



# News & Case Notes

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
<b><u>AGGRAVATION</u></b>		
Actual Worsening of Compensable Condition Must be Established	Joanne Janicki	11
<b><u>ATTORNEY FEE</u></b>		
No Fee Awarded for Time Spent Litigating Amount of Fee – <i>Hoffnagle</i> Distinguished – Claimant’s Cross-Petition Challenged Fee Award, But Did Not Relate to a Compensability Dispute	Julie Hooks	3
Assessed Fee under ORS 656.382(2) Not Reduced on Reconsideration, All Factors Considered	Michelle L. Showalter	5
No Assessed Fee Awardable Under ORS 656.383(1) Because No Temporary Disability Benefits Resulted from Premature Closure Decision	Robert L. Stanley	5
No Assessed Fee Awardable to Suspended Attorney	Daniel Garcia-Sandoval	9
Attorney Fee – “383(1)” – Not Awardable for “Premature Closure” Decision – No Award of Additional TTD Benefits	John C. Cole	11
<b><u>“CEASES” DENIAL</u></b>		
Preexisting Condition, Combined Condition and “Ceases” Elements Met by Carrier	Timothy Menzies	7
<b><u>“CEASES” DENIAL UPHELD</u></b>		
Consequential Condition From Prior Accepted Condition Found Compensable	Kevin L. McCarley	7
Carrier Persuasively Established that a Previously Accepted Left Knee Condition had Ceased to Be Major Contributing Cause of Combined Left Knee Condition	Luis Vela	8
No Combined Condition (of the Kind Accepted and Denied by the Employer) Established	Amanda C. Roberts	8
<b><u>CLAIM PROCESSING</u></b>		
Carriers Have Obligation to Modify Acceptances Even in the Absence of a Claim Under ORS 656.262(6)(b)(F). Penalties Potentially Assessable	Luis F. Nava	5

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
----------------	-----------------	---------------------

### CLAIM PRECLUSION

Prior Litigation on Occupational Disease Claim Did Not Preclude New/Omitted Medical Condition – Drews Cited	Heidi Larson	4
---	--------------	---

### COMBINED CONDITION

Ceases Denial Set Aside – Opinion Based on Incomplete History and Was Inconsistent	Nayef Salem	3
--	-------------	---

Evidence Did Not Support Existence of Combined Condition Claimed by Claimant	Ivan Zhiryada	6
--	---------------	---

### COMPENSABILITY

Examining Physician Well-Reasoned, Considered Claimant's Circumstances and Weighed Alternative Causes; Occupational Disease Established	Rosemary Creswell	5
---	-------------------	---

Examining Physician Had Materially Accurate History; Occupational Disease Not Established	Anthony D. Gibson	5
---	-------------------	---

No <i>per se</i> Rule that a Medical Opinion Must Respond to a Contrary Theory, Factual Persuasiveness of the Opinion Nevertheless Discounted	Wanda Asato	8
---	-------------	---

Causation of Right Shoulder Condition Not Established; "Rotator Cuff Impingement" was a Mechanism of Injury, Not a "Medical Condition"	Shanda Pedroza	9
--	----------------	---

Rotator Cuff Tear Established Based on Opinions Focusing on Mechanism of Injury, Claimant's History, Imaging Studies and Temporal Relationship	Shea A. Sitton	11
--	----------------	----

Claimant's Work as a Bus Driver Was a Material Cause of Influenza Condition. Persuasive Opinion Need Not Weigh Other Causes on Material Standard	Diane M. Rogers	12
--	-----------------	----

### CONSEQUENTIAL CONDITION

Caused by Accepted Condition and its Treatment	Nayef Salem	3
--	-------------	---

Discitis Caused by Manipulation Treatment – Treating Surgeon Ruled Out Infectious Process	Steven Johnson	3
---	----------------	---

### COURSE & SCOPE

Claimant's Injury While Scraping Snow from Personal Vehicle on a Client's Private Property (After Clocking Out) Not Compensable	Jayne Rienks	8
---	--------------	---

