## Appendix B: Technical notes - formulas

## GENERAL:

$$
\text { PERCENT CHANGE }=\frac{\text { New Data }- \text { Old Data }}{\text { Old Data }} X 100
$$

Birth rate, Oregon, $1993=13.7$
Birth rate, Oregon, $1994=13.6$

Percent change $=\frac{13.6-13.7}{13.7} \times 100=-0.7 \%$

## PREGNANCY:

1. (CRUDE) BIRTH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Births }}{\text { Population }} X 1,000$

Oregon, $1994=\frac{41,832}{3,082,800} X 1,000=13.6$
2. AGE-SPECIFIC BIRTH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Births To Mothers in Age Category }}{\text { Female Population in Age Category }} X 1,000$

Oregon, 1994, Age $20-24=\frac{10,999}{104,718}$ X 1,000 $=105.0$
3. FERTILITY RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Births to Mothers Aged 15-44 }}{\text { Female Population Aged 15-44 }} \times 1,000$

NOTE: Some publications use the following: $\frac{\text { All Resident Births }}{\text { Female Population Aged 15-44 }}$

$$
\text { Oregon, } 1994=\frac{41,659}{682,428} X 1,000=61.0
$$

4. TOTAL FERTILITY RATE $=\binom{$ The Sum of Age Specific Birth Rates in }{ 5- Year Categories between 15 and 44}$\quad X 5$ Oregon, $1994=5(51.3+105.0+115.4+78.5+30.2+6.0)=1,932.0$
5. FETAL DEATH RATIO $=\frac{\text { Resident Fetal Deaths }(350+\text { grams Birthweight })}{\text { Resident Live Births }} X 1,000$

Oregon, $1994=\frac{224}{41,832} X 1,000=5.4$
6. FETAL DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Fetal Deaths }(350+\text { grams Birthweight })}{\text { Resident Live Births }+ \text { Resident Fetal Deaths }} X 1,000$

Oregon, $1994=\frac{224}{43,591+224} X 1,000=5.1$
7. PERINATAL DEATH RATE $=\frac{\begin{array}{c}\text { Resident Neonatal Deaths + Resident } \\ \text { Fetal Deaths }(350+\text { grams Birthweight })\end{array}}{\text { Resident Live Births + Resident Fetal Deaths }}$ X 1,000

Oregon, $1994=\frac{148+203}{41,566+203} X 1,000=8.4$
Note: Publications vary in the definition of fetal deaths. In addition, some measures employ gestational age in place of birthweight. Fetal and perinatal death rates are based on year of birth.
8. ABORTION RATIO $=\frac{\text { Resident Abortions }}{\text { Resident Births }} X 1,000$ or $\frac{\text { Occurrence Abortions }}{\text { Occurrence Births }} X 1,000$

Oregon, 1994, Occurrence $=\frac{13,392}{43,591}$ X 1,000 $=307.2$
9. ABORTION RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Abortions or Occurrence Abortions }}{\text { Female Resident Population Aged 15-44 }} X 1,000$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Oregon 1994, Occurrence } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { with total adjusted } \\
\text { for unknown ages }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}=\frac{13,300}{682,428} \text { X 1,000 }=19.5
$$

## DEATHS:

10. (CRUDE) DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Deaths }}{\text { Population }} X$ 1,000

$$
\text { Oregon, } 1994=\frac{27,361}{3,082,000} X 1,000=8.9
$$

11. INFANT DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Infant Deaths }}{\text { Resident Births }} X 1,000$

$$
\text { Oregon, } 1994=\frac{295}{41,832} \times 1,000=7.1
$$

12. NEONATAL DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Neonatal Deaths }}{\text { Resident Births }} X 1,000$

Oregon, $1994=\frac{164}{41,832}$ X 1,000 $=3.9$
13. POSTNEONATAL DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Postneonatal Deaths }}{\text { Resident Births }} X 1,000$

$$
\text { Oregon, } 1994=\frac{131}{41,832} X 1,000=3.1
$$

14. CAUSE-SPECIFIC DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Deaths Due to Specific Cause }}{\text { Population }} X$ 100,000

