

Oregon Health Authority
Addiction and Mental Health Division
Statewide Children's Wraparound Initiative Advisory Committee
January 28, 2011

In Attendance: Tonya Pritt, Jammie Farish, Kari Molar, Kris Anderson, Melissa Butterfield, Vicki Creel, Vanessa Frias, Anne Stone, Ron Sipress, Torri Lynn, Barbara Carranza, Margarita Solis, Bob Furlow, Lee Coleman, Caroline Coats, Cherisse Loop, Claire Weiss, Kirk Wolfe, Benjamin Hazelton, Lynn Matthews, Monica Ford, Bob Lieberman, Mary Moller, Martin Rafferty, Shelley Joyce

AMH: Judy Rinkin, Kathleen Burns

Absent: Paula Bauer, Bill Bouska, Leslie Duling, Janet Holland, Ajit Jetmalani, Nancy Koroloff, Lisa Leno, Ally Linfoot, Francis Maher, Mark McKechnie, Leola McKenzie, Tammy Pierce, Sydney Putman, Lynne Saxton, Stacey Sibley, Scott Snedecor, Regie Valenti

Guests: Ebony Clark, Cate Drinan, Kathleen Horgan, Meredith Wexler, On Phone: Karen Cady, Rob Abrams, Karen Irving

Topic	Key Discussion	Action/task	Responsible	Date
Review minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corrections made to the minutes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lynn Matthews motioned to accept the minutes with revisions and Kirk Wolfe seconded the motion. Motion passes. 		
Lessons Learned from Multnomah County	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Representative from Multnomah County shared concerns from the Developmental Disabilities community as well as BRS providers over potential conflicts between service plans for children and family members and concerns over 		Rob Abrams, Karen Irving	

	<p>Title 19 requirements which are reflected in the ISSR.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other concerns included: family members wanted single plan of care (POC), however some of the system partners were concerned that a single plan of care would not meeting mandates of their specific system. The Wraparound discovery process aimed to ensure all team members were heard and the wraparound team recognized the need to meet various concerns and mandates. <p>Questions and comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Had legislative requirements been outlined? <i>Funding and achieving mandates has not posed significant problems. There were a few problems with education regarding IEPs.</i> • Does Single POC refer to coordinated POC? <i>A single plan is developed based on input from different team members.</i> • Is there a way to streamline coordination of plans? <i>Single POC development was designed to create a family plan and not spend time on agency plans.</i> • Research shows that family meeting preparation allows for the most accurate prediction of outcomes. • There was concern over too much time involving the wraparound process – <i>benefits for case workers include a better understanding of what parent is doing, and family members feel valued.</i> • Dealing with scheduling obstacles - 			
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

	<p><i>Wraparound team facilitator scheduled meetings, and follow-up meetings are scheduled before each meeting is adjourned; some attendance occurred via phone.</i></p>			
<p>DHS Site Leads</p>	<p>Washington</p> <p>Success Stories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 18 year old male who has been in the system since the age of 7 or 8, had been in both acute and residential care, and has experienced difficulty with family of origin, he has successfully transitioned into adulthood including joining a gym and gaining employment. • 16 year old female is successfully running her own child and family team meetings. • Two children have returned to live with their families. <p>Accomplishments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Care coordinators went to Child Welfare supervisors and have worked on planning to offer Wraparound 101. Child Welfare Supervisors are optimistic about learning more. • Care coordinators are developing strategies to work with caseworkers who are unable to attend all meetings. • Developed outreach letter to Primary Care Providers about who Care Coordinators are and what Care Coordination has to offer to primary care provider. <p>Challenges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child Welfare staff availability • Facilitation of sibling visitation • Development of family friendly paperwork 		<p>Kathleen Burns</p>	

	<p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Family members on the Washington Wraparound Advisory Council feel strongly about the inequalities that children of private insurance have. They are interested in attending SCWIAC to discuss strategies so these families can be better served. • Strategies for Child Welfare case workers who have difficulty finding time to attend meetings include: meeting in advance to discuss concerns, and trying to schedule meetings to accommodate caseworkers. <p>Rogue Valley Accomplishments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence that placement disruptions are being avoided; children are transitioning back to the community and are being supported • Developing documentation practices to improve follow up on action items and communication between various committees. • Development of social marketing activities. <p>Challenges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Getting leadership council together to address issues other committees are unable to resolve; challenge involved getting meetings scheduled has been resolved. • Family involvement and supports needs further development. • Getting the Statewide Children’s Wraparound Initiative progress review data entry worked out 		<p>Karen Cady</p>	
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-------------------	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interest in cultural competency data • Question about continuation/ next steps after demonstration project. Discussion has begun, doubtful that there will be new funding, however current sites are planning to maintain with potential expansion. 		Hazelton	
Legislative	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Struggle at state system wide level at addressing funding issues. Legislative Bill 2144 has clause which states that there is authority to blend funding; however blending of funding is not required. • Report due to the Legislature and Governor soon. Bill and Benjamin are working on a draft document. SCWIAC will be given opportunity to provide feedback prior to submission. SCWIAC members commented they thought the initiative was to allow for blended funding; report provides opportunity to address concern of blending funding. • Members suggested that coordination needs to occur to allow co-chairs to provide feedback to Legislature when report is delivered. • Ex Committee will discuss if the advisory committee will weigh in as a full committee or just co-chairs. • Use of executive committee could allow for youth voice. 			
Roles and Responsibilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child welfare roles and responsibilities have been identified by creating a grid that includes all partners and follows wraparound to identify roles/responsibilities. Child welfare draft is complete and will be distributed for feedback. 			

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population definition – discussing potential change of cohort eligibility. Benjamin will review eligibility to determine why kids were screened out after determined eligible • Suggested future agenda item - address how meaningful family involvement is judged 			
Meeting adjourned	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 			