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
ORS 656.005(7)(b)(A): Standard for “Active Participant” Defense Not Met; Injury to Off-Work Bar Manager Involved in Assault While Removing Bar Patron Compensable	Charles E. Davis	12
<b><u>DISMISSAL ORDER</u></b>		
Board Remands to ALJ to Consider Claimant’s Post-Hearing Motion for Postponement	Jacob Orand	5
Noncomplying Employer Not a “Party” to the DCS After the Claim Has Been Referred to an Assigned Claims Agent, Could Not Appeal the DCS	Gary A. Woodruff	12
<b><u>EXTENT</u></b>		
Medical Arbiter’s Initial Statement Related Findings to Unaccepted Condition – Subsequent Clarification Attributed Impairment to Accepted Condition and Sequela	Michelle L. Showalter	2
Medical Arbiter Did Not Attribute Any Impairment to Accepted Condition or Sequela – <i>Magana-Marquez</i> Applied – No Impairment Awarded	Jason Walker	3
No Impairment Awarded – Medical Arbiter Panel Findings Not Attributed to the Accepted Conditions – Caren Distinguished – None of the Impairment Caused in Material Part by the Accepted Conditions	Maryann M. Edmonds	4
Claimant Entitled to Full Measure of Impairment Caused in Material Part by the Compensable Injury	Reina Cruz-Salazar	10
<b><u>JURISDICTION</u></b>		
Medical Services Dispute Within Board’s Jurisdiction – Carrier Denied that Medical Bills Were Related to a Compensable Condition	Steven W. Roberts	1
Noncomplying Employer Not a “Party” to the DCS After the Claim Has Been Referred to an Assigned Claims Agent, Could Not Appeal the DCS	Gary A. Woodruff	12
<b><u>LEGAL CAUSATION</u></b>		
Record as a Whole Establishes Compensable Injury Despite Lack of Immediate Report – Testimony Supported by an Injury Report and Subsequent Medical Records	Keith Zimmermann	1
Claimant Had “Good Cause” for Untimely Appeal of Denial – Confusion and Difficulty in Contesting Denial – Unable to Read English Language – Difficulty Securing Counsel During COVID-19 Pandemic	Roberto C. Ruiz-Gongora	4

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
----------------	-----------------	---------------------

## LEGAL AND MEDICAL CAUSATION ESTABLISHED

Claimant Engaged in Potentially Causative Work Activities; Medical Opinion Relied on Accurate History of the Mechanism of Injury; Carrier Had Legitimate Doubt Based on Conflicting Evidence – Penalty Not Appropriate	Lisa L. Vedack	7
--	----------------	---

## MEDICAL CAUSATION

Direct Injury (Material Cause Applied); Claimant Was Reliable Historian, Opinions Therefore Based on Sufficiently Accurate History	Kelly Parkhill	12
--	----------------	----

## MEDICAL OPINION

WRME Persuasive on Labral Tear– Entire History Considered – Contrary Opinions Did Not Persuasively Address Temporal Relationship	Jodi L. Yeomans	1
--	-----------------	---

Treating Physician Given Greater Weight – Diagnosis Based on Objective Findings	Tiffany V. Meksavanh	1
---	----------------------	---

Claimant’s Testimony Credible – Physicians Opinions Were Based on an Accurate History – Aware of the Absence of Initial Complaints	James D. Miller	2
--	-----------------	---

Physician Did Not Persuasively Address MRIs and CT Myelogram Showing Degenerative Disc Disease – <i>Dube</i> Distinguished	Cameron M. Ingersoll	4
--	----------------------	---

Physician Relied on Incomplete Medical History and Failed to Address Gap in Onset of Symptoms, Compensability Not Established	Angie M. Aguirre	5
---	------------------	---

Treating Surgeon’s Comments General In Nature and Not Supported by Explanation of How Operative Findings Supported Opinion	Craig Nelson	6
--	--------------	---

Causation of Right Shoulder Condition Not Established; “Rotator Cuff Impingement” was a Mechanism of Injury, Not a “Medical Condition”	Shanda Pedroza	9
--	----------------	---

Rotator Cuff Tear Established Based on Opinions Focusing on Mechanism of Injury, Claimant’s History, Imaging Studies and Temporal Relationship	Shea A. Sitton	11
--	----------------	----

## MEDICAL SERVICES

Caused in Material Part by Injury – First Sentence of “245(1)” Applied – Attending Physician Persuasive – Longitudinal History of Treatment	Carmen Cruz-Ruiz	3
---	------------------	---