Oregon, 1994, Heart Disease $=\frac{7,417}{3,082,000} X 100,000=240.7$
15. AGE AND SEX-SPECIFIC DEATH RATE $=\frac{\text { Resident Deaths in Age-Sex Category }}{\text { Population in Age-Sex Population }}$ X 1,000 Oregon, 1994, Males Aged 5-14 $=\frac{63}{225,880} X 100,000=27.9$

## MARRIAGE AND DIVORCE:

16. MARRIAGE RATE $=\frac{\text { Marriages }}{\text { Population }} X 1,000$

$$
\text { Oregon, } 1994=\frac{25,194}{3,082,000} \times 1,000=8.2
$$

17. DIVORCE RATE $=\frac{\text { Divorces }}{\text { Population }} X 1,000$

$$
\text { Oregon, } 1994=\frac{15,844}{3,082,000} X 1,000=5.1
$$

Beginning with 1998 data, the following methodology is being used for calculating confidence intervals and statistical significance. This explanation is paraphrased from "Public Health Data: Our SilentPartner", a training manual from the P ublic Health Practice Program Office of the National Center for Health Statistics. ${ }^{1}$

## CALCULATING CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR RATES:

## Confidence limits for rates based on less than 100 events

When the number of events in the numerator is less than 100, the confidence interval for a rate can be estimated using the two formulas which follow and the values in Table B-1.
Lower Limit $=R \times L$
Upper Limit $=\mathrm{RxU}$
where:
$\mathrm{R}=$ the rate
$\mathrm{L}=$ the value in Table $\mathrm{B}-1$ that corresponds to the number N in the numerator of the rate
$\mathrm{U}=$ the value in Table $\mathrm{B}-1$ that corresponds to the number N in the numerator of the rate

## Example: Confidence limits for rates based on less than $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ events

In BakerCounty, the teen pregnancy rate for 10- to 17-year-old teens in 1998 was 13.0 per thousand, based on 12 live births in the numerator. Using Table B-1:

Lower Limit $=13.0 \times 0.51671=6.7$
Upper Limit $=13.0 \times 1.7468=22.7$
This means that the chances are 95 out of 100 that the pregnancy rate in Baker County for teens $10-17$ lies between 6.7 and 22.7 per 1,000. So if there were 100 counties like Baker County, the teen pregnancy rate would be expected to lie between 6.7 and 22.7 per 1,000 in 95 of these counties.

TABLE B-1.
Values of $L$ and $U$ for calculating 95\% confidence limits for the numbers of events and rates when the number of events is less than 100.

