



News & Case Notes

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>42 NCN Issue</u>
----------------	-----------------	---------------------

APPELLATE PROCEDURE

Board Review Request Dismissed – Jurisdiction Continued to Rest With ALJ – Further ALJ Action Required to Finally Dispose of Claim and Fix Amount of Compensation – <i>Price, Lindamood, Norris</i> Cited	Salvador A. Mendoza	9
Board Declined to Take Administrative Notice of Oregon Medical Board Opinion Not Included in Hearing Record – Board Granted Claimant’s Motion to Strike Portions of the Employee’s Brief That Referenced Medical Board Opinion	Kiera L. Ervin	10

ATTORNEY FEE

ORS 656.386(1) Fee Awardable for Overcoming Subjectivity Denial	Immer Gutierrez	3
No ORS 656.383 Fee Awardable Where Order on Reconsideration Found Claim Prematurely Closed But Did Not Award or Address Temporary Disability Benefits	Brandon E. Lamb	3
On remand, Board Declines to Apply “Peabody” Analysis; Determines a Reasonable Fee Based on Factors, Considering that Claimant Prevailed on One of Many Issues	Margarett Y. Interiano	4
Responsibility Fee Properly Awarded under “.307(5)” Rather than “.308(2)(d)” Due to Issuance of “.307” Order by the Director	Jared R. Zeigler	5
Applying “Peabody” Analysis, Board Awards \$7,000 for Services on Review Under ORS 656.382(3) - Fees Under that Statute Not Eligible for “Bifurcation”	Mark Acuna	7

CDA

“Pre-closure” Agreement to Pay Under \$2,500 to Pro Se Claimant, Where Information Revealed Several Areas of Benefits that were Potentially Awardable, Held Unreasonable As a Matter of Law under ORS 656.236(1)(a)(A).	Matthew E. Owens	3
---	------------------	---

“CEASES” DENIAL

Employer Did Not Persuasively Meet its Burden of Proving a “Change” in Combined Knee Condition Since Acceptance	Philip Sappington	6
---	-------------------	---

CLAIM PROCESSING

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>42 NCN Issue</u>
Board Finds Claim Not Prematurely Closed, Accepted Condition Medically Stationary; Motion to Remand Denied	Jason Bybee	4
<u>COMBINED CONDITION</u>		
"Ceases" Denial Upheld - Carrier Met Burden to Establish Change in Claimant's Condition or Circumstances	Mark S. Mooney	10
Record Established that Previously Accepted Cervical Strain Combined with Cervical Osteoarthritis to Cause or Prolong Disability or Need for Treatment - Carrier Did Not Meet Burden Under ORS 656.266(2) - "266(2)"	Jose H. Pimentel-Hurtado	11
<u>COMPENSABILITY</u>		
Claimant Met Initial "Material Cause" Burden; Contrary Opinion Primarily Focused on Whether Claimant Had Findings That Established a Particular Diagnosis; Carrier Did Not Meet Further Burden Under ORS 656.266(2)(a) Due to Inadequate "Weighing"	Michelle Miller	1
New/Omitted Medical Condition Did Not Require Medical Services, Denial Upheld	Cecilia Avila-Morales	3
Physician's Opinion Based On Unreliable and Inconsistent Claimant Statements, Therefore Unpersuasive	Diane Cort-Wagner	5
Right Knee Chondral Defect Established, Arthritis Was Compensable Consequence of the Chondral Defect	Brian E. Moore	6
<u>CONSEQUENTIAL CONDITION</u>		
Right Knee Chondral Defect Established, Arthritis Was Compensable Consequence of the Chondral Defect	Brian E. Moore	6
<u>COURSE & SCOPE</u>		
Claimant's Injury Resulted from "Unexplained Fall" – Facially Nonspeculative Idiopathic Explanation Not Established	Francheter Harvey	2
Injury "Arose Out of" and Occurred "in the Course of" Employment – Resulted From an Unexplained Syncope – Record Did Not Establish Nonspeculative Explanation for the Syncope	Mengesha Kelkay	8
Injury Did Not Occur in the Course of Claimant's Employment – Parking Lot Exception to the Going and Coming Rule Did Not Apply – Injury Occurred on a Public Road, Not an Employer-Controlled Area – <i>Cope, Adamson, Thompson</i> Cited	Shawn Wiley	9
<u>EVIDENCE</u>		
Unnecessary to Resolve Whether Claimant (a Licensed Medical Physician) Could Testify as a Medical Expert in Her Own Case Because it Was Unlikely to Affect the Outcome of the Case Even if Considered	Brittany Deyo-Bundy	12

