

Statewide Wraparound Project

Sub-Committee Recommendation Template
 Local Implementation Subcommittee
Revised August 30, 2007

JULY 20, 2007

ACTIONABLE RECOMMENDATION	CATEGORY	LEAD RESPONSIBILITY	PARTNERS	DUE DATE	EXPECTED RESULT	RATIONALE
Values & Principles Adopted Statewide	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/> Funding <input type="checkbox"/> Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Governor's Office, Superintendent of Public Instruction	Legislature, Department Heads; Federal agencies	ORS: July 2009; OARs: Jan 2010; Waivers: Jan 2010	OARs reflect policy; ORS changes reflect policy; MOUs at local level re: all local stakeholders- Waivers as needed; right of first refusal & unfunded mandates will be resolved; readiness survey will help prepare local communities; technical assistance will assist in workforce development; plans of care reflect family's needs	Reflects statewide buy-in; requirement of readiness locally; need to ensure that counties & schools are supportive

<p>Governance Structure (local)</p> <p>Apply all 14 CC standards with a focus on #11 & 12</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> xPolicy <input type="checkbox"/> xFunding <input type="checkbox"/> Service <input type="checkbox"/> xAdministrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>	<p>County Commissioners** School Superintendents*</p> <p>**inclusive of CCF Early Childhood Council *under authority of school boards</p>	<p>State Agencies; Local governments (county, education, cities; Local providers; family organizations; local CC&F & business and philanthropy</p>	<p>July 2010 start up</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appoint/design a local structure*, inclusive of all public child-serving systems with 51% youth/family/advocates that reflects the community (no funders or providers) membership at a minimum, to build partnerships, local resources, assets 2. Changes in local codes 3. Responsible for local integration of services 4. Local communities will be directed to tap into existing structures for efficient planning 5. Conflicts of interest need to be addressed in the structure of the design 6. Quality Assurance/ Quality Improvement process will be continuous and efficient <p>*see attachment</p>	<p>Local community buy-in, flexibility for underserved populations; create local system of care that reflects values & principles</p>

<p>Local Administrative Structure</p> <p>To include commitment to Cultural validated approaches</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>	<p>Local Governance Structure</p>	<p>State, Local governments, family/youth, local community leadership</p>	<p>July 2010</p>	<p>Local delivery system has capacity to meet funding, data & outcome requirements; authorizes and accounts for funding; could be non-profit or gov't agency; could be existing or new entity. "Policies will be developed about conflict resolution and conflict of interest among agencies and families; these policies will be reflected statewide and utilized locally "not sure what last paragraph means"</p>	<p>A flexible local delivery System, within a universal standard of care, that reflects the 14 C standards statewide, with adequate funding base.</p>
<p>IS Structure</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____</p>	<p>Administrative Agent</p>	<p>State, Local jurisdictions</p>	<p>July 2010</p>	<p>Electronic data, evaluation and fund management interfacing with a statewide portable system; shared funding system from State & Local funding streams, inclusive of state & local investments, with goal of efficiency & stewardship of resources; easily shared information; payment is 'real time'; existing data systems</p>	<p>Efficient and effective system for accountability and reporting (State/Federal/Local portability; ability to 'talk' to various systems)</p>

					(Family Net, E-SIS, local counties, etc) will be considered. Data collected should include demographics and adhere to HIIPA regs.	
Electronic Record	<input type="checkbox"/> X Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding <input type="checkbox"/> Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	State Administrative Agent	Local Administrative agent	July 2010	Web based electronic record; shared investment at state and local level; easily shared info; develop with current planning (regulated by HIPPA)	Child/Youth & Family Team and authorized Others access records anywhere at anytime, across the lifespan
Integrated Single Plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/> Funding <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	State and the Local Administrative Structure	Federal, County, School and other local partners	July 2010	Family/youth have single integrated plan developed through a high fidelity and culturally validated wraparound process including family and youth recommendations. (refer to core values #2 & CC standard #11) Across multiple serving agencies; OARs, ORS, Federal Waiver changes. Single Plan of Care will be utilized across agencies at a given time point and, across the developmental lifespan consistent and defined to move from Early	Create consistent, strengths based plan, driven by family/youth needs, based on effective practices. Systems will be assured that costs will be shared, not shifted. “ This shift reflects that the family/ youth ‘owns’ the plan ‘not s what this means”

					Childhood to school age to middle/high school. It should be expected that a plan will follow a child through development and goals & outcomes will change as child/youth/family grows & changes. The Single Integrated Plan of Care will integrate other plans (eg Education plans, CW Safety Plan, Courts, Medical and Behavioral treatment plans, etc)	
Care Management, Coordination	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service <input type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Local Administrative structure	State & Community Partners	July 2010	Facilitate wrap around process and assist family to navigate and eventually to manage their own family/youth plan throughout the lifespan, as a part of a “workforce development process; “not sure what this means” authority to set plan and authorizes funding using traditional and non-traditional services	Family/Child/Youth Team needs are met real time with flexible access to resources; greater fidelity and culturally valid approaches to the Wraparound process. This shift reflects that the family/child/youth ‘owns’ the plan.
Blended Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding <input type="checkbox"/> Service <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	State	Local Admin Agency	July 2010	Ensure that family teams have ready access to flexible funds, right services at the right time;	Efficiently address family/youth needs

					child and family team expenditures are not dependent on the funding sources; funds are available statewide to support the Values & Principles of Wraparound. Blending of resources (funds and resources) can occur at state and local level. Will require budgetary changes in allocations at the state level.	
Family/Youth Services & Supports Organizations	XPolicy xFunding Service XAdministrative Other	State & local governance structure	Local Admin Agency, Community Leaders	July 2010	Expectation in policy and supported by funding; advocacy, peer supports, system navigators, resource development, membership services, workforce training: family, youth, professionals; membership on local & state communities, teaching prevention/education/outreach & skill development, parent & youth support groups, mentoring, Community All CC standards apply	Empowers Family & Youth's to ensure needs met. Family/Youth to ensure that there is Fidelity to Values and Principles; Support for Family-driven & Youth-guided system

					and should be embedded in all sub-contracts	
Social Marketing	xPolicy Funding Service xAdministrative Other	State & Local Governance Structure	Local Admin Agency, Community Leaders	July 2009	Reduction of stigma: to ensure community buy-in for values and principles of SOC. Will be initiated as an enhancement of the best practices and culturally validated approaches already in place, rather than yet again another new initiative on top of existing priorities.	To ensure community buy-in for the values and principles of System of Care, promoting system change: Children belong in home, with friends, in school and out of trouble please consider. That children need to be at place and around people who supply a safe, secure and a restrictive environment.
Life Span System of Care Approach to service provision & care coordination	xPolicy xFunding xService xAdministrative Other	State & Local Governance Structure	Local Admin Agency, Community Leaders, Family Organizations and Communities	July 2010	Services will be connected across developmental stages (EI, School Age, young adult, adult, seniors); Increase public education to include cultural competency across communities about social-emotional development, outcomes, help needed & continuity in transition of services (as in the current DD system). Changes in	Fidelity to wraparound process

					statute and OARs will be required.	
Consider; Ensure, Improve and Increase Access to services Access	xPolicy xFunding xService Administrative Other	Local Admin Structure	State, Local governments, family/youth organizations, community leaders, childcare and HeadStart, Pediatricians & Primary Care Providers, Public Health	July 2010	People get what they need, when they need it. Children/Youth are identified earlier on so needs are met. No wrong door. Change OARs, ORS, screening and eligibility standards as needed. Supports for early intervention, prevention and health promotion.	Fidelity and culturally valid approaches to Wraparound model