| N | L | U | N | L | U | N | L | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.02532 | 5.57164 | 34 | 0.69253 | 1.3974 | 67 | 0.77499 | 1.26996 |
| 2 | 0.1211 | 3.61234 | 35 | 0.69654 | 1.39076 | 68 | 0.77654 | 1.26774 |
| 3 | 0.20622 | 2.92242 | 36 | 0.70039 | 1.38442 | 69 | 0.77806 | 1.26556 |
| 4 | 0.27247 | 2.5604 | 37 | 0.70409 | 1.37837 | 70 | 0.77955 | 1.26344 |
| 5 | 0.3247 | 2.33367 | 38 | 0.70766 | 1.37258 | 71 | 0.78101 | 1.26136 |
| 6 | 0.36698 | 2.17658 | 39 | 0.7111 | 1.36703 | 72 | 0.78244 | 1.25933 |
| 7 | 0.40205 | 2.06038 | 40 | 0.71441 | 1.36172 | 73 | 0.78384 | 1.25735 |
| 8 | 0.43173 | 1.9704 | 41 | 0.71762 | 1.35661 | 74 | 0.78522 | 1.25541 |
| 9 | 0.45726 | 1.89831 | 42 | 0.72071 | 1.35171 | 75 | 0.78656 | 1.25351 |
| 10 | 0.47954 | 1.83904 | 43 | 0.7237 | 1.34699 | 76 | 0.78789 | 1.25165 |
| 11 | 0.4992 | 1.78928 | 44 | 0.7266 | 1.34245 | 77 | 0.78918 | 1.24983 |
| 12 | 0.51671 | 1.7468 | 45 | 0.72941 | 1.33808 | 78 | 0.79046 | 1.24805 |
| 13 | 0.53246 | 1.71003 | 46 | 0.73213 | 1.33386 | 79 | 0.79171 | 1.2463 |
| 14 | 0.54671 | 1.67783 | 47 | 0.73476 | 1.32979 | 80 | 0.79294 | 1.24459 |
| 15 | 0.55969 | 1.64935 | 48 | 0.73732 | 1.32585 | 81 | 0.79414 | 1.24291 |
| 16 | 0.57159 | 1.62394 | 49 | 0.73981 | 1.32205 | 82 | 0.79533 | 1.24126 |
| 17 | 0.58254 | 1.6011 | 50 | 0.74222 | 1.31838 | 83 | 0.79649 | 1.23965 |
| 18 | 0.59266 | 1.58043 | 51 | 0.74457 | 1.31482 | 84 | 0.79764 | 1.23807 |
| 19 | 0.60207 | 1.56162 | 52 | 0.74685 | 1.31137 | 85 | 0.79876 | 1.23652 |
| 20 | 0.61083 | 1.54442 | 53 | 0.74907 | 1.30802 | 86 | 0.79987 | 1.23499 |
| 21 | 0.61902 | 1.52861 | 54 | 0.75123 | 1.30478 | 87 | 0.80096 | 1.2335 |
| 22 | 0.62669 | 1.51401 | 55 | 0.75334 | 1.30164 | 88 | 0.80203 | 1.23203 |
| 23 | 0.63391 | 1.50049 | 56 | 0.75539 | 1.29858 | 89 | 0.80308 | 1.23059 |
| 24 | 0.64072 | 1.48792 | 57 | 0.75739 | 1.29562 | 90 | 0.80412 | 1.22917 |
| 25 | 0.64715 | 1.4762 | 58 | 0.75934 | 1.29273 | 91 | 0.80514 | 1.22778 |
| 26 | 0.65323 | 1.46523 | 59 | 0.76125 | 1.28993 | 92 | 0.80614 | 1.22641 |
| 27 | 0.65901 | 1.45495 | 60 | 0.76311 | 1.2872 | 93 | 0.80713 | 1.22507 |
| 28 | 0.66449 | 1.44528 | 61 | 0.76492 | 1.28454 | 94 | 0.8081 | 1.22375 |
| 29 | 0.66972 | 1.43617 | 62 | 0.76669 | 1.28195 | 95 | 0.80906 | 1.22245 |
| 30 | 0.6747 | 1.42756 | 63 | 0.76843 | 1.27943 | 96 | 0.81 | 1.22117 |
| 31 | 0.67945 | 1.41942 | 64 | 0.77012 | 1.27698 | 97 | 0.81093 | 1.21992 |
| 32 | 0.684 | 1.4117 | 65 | 0.77178 | 1.27458 | 98 | 0.81185 | 1.21868 |
| 33 | 0.68835 | 1.40437 | 66 | 0.7734 | 1.27225 | 99 | 0.81275 | 1.21746 |

## Confidence limits for rates based on $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ or more events

In this case, use the following formula for the rate (R) based on the number of events ( N ):

$$
\text { Upper Limit }=R+[196 \times R / \sqrt{N}]
$$

where:
$\mathrm{R}=$ the rate (birth rate, mortality rate, teen pregnancy rate, etc.)
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number of events (births, deaths, teen pregnancy, etc.)

## Example: Confidence limits for rates based on $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ or more events

InJ ackson County, the teen pregnancy rate for teens $10-17$ was 13.7 in 1998 based on 143 pregnancies. Therefore, the confidence interval would be:

```
Lower Limit \(=13.7-[196 \times(13.7 / \sqrt{143})]\)
    \(=13.7-[1.96 \times(13.7 / 11.96)]\)
    \(=13.7-[1.96 \times 1.15]\)
    \(=13.7-2.25\)
    \(=11.5\)
Upper Limit \(=13.7+[1.96 \times(13.7 / \sqrt{143})]\)
    \(=13.7+[1.96 \times(13.7 / 11.96)]\)
    \(=13.7+[1.96 \times 1.15]\)
    \(=13.7+2.25\)
    \(=16.0\)
```

So if there were 100 counties like J ackson County with similar populations, the teen pregnancy rate would be expected to lie between 11.5 and 16.0 per 1,000 in 95 of these counties.