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>42 NCN Issue</u>
----------------	-----------------	---------------------

EXTENT

Claimant Proved Entitlement to "Class 1" Brain Impairment - Medical Arbiter Persuasive	Robert Chase	1
Claimant Entitled to Full Measure of Impairment without Apportionment - Impairment Due in Material Part to the Compensable Injury	Joseph A. Clark	6
Claimant Not Entitled to Permanent Impairment Award – Medical Arbiter’s Findings Were Invalid and Not Due to the Compensable Injury	Isaac R. Marholin	8

HEARING PROCEDURE

Record Established "Good Cause" for Untimely Filed Hearing Request; Compensable Injury: Denial Set Aside	Michael T. Jones	8
--	------------------	---

JURISDICTION

Board Lacks Jurisdiction Over Request for Hearing Seeking Penalties Related to IMEs Under ORS 656.325(6) Where Claimant Did Not First Seek Relief from WCD; No "Matter Concerning a Claim" Presented	James Hibbs	1
--	-------------	---

MEDICAL CAUSATION

On Remand, Given Findings by the Court Regarding Attending Physician’s Opinion, Board Finds Existence and Causation for L5-S1 Disc Condition Established	Douglas M. Sullivan	4
--	---------------------	---

MEDICAL OPINION

Occupational Disease for Bilateral Foot Conditions Compensable; Contrary Opinion Not Based on Complete History or Sufficiently Explained	Michael Luce Sr.	1
--	------------------	---

MEDICAL SERVICES

Treatments (Directed at Denied Conditions) Not (Materially) Causally Related on the Merits of the Medical Evidence; Concurrence Distinguishes Garcia-Solis	Isa Dean	4
Record Established that Disputed Medical Services Were for a Chronic Pain Condition Caused in Material Part by the Work Injury. Attorney Fees: ".386(1)" Fee Contingent on Finally Prevailing at the Department	Julie A. Daniels	6
Record Did Not Establish Sufficient Causal Relationship Between Disputed Medical Services and Work Injury Because Medical Treatment was Directed to Denied Condition and Not "For" Previously Accepted Conditions – 656.245(1)(a)	Rick Sommerville	12

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>42 NCN Issue</u>
----------------	-----------------	---------------------

MENTAL DISORDER

Denial Set Aside, Persuasive Opinion Properly Weighed Non-Excluded Work-Related Factors Against All Other Factors; Attorney Fee: \$35,000 Award at Hearing Upheld as Reasonable	Rachel Bonine	3
PTSD and Major Depression Not Compensable – Physician Opinion Did Not Adequately Weigh Excluded/Nonexcluded Work Factors Identified by Contrary Opinion	Jeremy Player	5

NEW/OMITTED CONDITION

Record Established Existence of Claimed Condition and That Work Incident Was a Material Contributing Cause of Disability or Need for Treatment - "005(7)(a)," "266(1)"	Greg S. Griffith	11
--	------------------	----

OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE

Presumption of Compensability in ORS 656.802(7) Did Not Apply – Record Did Not Establish That Diagnostic Criteria for PTSD Was Satisfied by a Preponderance of the Evidence From a Psychiatrist or Psychologist – Record Also Did Not Establish That Claimed PTSD Arose Out of and in the Course of Employment by Clear and Convincing Evidence Under ORS 656.802(3) – ORS 656.802(3), (7) Cited	Camille Smicz	9
Claim was Properly Analyzed as an Injury - Record Supported Conclusion that Combined Neck Condition Arose Suddenly After Work Event	Jose H. Pimentel-Hurtado	11

OWN MOTION

Own Motion Notice Closure Not Premature - No Further Medical Improvement in the Accepted Condition (or “Direct Medical Sequela”) Would Reasonably be Expected from Medical Treatment or the Passage of Time	Katherine A. Whitner	2
Own Motion Notice of Closure Set Aside as Premature – Physician Had Not Examined Claimant and Applied Incorrect “Medically Stationary” Standard	Adam F. Bruce	2
“Hearing Referral” Request Denied – No “Credibility” Dispute, Record Concerning Claimant’s “PTD” Request Not Insufficiently Developed - “Worsened Condition” Claim – No Entitlement to PTD Benefits	Katherine A. Whitner	3
“Worsened” Condition – Claimant Not In “Work Force” – No “Presumption” of “Work Force” Existed; No “TTD” Entitlement Until Claim Reopened; Penalty/Fee – Untimely “Recommendation”, But Without Reopening Penalty/Fee Not Awardable	Michael D. Millspaugh	3

