LICENSE OR OTHER DISCIPLINE." |  |  |  |
| 30   | Bishop did not request a hearing. The Commission, therefore, finds Bishop to be   |  |  |  |
| 31   | in default and enters the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and final order,  |  |  |  |
| 32   | based on the files and records of the Commission concerning this matter.  |  |  |  |
| 33   |   |  |  |  |
| 34   | FINDINGS OF FACT  |  |  |  |
| 35   | 1. On June 17, 2019, Bishop applied to the Commission for reinstatement of his  |  |  |  |
| 36   | teaching license. Due to scheduling issues, Bishop's request for reinstatement came   |  |  |  |
| 37   | before the Commission at the June 2020, meeting. The history of Bishop's past   |  |  |  |
| 38   | case(s) and facts that supported the Commission's actions, included the following:  |  |  |  |
|  | PAGE 1-DEFAULT ORDER OF DENIAL OF ARRUCATION AND REVOCATION OF RIGHT TO ARRUVE FOR AN   |  |  |  |

| 1  | a.   | In November 15, 2012, the Commission received a report from the Riddle    |  |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2  |  | School District (RSD) advising that Bishop was under investigation for    |  |
| 3  |  | possible boundary issues. On November 14, 2012, RSD issued Bishop a       |  |
| 4  |  | written reprimand with a directive not to text RSD students and Bishop    |  |
| 5  |  | was removed / restricted from any coaching activities for a two year      |  |
| 6  |  | period. On or about March of 2013, Bishop was investigated by RSD for     |  |
| 7  |  | texting another student who had moved and transferred to another          |  |
| 8  |  | school district. While Bishop contended he was in compliance with the     |  |
| 9  |  | previous directive (i.e. the student was no longer a student of the RSD), |  |
| 10 |  | the Riddle School District moved for termination, and Bishop was          |  |
| 11 |  | dismissed from his employment with the district on June 19, 2013. Both    |  |
| 12 |  | the Oregon Department of Human Services and law enforcement were          |  |
| 13 |  | involved and determined that Bishop's conduct was not criminal.           |  |
| 14 |  |   |  |
| 15 | b.   | Bishop's investigation came before the Commission on July 26, 2013,       |  |
| 16 |  | where the Commission elected to revoke his educator license. Bishop and   |  |
| 17 |  | the Commission agreed to settle the case with a stipulated agreement that |  |
| 18 |  | was finalized on August 6, 2014. The stipulated agreement established     |  |
| 19 |  | that Bishop's conduct constituted gross neglect of duty in violation of   |  |
| 20 |  | ORS 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR          |  |
| 21 |  | 584-020-0010(5) (Use professional judgment),OAR 584-020-                  |  |
| 22 |  | 0030(2)(b) (Skill in communicating with administrators, students, staff   |  |
| 23 |  | and other patrons); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(0) as is incorporates OAR         |  |
| 24 |  | 584-020-0035(1)(c)(A) (Not demonstrating or expressing professionally     |  |
| 25 |  | inappropriate interest in a student's personal life), and OAR 584-020-    |  |
| 26 |  | 0035(1)(c)(D) (Honoring appropriate adult boundaries with students in     |  |
| 27 |  | conduct and conversation at all times).                                   |  |
| 28 |  |   |  |
| 29 |  | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  |  |
| 30 | Jon Lo   | ren Bishop's conduct described above is grounds for denial of his         |  |
| 31 | application under ORS 342.143(2). The Commission denies Bishop's reinstatement |   |  |
| 32 | application for the following reasons:   |   |  |

| 1        | a) The information Bishop submitted, along with Bishop's reinstatement  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2        | documents, does not establish that Bishop possess good moral character,   |  |  |
| 3        | trustworthiness, or physical and mental health to hold an educator's license; and   |  |  |
| 4        |   |  |  |
| _        | b) The conduct Pichen engaged in that regulted in reveastion of Pichen's Oregon   |  |  |
| 5        | b) The conduct Bishop engaged in that resulted in revocation of Bishop's Oregon   |  |  |
| 6        | educator license.   |  |  |
| 7        |   |  |  |
| 8        | The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon  |  |  |
| 9        | ORS 342.175.  |  |  |
| 10       |   |  |  |
| 11       | FINAL ORDER   |  |  |
| 12       | The Commission hereby denies Jon Loren Bishop' application for reinstatement  |  |  |
| 13       | of his Oregon educator license. Furthermore, the Commission revokes Jon Loren   |  |  |
| 14       | Bishop's right to apply for an Oregon educator license.   |  |  |
|          | Dishop's right to apply for an oregon educator neense.  |  |  |
| 15       | IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 30 to October, 2020.  |  |  |
| 16       | IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of October, 2020.   |  |  |
| 17       | TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION  |  |  |
|          | 1 tt D D = 0  |  |  |
| 10       | By:   |  |  |
| 18<br>19 | By:   |  |  |
| 20       | DI. Anthony Rosnez, Executive Director  |  |  |
| 21       |   |  |  |
| 22       |   |  |  |
| 23       | NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS  |  |  |
| 24       |   |  |  |
| 25<br>26 | YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY<br>BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE |  |  |
| 20       | SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF   |  |  |
| 28       | ORS 183.482 THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.  |  |  |