AGENDA

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD
Strategic Data Plan Subcommittee

August 15, 2023
1:00 - 2:00 PM

Join ZoomGov Meeting
https://www.zoomgov.com/j/1605421162?pwd=Y24rL0hJUmFGV1hzdjNjSVJFZzNmZz09
Meeting ID: 160 542 1162
Dial by your location
    +1 669 254 5252 US (San Jose)
Subcommittee members: Jackie Leung, Hongcheng Zhao, Rosemarie Hemmings, Veronica Irvin, Kelle Little, Jawad Khan, Dean Sidelinger, Marie Boman Davis

OHA staff: Victoria Demchak, Virginia Luka, Rose Harding, Cara Biddlecom

Time	Item	Lead
1:00 – 1:15pm	**Welcome and Introductions** • Welcome members • Approve June meeting minutes	Virginia
1:15 – 1:30 pm	**Introduce new SDP recommendation document: summary of changes** • Incorporated comments from June meeting • Cut certain components • Restructured the recommendations to connect them to context, experiences that were shared and the hoped-for outcomes from these changes. • Restructure partially provoked from presentation to the PHAB which requested information on context	Victoria, Dr. Sidelinger
1:30 – 1:50	**Discussion and next steps** • What feels important to review and engage?	All

1:50 – 2:00	**Public comment**

2:00	**Adjourn**

MINUTES

PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY BOARD
Strategic Data Plan Subcommittee

June 20, 2023
1:00 - 2:00 PM

Subcommittee members: Marie Boman-Davis, Jawad Khan, Dean Sidelinger, Jackie Leung, Hongcheng Zhao, Veronica Irvin, Rosemarie Hemmings

Subcommittee members excused: Dr. Rosemarie Hemmings, Jawad Khan, Hongcheng Zhao.

OHA staff: Victoria Demchak, Rose Harding, Cara Biddlecom

Welcome and introductions
- PHAB subcommittee members and OHA staff introduced themselves.
- PHAB subcommittee members approved the May 2023 meeting minutes.

Debrief PHAB meeting presentation
- Victoria felt that PHAB members were engaged and that the subcommittee report should be grounded in practical lessons learned.
- Veronica reflected that PHAB members likely need a reminder of the objectives for the PHAB Strategic Data Plan Subcommittee. Jawad agreed.
- Marie suggested regrounding PHAB members in PowerPoint presentations a roadmap that can demonstrate where the subcommittee has been and where it is going.
- Cara shared feedback from another PHAB member to include as many specific examples of application as possible, so that new concepts can be translated into practical changes.
- Dr. Hemmings shared that the subcommittee has been meeting for a very long time. Veronica agreed and said the subcommittee had convened and then paused for a long time.
- Victoria shared a reflection about frequency of updating the strategic data plan and how to make it specific and useful.

Report review

- Victoria reviewed the updates made to the draft plan since the last meeting and where subcommittee member feedback had been incorporated.
- Hongcheng reflected on the workforce section under "community engagement".
- Jawad suggested changing "invest in community-based organizations" to "enhance investments in community-based organizations" to acknowledge this work is building on existing initiatives. Jawad also suggested "strengthen" the workforce rather than "build" a workforce. Similarly, Jawad suggested using words like "foster" with objectives related to workforce diversity.
- Marie asked how the Data Modernization Initiative (DMI) assessment findings are working into the PHAB Strategic Data Plan recommendations.
- Dean provided a brief overview of the federal data modernization initiative (DMI) and the assessment and plan that will be required.
- Cara shared that the subcommittee sounds like it is wanting to get a clear charge for the next steps of the subcommittee.
- Veronica reflected that she is not hearing there is a lot that needs to be fixed with the strategic data plan and perhaps the next step is to finalize and pitch it to PHAB.
- Dean shared that the subcommittee's charge is to guide this work.
- Cara asked the subcommittee if they want to finalize the plan via email or in a future meeting.
- Marie and Veronica offered to work on the updated plan over email to bring to PHAB at the start of the agenda in either August or September. Veronica offered to present and start the

Public comment

Meeting adjourned at 1:10 pm.

