



OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research Group  
200 Hawthorne, Ste., B-240  
Salem, OR 97301

**SPR Quarterly Progress Report**  
January 1, 2009 through March 31, 20089

ph: (503) 986-2700  
fax: (503) 986-2844

Date: April 2, 2009

TO: Technical Advisory Committee Members:  
Scott Cramer, Traffic Signal Engineer, ODOT  
Mike D. Dunning, Product Evaluation Coordinator, ODOT  
Nick Fortey, FHWA  
Mark Friesen, Region 2 Traffic Services Manager, ODOT  
Joel D. Fry, Field Operations Specialist, ODOT  
Katie L. Johnson, Traffic Devices Engineer, ODOT  
Ronald H. Kroop, District 2A Manager, ODOT  
June Ross, Research Coordinator, ODOT

Project Champion  
Luci Moore, Maintenance Engineer, ODOT

FROM: June Ross, Research Coordinator; phone: (503) 986-2846

**1. Project**

Evaluation of Wet-Weather Retroreflectivity of Pavement Markings  
SPR 681

**2. Key Dates**

Contract Start Date:	August 5, 2008
Contract End Date:	June 30, 2010
Completion Date for ODOT:	August 30, 2010

**3. Principal Investigators**

Ida van Schalkwyk, Ph.D., Assistant Professor (Snr Research) (PI)  
Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering  
Oregon State University  
220 Owen Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331  
Phone: (541) 737-8874

Karen Dixon, Ph.D., P.E., Associate Professor (Co-PI)  
Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering  
Oregon State University  
220 Owen Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331  
Phone: (541) 737-6337

4. **Progress**

- Good progress was made with the literature review. Several documents were obtained that provide insight into the subject under review.
- Several states were interviewed. This includes Washington and Pennsylvania. All the states thus far report particular challenges related to the monitoring of retroreflectivity and do not measure night-time wet weather retroreflectivity.

5. **Problems**

- States are severely short staffed. As a consequence some information to the research project is delayed.

6. **Work Planned for Next Quarter**

- Complete literature and state practice review.
- Submit interim report #1.
- Meet with TAC to discuss results from Tasks 1 and 2.
- Identify alternative testing procedures (Task 3).

7. **Finances**

SPR Project Summary.

<b>VENDOR</b>	<b>FY'09</b>	<b>FY'10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY'12</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
ORIGINAL BUDGET	\$ 35,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 35,000		\$ 140,000
REVISED BUDGET	\$ 37,500	\$ 92,500	\$ -		\$ 130,000
EXPENDITURES - VENDOR	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>\$ 37,500</b>	<b>\$ 92,500</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 165,000</b>
<b>ODOT</b>	<b>FY'09</b>	<b>FY'10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY'12</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
ORIGINAL BUDGET	\$2,500	\$5,000	\$0		\$ 7,500
REVISED BUDGET	\$4,000	\$5,000			\$ 9,000
EXPENDITURES - ODOT	\$ 3,081	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,081
<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>\$ 919</b>	<b>\$ 5,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,919</b>
<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>FY'09</b>	<b>FY'10</b>	<b>FY11</b>	<b>FY'12</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
ORIGINAL BUDGET	\$ 37,500	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 112,500
REVISED BUDGET	\$ 41,500	\$ 97,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 139,000
EXPENDITURES - PROJECT	\$ 3,081	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 3,081
<b>BALANCE</b>	<b>\$ 38,419</b>	<b>\$ 97,500</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 135,919</b>