

SHIP SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

Economic Drivers

December 20, 2019 | 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm. |

Members Present: Trilby de Jung (OHA Lead), Bill Baney, Tammy Baney, Chelsea Bunch, Emileigh Canales, Sam Engel, Brian Frank, Carly Hood, Stephanie Jarem, Cord Van Riper, Victoria Warren Mears

Members Absent: Caitlin Baggot, Carolina Iraheta- Gonzalez, Brenda Bateman, Sarah Beaubien, Tameka Brazile, Courtney Crowell, Jacob Fox, Julie Maher, Conner McDonnell, Mayra Rosales, Lucia Ramirez, Kim Sogge, Michelle Thurston,

OHA Staff: Trilby de Jung, Cara Biddlecom, Stephanie Jarem, Elizabeth Gharst, Heather Owens,

AGENDA ITEM #1 – Welcome, agenda overview, and subcommittee business

Emileigh opened the meeting and invited everyone to introduce themselves. Subcommittee members introduced themselves. Tammy Baney started off the meeting letting folks know that she was facilitating in lieu of Trilby de Jung who would be joining the meeting halfway through.

Decision: The group voted to move the Cost of Caregiving sub-priority group into Economic Viability.

Logic Model: Liz introduced the navigation map with goals, indicators and strategies. In lieu of the subcommittee digests, this is the working document that will evolve over the next few months. It shows strategies of what other subcommittees are looking at and considering. Please note that this content has not been vetted yet and is a real-time snapshot of the work going on before, during, and in-between meetings.

The intention of this document is so members can see what other subcommittees are prioritizing since there are some areas of overlap in between priority areas. Between now and the end of February when the final 10-15 strategies are agreed upon, this document will be updated after every round of meetings and will be a living document. It is attached to the Outlook appointment and posted in the Meeting Materials folder in Basecamp.

AGENDA ITEM #2 – Finalize Key Indicators

Each of the now three workgroups Physical Environment, Food Insecurity, and Economic Viability (including Cost of Caregiving) took a turn presenting their finalized indicators and participating in feedback discussion with the group.

Physical Environment

- Indicator H&T index if annual, if not housing cost burden.

- Cara proposed education indicator measures for the group to weigh in on.
 - The group agreed to add 3rd grade reading proficiency as an education indicator.

Economic Viability

- HUD Cost Burden increasing percentage of residents that are spending less than 30% of housing.
 - Data from the Oregon Housing Community Service reporting
- Increase in percentage of residents earning at least the Self-Sufficiency standard.
 - Data from the Self Sufficiency Standard for Oregon
- Opportunity Index increase Oregon’s economy score by 2.5 point over 5 years.
 - Data from Opportunity Index Economy Dimension

Steph proposed indicators to add to Economic Viability sub-priority indicators from the now merged Cost of Caregiving group.

- Median childcare cost as a percentage of income.
 - Consumer expenditure survey
- Average wage of care workers.
 - Occupation profiles from Oregon employment dept. Child, home health and personal care aides.

Food Insecurity

- Emileigh expressed that while this group has not landed on one indicator yet conversations have included:
 - USDA survey
 - Screen & intervene survey, FRAC (Feeding America, and Children’s HealthWatch (Hunger Vital Sign?))^[OHR1]
 - Health system food insecurity data, follow-up with _____^[OHR2]

It was identified that sub-priority workgroup meetings are scheduled in the first couple weeks of January and there are 5 weeks between this and the next meeting for these to take place for indicator finalization.

Trilby arrived during this part of the agenda.

AGENDA ITEM #3 – Strategy development brainstorm

Getting clear on language, the subcommittee discussed the process of developing strategies in the next two meetings. Criteria for strategies include the following: proven impact on disparities, will achieve intended outcome, politically feasible, resourced or likely to be resourced, relevant to community, alignment with other strategic initiatives (locally or federally, change likely in next 5 years, and addresses lifespan (especially considering the needs of youth and older adults). The goal is to develop policy, individual, and community level strategies, 10 – 15 in total, that will each have an accompanying process measure.

The question of funding came up to which Trilby responded there is none specifically set aside for the SHIP. Liz discussed working with our community organizations to talk about creative and braided funding. Cara said that partners are and should be coming together to share existing strategies that are relevant to the SHIP priority areas.

The group will pick-up on generating strategies together in our next meeting.

AGENDA ITEM #4 WRAP UP & NEXT STEPS

Next month the group will continue the discussion on strategies and move into a more detailed discussion on process measures.

Next steps:

1. Emileigh will follow-up with food insecurity group to get indicators finalized.
2. Post indicators to vote once finalized.
3. Members will look at Strategic Plans from represented and/or known organizations to find strategies that align with identified goals and bring back to group.

ADJOURN

Next meeting is January 24, 2020.