PHAB Strategic Data Plan Recommendations

1. Purpose

This document is to provide strategic direction to the Public Health Division (PHD) and the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) to support changes in data systems, processes and methods to make data accessible, reflective and useful for community members.

This document identifies a set of practices for data collection, use and analysis and advocate for future public health data efforts within Oregon. This includes being aware of different methodologies and technologies with potential to be used for misinformation, mischaracterization and bias, including artificial intelligence.

2. Acknowledgements:

Partners with the survey modernization process were foundational in developing these recommendations and determining next steps for the Public Health Division. Their work products with the Public Health Division are linked below and we appreciate their wisdom and commitment to this work.

3. Background

The Public Health Division of the Oregon Health Authority has been reviewing the usefulness, accessibility and validity of its data to represent Oregon's rapidly changing population. This is consistent with Public Health Modernization, the model of public health alignment and investments to improve the public health system across Oregon's public health partners. These efforts were implemented in 2015 with legislative authority for public health modernization and the advent of the Public Health Advisory Board (PHAB) to advise and direct strategic change.

In 2019, Program Design and Evaluation Services (PDES), a unit with the OHA Public Health Director's Office, launched culturally specific project teams with community members, leaders, researchers, and organizations to modernize the ways we collect, analyze, and report population health data in Oregon. The overall goals of the project include addressing the roots of inequality in data collection, providing a community-centered critique of survey design and purpose, and developing actionable recommendations for OHA for engaging with communities from design, analysis, and reporting data. This project started with consolidating and reviewing racial data from two large statewide surveys: the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS) and the Student Health Survey (SHS).

Lead Organization	Communities	Project Goals	Link to Report
Coalition for Communities of Color (CCC)	African American African Immigrant and Refugee Latinx	• Review existing data • Conduct participatory analysis • Collect additional data to provide context	Engaging Communities Oregon in the Modernization

			of a Public Health Survey System
Northwest Portland Area Indian Health Board (NPAIHB)	American Indian Alaska Natives	• Review existing data • Conduct participatory analysis • Collect additional data to provide context	Oregon Tribal Survey Modernization Project
Oregon Pacific Islander Coalition (OPIC)	Pacific Islander	• Establish community centered methodology to collect original data	This is the Way We Rise: Pacific Islander Data Modernization in Oregon

This work to better identify and represent Oregonians has not been isolated to public health. Other initiatives across the Oregon Health Authority and the Oregon Department of Human Services have increased disaggregated data collection on race, ethnicity, language and disability; on sexual orientation and gender identity; and screenings on housing instability and food insecurity have spread across health and human services settings. Overall, investments in data quality and collection have been widespread and have had two areas of focus: improving disaggregated demographic data on community and developing categories that better define individuals as they define themselves.

This document is designed to be a living document, where the Public Health Advisory Board (PHAB) will provide updates on assumptions and priorities as this work proceeds. This subcommittee recommends that the PHAB review this set of recommended actions on **a [biannual?]** basis. This field of work is new to the Public Health Division and thus is starting in a space of questions. As staff and Board members learn more, this document will become more specific and responsive to the needs of community members and the PHAB.

4. Values for modern public health data

In reviewing work from across the Public Health Division and the expertise this subcommittee brings from across Oregon, the following values have been determined to be central:

- Data Justice
- Data Equity
- Community Engagement

The Strategic Data Plan Subcommittee has advised the PHAB that to achieve the primary goal of data justice, the Public Health Division must invest in community engagement and practices that promote data equity.

5. The context of this work and recommendations on the state level

- Here, we identify the problem: here are examples of the gaps, this si for whom this is failing, this is why it's failing, and here are some of the possibilities for change to improve this data.
- Framing: Where are we today and where do we need to move? -> Identify available change frameworks
- Framing: Dependencies on other public health systems partners -> Authority for change
- Staff and committee identify framework to operationalize these recommendations that align with the change frameworks and authority.
- Preparing for safe usage of new technologies in ways that manage bias, misinformation and unequal or unjust outcomes.
- Incorporate methods of data sharing across systems, including health care, non-health care services and public health data to provide dynamic portraits of strengths and usage by community.