## DETERMINING STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE FOR RATES:

If the difference between two rates would occur due to random variability less than 5 times out of 100 , then we say that the difference is statistically significant at the $95 \%$ level. Otherwise the difference is notstatistically significant.

## Computing statistical significance when at least one of the rates is based on fewer than 100 events

To compare two rates, when one or both rates are based on fewer than 100 events, compute the confidence intervals for both rates. If the intervals overlap, the difference is not statistically significant.

## Example: comparing rates when one is based on fewer than 100 events

Baker County teen pregnancy rate for age 10-17
Lower Limit $=6.7$
Upper Limit $=22.7$
J ackson County teen pregnancy rate for age 10-17
Lower Limit $=11.5$
Upper Limit $=16.0$
The confidence intervals overlap - the interval forJ ackson County is entirely within the range of the interval for Baker County. Therefore, the difference between the teen pregnancy rate for age 1017 in Baker County and the rate forJ ackson County is not statistically significant.

## Computing statistical significance when both rates are based on $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ or more events

When both rates are based on 100 or more events, calculate the difference between the two rates by subtracting the lower rate from the higher rate. The difference is considered statistically significant if it exceeds 1.96 times the standard error for the difference between the two rates.

$$
1.96 \sqrt{\frac{R_{1}^{2}}{N_{1}}+\frac{R_{2}^{2}}{N_{2}}}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ the first rate
$\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ the second rate
$\mathrm{N}_{1}=$ the first number
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=$ the second number
If the difference is greater than the statistic, the difference would occur by chance less than 5 times out of 100 . The difference is statistically significantat the 95 percentconfidence level.

If the difference is less than the statistic, the difference might occur by chance more than 5 times out of 100 . The difference is notstatistically significant at the 95 percent confidence level.

## Example: comparing rates when both are based on 100 or more events

The teen pregnancy rate for Oregon teens age 10-17 in 1997 was 18.0 and the comparable rate for 1998 was 17.2. Both rates are based on more than 100 pregnancies ( 3,197 in 1997 and 3,176 in 1998). The difference between the rates is $18.0-17.2=0.8$. The statistic is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 196 \sqrt{\frac{18.0^{2}}{3,197}+\frac{17.2^{2}}{3,176}} \\
& 196 \sqrt{\left(\frac{324}{3,197}+\frac{295.84}{3,176}\right)} \\
& 196 \sqrt{(0.101+0.093)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
196 \sqrt{0.194}
$$

$=1.96 \times .44$
$=0.86$
The difference between the rates ( 0.8 ) is less than this statistic (0.9). Therefore, the difference is notstatistically significant. A difference of 0.8 between these two rates mightoccur by chance more than 5 times out of 100 .

## CALCULATING RATES ADJ USTED FOR SEX/AGE/RACE:

When comparing rates and ratios, the influences of sex, age, and race differences in the populations mustbe taken into account. Comparing many different age-sex-race specific rates can be cumbersome. The following techniques are used by vital statisticians to summarize these rates into one number.

The direct adjusted rate applies each of the specific rates for a particular population (such as a county or a Health Service Area) to a standard population distribution (such as the state).

The standard mortality ratio compares the number of deaths for a particular population (such as a county or a Health Service Area) to the number of deaths which would be expected if some standard set of rates (such as the state or the U.S. rates) had occurred. ${ }^{2}$

Both of these techniques have their advantages and disadvantages. The easiest to calculate is the directadjusted rate. The following example shows how to adjusta county's death rate forsex so that it may be compared to the state rate.


TOTAL STATE POPULATION
The same logic can be used to adjust for age and/or race.
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