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>42 NCN Issue</u>
Board Refers Case to Hearings Division to Determine When Form 827/Aggravation Claim was Filed	Phillip A. Case, II	6
Notice of Closure Set Aside as Invalid Where Carrier's Warning Letter Did Not Copy Attending Physician	Christopher A. Rouse	6
PPD – "Varus Deformity" – No Impairment Value for "2 Degree" Deformity Under "035-0230(4)(a)" – But "5 Percent" Impairment Value for "Grade IV Chondromalacia" & "Varus Deformity" (Even if Less Than 15 Degrees) Under "035-0230(11)(b)"	James D. Smith	8
Premature Closure – Claimant's "Worsened Condition" Was Medically Stationary at Claim Closure – No Specific "Closing Exam" Requirement, Provided That Claimant's Medically Stationary Status Addressed – Carrier Must Attempt to Obtain "AP" Impairment Findings for New or Omitted Medical Condition – ORS 656.005(17), OAR 438-012-0055, <i>Wilson, Rickerd-Puckett, Leffler, Christeson</i> Cited	Adrian Jara	9
No Permanent Total Disability Award - "AP" Opinion Did Not Persuasively Distinguish Between Disability That Could Be Considered From Disability That Could Not Be Considered; Penalty and Related Fees Awarded - Carrier's Two-Month Delay in Submitting "WRE" Report to "AP" Before Claim Closure Was Unreasonable – Carrier's Untimely Record Submission and Response to Board Requests Were Unreasonable	Rafael Corona-Gambino	12
<u>ON REMAND</u>		
Motion to Remand under ORS 656.295(5) Denied – Must Object and Request Change of ALJ at Hearings Level. Medical Causation – ALJ Free to Evaluate Evidence Regardless of Specific Arguments	Gilbert E. Vilca-Inga	2
Claimant Requested Review of ALJ Approval of a DCS, But No Record of Circumstances Surrounding the Settlement	Helio Bedolla-Huerta	5
<u>PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT</u>		
Appellate Review Unit's Plausible Interpretation of WCD's Rule, OAR 436-035-0019(1), Entitled to Deference - Entitlement to "Chronic Condition" Value Based on Restriction From Using a Body Part For More Than Two-Thirds of a Period of Time - "Chronic Condition" Value Not Awarded	Michael Spurgeon	12
<u>TEMPORARY DISABILITY</u>		
Additional TPD Based on Authorization Related to Conditions Related to Series of Injuries Later Accepted as Occupational Disease; TPD Rate Not Zero - Claimant Overcame Presumption that Wages Were Same or Higher Than At Injury	Randy G. Simi	5

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Claimant</u>	<u>42 NCN Issue</u>
Record Established That Claimant was Terminated for Violation of Work Rules Under ORS 656.325(5)(b)	Tracy Gay	8
Attending Physician Did Not Authorize Retroactive Temporary Disability Benefits - Authorization Not Contemporaneous with Disputed Period - Constitutional Law: ORS 656.245(2)(b)(D)(ii) and ORS 656.262(4)(g) Did Not Violate Remedies Clause, Privileges and Immunities Clause, Separation of Powers Doctrine, Due Process Clause, or Equal Protection Clause - Claimant Did Not Have Right to Jury Trial Concerning Entitlement to Additional Temporary Disability Benefits	James Hibbs	10
Claimant Was Entitled to Additional Temporary Disability Benefits Because he Was Enrolled and Actively Engaged in Authorized Training Program After Notice of Closure Issued - "268(10)," "340(12)," "030-0036(2)"	Paul D. Sadler	11
<u>THIRD PARTY</u>		
Carrier Was a "Paying Agency" Under ORS 656.576 Even After CDA and Current Condition Denial – Carrier Still "Responsible" for Paying Benefits	Juliane M. Nichols	7
<u>WORKER REQUESTED MEDICAL EXAMINATION</u>		
Carrier's Denials Not "Based On" IME – Record Reviews Preceded Denials and Only IME Performed Post-Denial – No Statutory Exception for Covid -19 Pandemic - Requirements of ORS 656.325(1)(e) Not Met	Michelle L. Knowlden	9