6. Recommended Activities

These activities have been recommended by the committee's discussion and generally build on the collaborative work between the Public Health Division and community-based organizations. The timeframe for the work reflects the degree of resources required: long-term require a significant separate funding, staffing or time to develop alternative methodologies, such as with the CDC.

Data Justice

Definition: "Data justice recognizes that the types of data the government collects and relies on are insufficient for understanding community needs, experiences and, equally important, desires. These data do not represent communities in ways that communities would represent themselves – and government data often entirely erases some communities due to "the problem" of small sample size (e.g., Pacific Islanders) or using too broad, and ultimately meaningless, categories (e.g., Asian)." - Coalition of Communities of Color, in consultation with OHA's REALD & SOGI Team

Context

Data justice must be a central value as OHA works to advance data equity for all Oregonians. OHA and communities must work together to define a new data governance model that divests from data practices that have harmed historically marginalized communities, empowers community leadership in how data are used and stories are told, and ultimately better serve all Oregon communities. Data justice requires OHA to build trust with all Oregon communities so that deep, lasting community engagement can serve as the foundation for decision-making that is both reflective of all Oregonians and creates formal pathways for communities to elevate issues to OHA leadership.

A good example of this work is OHA's partnership with Pacific Islander communities to produce and share the PI Heal project, a Pacific Islander data modernization project that was community led, researched, and reported. Lessons learned from that project can be used to develop community engagement and governance models and generalize data justice centered practices.

Short Term Data Justice Goals	Activities
1. Define and commit to data rights and governance	• Describe governance for data quality, visualization, technology, and other methods that make data usable. • Develop community governance model for how data are collected, used, reported and how governance should be conducted
2. Elevate community-identified issues	• Work to leverage existing resources that address the health needs raised in this work.
Long Term Data Justice Goals	**Activities**
3. Support data sovereignty and governance	• Protect Tribal data and sovereignty with data access requirements, tracking posting and publishing of data analyses and reports, ensure transparency and oversight by Tribal and native communities. • Have the Oregon Health Authority's Public Health Division and Pacific Islander leaders enter a project evaluation period to assess the effectiveness of the

	community-led research model, including Data Sovereignty Agreement and design the next phase of this critical body of work.
	• Support ongoing community governance model for data collection, use, and reporting.

Data Equity

Definition: To achieve equitable data representation for diverse communities, we must work to dismantle historic and ongoing systems of oppression in data science. Communities must be engaged at all levels of planning, implementation, and evaluation of data systems and power must be shared through transparency and accountability measures whenever possible. Moreover, promoting data equity requires the recognition and rectification of historical biases and data gaps that disproportionately affect marginalized communities. Efforts should be made to collect and analyze data that accurately reflects the lived experiences, challenges, and aspirations of diverse populations. By actively addressing data gaps and improving data collection methods, we can ensure that decision-making processes are based on comprehensive and representative information, reducing the perpetuation of systemic biases.

Context

Data equity is a set of practices that are necessary to achieving data justice. These range from access to data representing specific communities and sharing information for other organizations to better understanding the data desires for specific communities. As the producer and collector of data, it falls to the Public Health Division to develop tools and resources that increase the ability for partner organizations to access and use the data. Without these steps, it is difficult for data and organizational partners to understand, analyze and use the data collected on Oregon residents.

Short Term Data Equity Goals	Activities
1. Provide accessible data that's community or culturally specific. Data should be sortable, as far as possible, by race, ethnicity, disability, language, sexual orientation, gender identity, geography, and proxies for faith and other statuses/ experiences.	• Large-scale public health data, such as behavioral risk factor surveillance system (BFRSS) data • Provide navigable behavioral and mental health data, including indicators and geographically specific work • Youth health • Disaggregated by • Race, ethnicity, language, disability and cultural communities • Sexual orientation and gender identity • Geography • Methods to have data proxies for information about faith-based communities • Transparency and communication on the data collected and published, including its limitations, how data is used, collected, analyzed, and its presentation and context. • Develop online and other tools that help data users navigate data systems and develop data requests.

