

**OGIC Sixth GIS Data Standards Forum
June 30, 2005
The Dalles Civic Auditorium - The Dalles**

Presentations: Cy Smith, GEO; Ken Bays ODOT; Gail Ewart, GEO; Brett Juul, DOR

Workshops: Gail Ewart, GEO; Diana Walker, ODA; Jimmy Kagan, OSU

Scribe: John Kuschell, GEO

Handouts: See links embedded in notes.

Event	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
Introductions	Cy presented Sixth GIS Standards Forum agenda and format.		No	
Next Forum	TBD		Yes	Cy Smith
Elevation	Ewart presented for Nile the Elevation Data Standards Version 1.0	Standards Forum approved Elevation Data Standards Version 1.0	No	
Geodetic Control	Bays presented Geodetic Control Data Content Standard. Discussed NAVD88 Geodetic Datum standard and usage of NGVD29 with GPS. Clingman suggested datum be included in contours and attributes.	Standards Forum approved Geodetic Control Data Standards.	Yes	Cy Smith
Reference Station Network	Singh reported on the ODOT GPS Reference Station Network.		No	
Cadastral Issues List	Juul reported on Cadastral Data Standards Issues List. Further clarification and language is required before standard is ripe for approval. Data definitions need for fields. Cy commented fields need to be usable for business processes. Treatment of rare anomalies needs further discussion to be sure this is the best solution. Cy suggested an object model diagram for tax lot tables. Section	DOR to review Cadastral Issues list and prepare revision. Publish revised Cadastral Issues list on July 12 [adjusted to July 29]. Cadastral Issues Summit Meeting to be held July 14 [adjusted to August 11].	Yes	Brett Juul Cy Smith Gail Ewart

Event	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
	<p>2.5.12 records management archive process needs more definition. Clingman disputed assertion in section 3.5 that generating tax districts was easily done with tax code areas. Section 3.8, ownership table, needs further clarification. Discussed whether tax codes are elements of Admin Boundaries or Cadastral. It was suggested that all the tax district databases should have a DateMade field. Cy established July 11 as cutoff for further Cadastral issues [adjusted to July 29].</p>			
GIS Utility Business Case	<p>GIS Business Case was presented to OGIC. The GIS Utility ROI is 3 years, with \$190 million total investment. The GIS Utility will accrue \$1.2 billion in benefits over ten years. Cy working with Governor's Office to give OGIC authority to implement GIS Utility. Cy suggested state, county and local governments align investments with GIS Utility.</p>		No	
Framework Themes	<p>Ewart reported on Framework Data database. Discussed proposed changes for grouping Framework elements and theme names. Themes are posted on GEO website. http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/fit/docs/frameworks060905.pdf</p>	<p>The Framework database report is posted on the GEO website for review. http://egov.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/fit/docs/frameworkrpt060905.pdf Periodically, report will be updated, and eventually database will be searchable online. Request for better and more information.</p>	No	
Framework	Ewart reported on status		No	

Event	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
Element Priorities	of Framework Element priorities. Framework prioritization methods posted on GEO website. http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/IRMD/GEO/fit/docs/frameworkpriorities060905.pdf			
Workshop Prep Where do you FIT?	Kagan presented proposal on Land Use Land Cover themes and elements. He suggested an approach for sorting elements between Land Use Land Cover and Administrative Boundaries using relative permanence as a criterion. Lively discussion ensued.			All
Sixth GIS Standards Forum Workshops				
Administrative Boundaries	Walker and Ewart reported on Administrative Boundaries – proposed subgroups, theme priorities and sorting by data management. Participants want contact info for each element. Standalone data sets should be posted on Spatial Data Clearinghouse. Contact info, scale, project and source should be included in dataset. Long- and short-term custodians were discussed for datasets. Data Management classes 2&3 are more complicated to manage and develop a standard. Hall presented Metro Pilot. Discussed how Metro resolved issues with data maintenance, road ROW and mapping boundaries where only a portion falls within a county boundary. Clingman, LCOG, presented tax district	Summit for data element grouping by Land Use Land Cover or Administrative Boundaries.	Yes	Diana Walker Gail Ewart

Event	Discussion Summary	Result	Action Req'd Yes/No	Resp. Party
	mapping pilot. Emphasized impact of business requirements on determining layer boundaries.			
Land Use Land Cover	Cy can you provide synopsis here ?		?	?