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
Right Shoulder Surgery Causally Related	Rebecca L. Marin	6
<b><u>NEW/OMITTED CONDITION</u></b>		
Existence of CRPS Condition Not Established; Even Assuming Existence, Medical Causation Not Established	Karen Petrie	10
<b><u>OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE</u></b>		
Repetitive Work and Changed Body Mechanics from Previously Accepted Conditions Were "Major Cause"; Consequential Condition - Claimed Conditions Were Caused In Major Part By Medical Treatment For Claimant's Accepted Conditions	David I. Gingold	1
Attending Physician's Opinion Was Based on Complete and Accurate History; Contrary Opinion Did Not Adequately Address Claimant's Specific Circumstances	Eric Cooke	7
<b><u>OWN MOTION</u></b>		
Penalties/Attorney Fees – Untimely "Voluntary Reopening" – "Discovery" Rule Violations – "262(11)(a)" / "012-0110(1)"	Elena B. Castaneda	2
Impairment Rating for "Post-Agravation Rights" New/Omitted Condition – PPD "Redetermined" Without Apportionment – Johnson Cited – Total Unscheduled PPD Exceeds 100 Percent – Precludes Additional Award	Jack L. Edwards	4
Additional Unscheduled and Scheduled Permanent Disability Awarded for Post-Agravation Rights Back and Foot Conditions; Limitation in ORS 656.278(2)(d) Applied to Claimant's Unscheduled PPD Award Only	Donna J. Anderson-Bowman	5
"NOC" Valid – Satisfied "012-0055" / "Leffler" Requirements ("Med Stat" Conditions, Impairment Findings, Release to Work) – "278(6)", Kephart, Thompson, Tompkins, Leffler Cited	Matthew D. Gorbett	5
Additional Impairment and Work Disability Awarded for Left Knee Condition, but Not for a Surgery and Chronic Condition	Danny L. Sharer	10
Own Motion Notice of Closure Set Aside as Premature - Attending Physician's "Medically Stationary" Opinion Addressed Condition at Time of Closure; Penalty and Fee Awarded for Failure to Timely Supply the Record to the Board and Opposing Party	Ryan Vinson	10
<b><u>ON REMAND</u></b>		
On Remand: "Parking Lot" Injury "Arose Out Of" and "In the Course Of" Employment	Sherrie A. Miles	7

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
<b><u>PENALTIES</u></b>		
Carrier Unreasonably Failed to Process Injury During IME as an “Initial Injury” Claim – <i>Robinson</i> Cited	Lindsey Medina	4
Regarding Allegedly Unreasonable “Duplicative Discovery” Not Raised Below	Serge Alexandre	6
No Legitimate Doubt Regarding Obligation to Process New/Omitted Medical Condition Determined to be Compensable by ALJ Order, Carrier Presumed to Know Processing Obligations in Prior Case Law	Randy G. Simi	10
Carrier’s Delay in Issuing a Notice of Acceptance Following a Prior ALJ’s Order Setting Aside a Denial Was Unreasonable	Randy G. Simi	12
<b><u>PERMANENT DISABILITY</u></b>		
No Chronic Condition Awardable for Left Shoulder Condition, Member Ousey Specially Concurs	John Velkinburg	9
<b><u>PRECLUSION</u></b>		
Prior ALJ’s Final Order Determined That Time-loss Authorization Was Open-ended – Issue Preclusion Applies	Ryan Marchand	2
<b><u>PREMATURE CLOSURE</u></b>		
No Qualifying Statement of No Impairment or Qualifying Closing Report; Penalty: No Legitimate Doubt as to Carrier’s Obligations Given Unambiguous Language of the Rule	Randy G. Simi	12
<b><u>RESPONSIBILITY</u></b>		
Major Cause of Condition was Previously-Accepted Claim - LIER Not Applicable	Steven W. Roberts	1
<b><u>STANDARD OF COMPENSABILITY</u></b>		
Claimant’s Claim for Rotator Cuff Tear Evaluated as “Injury” (Arose Suddenly in Relation to a Specific Event)	Spencer Barker	11
Direct Injury (Material Cause Applied); Claimant Was Reliable Historian, Opinions Therefore Based on Sufficiently Accurate History	Kelly Parkhill	12
<b><u>TEMPORARY DISABILITY</u></b>		
Altered Pay Period Caused Underpayment – Unreasonable Delay – Penalty and Attorney Fee Awarded	Frank Taylor	1
“Open-ended” Authorization – Not Halted by Another Physician Who Treated a Different Body Part – No Legitimate Doubt – Penalty and Attorney Fee Awarded	Ryan Marchand	2

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>41 NCN Issue</u>
No Additional TTD Awardable Due To Termination For Work Rule or Other Disciplinary Reason; Attending Physician Approved Modified Job	Stephanie Stadjuhar	6
“Open-Ended” Authorization for Temporary Disability Related to the Accepted Condition; Penalty Assessable Due to Unreasonable Failure to Pay Temporary Disability	Thomas Lawson	7
“Three-day Waiting Period,” ORS 656.210(3): Claimant was Not Continuously Off Work Directly Following the Injury, No TTD Awardable for that Period	Robert Tice	8
<b><u>THIRD PARTY</u></b>		
Statutory Distribution is “Just and Proper” – Health Insurer Lien is Not Superior to WC Carrier’s Lien – Public Policy to Reimburse Those Responsible for Payment of Compensation	Sarah J. Ramirez	2
Distribution in Accordance With Statute “Just and Proper” – Carrier Does Not Meet Burden to Prove Future Expenditures - Asserted Future Expenditures Not Reduced to Present Value	Ryan J. Yancey	4
“Extraordinary Fee” of 40 Percent of Recovery from Third Party Approved	Henry M. Fuhrer	8
<b><u>WORK DISABILITY</u></b>		
Attending Physician’s Statement Constituted a Release to Regular Work	Richard A. McConnell	8