



Healthier Together Oregon

PartnerSHIP Meeting Minutes

Monday, June 7, 2021, 1:00 – 3:00pm

Meeting Objectives:

- Follow up from May meeting
- Begin onboarding to implementation areas and strategies
- Process and criteria for prioritizing strategies

OHA staff, facilitation and interpreters:

Nhu To-Haynes, Christy Hudson, Heather Owens, Liz Gharst, Cara Biddlecom, Lisa Rau, Mark Conta

PartnerSHIP members:

Timur Holove, Ian Winbrock, Kimberly Lane, Stan Baker, Connie Dillinger, Esther Kim, Alisha Overstreet, Rachel Schutz, Jess Gasper, Toc Soneoulay-Gillespie, Jenny Pool Radway, Dalia Baadarani, Kalli Morales, Amy Thuren, Susan Blane,

Members of the public:

Sierra Prior, Rick Allgeyer, Vanessa Chambers, Gayle Woods, Jackie Leung, Joanne Rogovoy

Welcome

Nhu joined PartnerSHIP meeting for the first time as facilitator. Nhu introduced herself, provided a bit of background about her experience and facilitation style, and invited all PartnerSHIP members to connect with her offline.

Follow up from May meeting

Charter development – PartnerSHIP members have been editing and discussing charter in Basecamp. Items under discussion include potential inclusion of state agencies, use of quorum, consensus-based decision making, and inclusion of public in meetings. PartnerSHIP members discussed potential role of state agencies in the meeting and affirmed desire to invite them as it made sense for topics of discussion.

Cara shared information about potential resources that can be leveraged for HTO. CDC Preventive Services Block Grant is the only non-categorical funding stream that the Public Health Division receives. Part of this allocation (approx. \$100,000) goes specifically to HTO work. OHA is currently building out budget for next fiscal year. Additional funding (\$14 million)

is being allocated to community based organizations who are providing COVID response and relief – some of which is flexible and can be spent on social determinants of health.

Ian then shared his proposal for a funding and finance subcommittee in follow-up to conversation from the May meeting. Subcommittee would be charged with determining how to fund current allocations from block grant, and champion funding alignment with other partners. Draft charter has been shared in Basecamp. Jenny and Rachel voiced support for a finance related subcommittee. Christy offered to pull together an initial group to share more description and context for the subcommittee.

Break

A brief break was taken.

Information assets and needs

Member were put into breakout rooms to identify information needs and assets related to the strategies and plan as a whole. Themes/questions from report out:

- How do we ensure rural communities have capacity to seek out additional resource? How will rural communities be prioritized?
- Interest around behavioral health strategy related to creation of multi-sector groups to improve behavioral health outcomes for BIPOC-AI/AN communities, and why specific inclusion of criminal justice and education partners, and recruitment of these sectors to community advisory councils.
- Question about how strategies will be prioritized – one for each implementation area or some other criteria?
- Question about difference between long term and short term indicators? Have baselines been established?
- Where did strategies come from? How were they developed?
- What are expectations for DCBS – Division of Consumer and Business Services?

Christy will share information via Basecamp/email to address these questions. Will also host office hours.

Rachel shared questions about Basecamp and need for parameters and expectations. Amy suggested sending a weekly update to PartnerSHIP members.

Process and criteria for prioritizing strategies

PartnerSHIP members reviewed a proposed process for strategy prioritization – using a modified delphi analysis tool. Members and community at large would respond to a series of online surveys – and through discussion about responses and repeat of surveys – would come to consensus about what strategies to prioritize.

- Connie asked how a distribution list for dissemination to broader community dissemination would be identified. Christy shared that OHA could share survey through

existing CBO partners, COVID response partners. PartnerSHIP members would be encouraged to share with their networks as well.

- Survey would be translated into additional languages – either all or subset of safe and strong languages – as recommended by PartnerSHIP members.
- Concerns about difficulty of expecting community to respond to online surveys due to digital divide, language challenges, time, readability, internet/computer access, etc.
- Question about what happens once strategies are prioritized over others.
- Proposal to send a revised/shortened survey to community to address concerns/limitations of this method.

Group needs more time to process and think about community engagement process in prioritizing. Next step is for PartnerSHIP to take initial survey to see how it goes and what initial priorities rise to the top and consider other ways to meaningfully engage community in prioritization process.

Wrap-up and Next Steps

- Next meeting is July 12th, due to July 4th holiday.
- Basecamp seems to be working for engagement in between meetings – keep looking for documents/resources there.
- Members may be eligible for compensation – invoice has been posted in Basecamp. Let Christy know if there are questions about that.