2.	Develop working definitions of "actionable data" to direct community engagement	• Identify partners and convene discussions to understand data priorities/ needs, then share actionable data at appropriate levels (Tribal, cultural community, geographic, etc.)
Long Term Data Equity Goals		**Activities**
3.	Develop toolkits for data work at all levels of use	• Develop a toolkit of best practices for governmental public health to support access to data, analysis, and framing, including being critical of dominant ways of working with data, questioning existing assumptions, questioning objectivity, incorporating qualitative data, and assuming that data does not communicate for itself. • Develop a parallel toolkit for community-based organizations and community researchers. • In both, incorporate data limitations: representation, methods, who is excluded and demographic definitions. Incorporate how context and qualitative data can add nuance. • Demonstrate how is presently used with the public, including in state and community health assessments.
4.	Invest in different data collection strategies, including Census-style methods	• Engage and defer to community-based organizations and /or regional health equity coalitions in survey administration, including Tribal and Native American organizations
5.	Identify different paradigms of data collection and advocate for future data efforts.	• Investigate county health rankings and BIPOC data hubs as possible conceptual frameworks for data collection • Conduct a minimal BRFSS – explore lessons from the CA Health Interview Survey (CHIS) • Continue long-term sustained, compensated community-led data collection • Call upon OHA as a grant recipient to advocate for changes in the national framework for BRFSS and other national health survey administration to achieve greater flexibility from federal requirements. • Develop possibilities for changes in data practices from federal funders to alter the BRFSS questions and/or methods to respond to community needs.
6.	Improve survey translations	• Establish a survey translation advisory committee
7.	Improve communication on survey activities	• Demonstrate transparency in how BRFSS and OHT data is used by OHA and by others

8.	Add community-responsive questions to existing surveys	• Incorporate non-western questions about health and health care in surveys • Include questions on protective factors, particularly involvement in tribal and community activities.
9.	Develop data collection oversight	• Continue data project teams and ensure team members are made up of folks who share experiences of those being "researched." Let those teams shape the next steps of survey modernization work • Build in time and resources necessary for relationship development between governmental public health and community partners in data • Integrate community leadership in survey development, administration, analysis and use • Re-engage the health equity researchers of Oregon (HERO) group

Community Engagement

Definition:
Community engagement in data science is a pluralistic approach that seeks out and values diverse perspectives in all phases of data processes. To prevent the suppression of community voices in data, we must find more ways to uplift community perspectives in our methods without constraining them for the sake of long-standing and outdated misconceptions of clarity and control. Embracing pluralism in data science means we strive to adopt and diffuse diverse methods for the collection, analysis, and dissemination of public health data.

Context
Based on lessons learned through our survey modernization projects and feedback from community members, leaders, researchers, and organizations, we must invest in community engagement. We need to be willing to share power and invite our community partners to participate in processes that guide our work. We must fund community partners directly and sufficiently for their time and expertise including adult and youth partners. We should provide transparent and flexible budgets and timelines to allow sufficient time and resources for relationship building and avoid overburdening community partners.

Short Term Community Engagement Goals	Activities
9. Develop a framework to incorporate community-developed data	• Crosswalk community-identified community health factors to state public health plans.
10. Provide ongoing engagement	• Invite community feedback regarding the data modernization assessment and inventory.
Long Term Community Engagement Goals	**Activities**
11. Support workforce and data engagement	• Enhance investments in community-based organizations and governmental public health to increase capacity for data engagement, analysis and collection. • Strengthen the workforce within existing organizations • Build a workforce that represents the communities who are most impacted by this work by celebrating and uplifting the knowledge in community.

7. Conclusion and commitments [to be updated biannually]

This committee recommends the following practices to check on progress and operationalize these values. These practices should be taken as an accountability practice for us and for the partners that we work with at least biannually and include the following:

- Receive information on OHA's engagement with community participatory research, qualitative research, or community engagement toward these ends.
- Consider existing and discuss other opportunities for collaboration with statewide and regional data collection and analysis systems, including health care.
- Identify how these and other similar recommendations have been incorporated into other PHAB and Public Health Division activities.
- Request ongoing updates these and aligned projects from the Public Health Division.