Oregon’s Demographic Trends

Population data
Culture, Race, Sex, Age
Population of Oregon: 1950-2020

Oregon’s population was 3,831,074 in April 2010; it’s expected to reach 4.3 million by 2020 with a projection of 5.4 million by 2040.
Oregon’s: Projected Life Expectancy at Birth

In the past 40 years:

- Life expectancy for **men** has improved by **8.7** years.
- Life expectancy for **women** has improved by **5.5** years.
- While life expectancy continues to improve, the difference between **men** and **women** will continue to shrink.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Difference (Female-Male)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1990 to 2020: Oregon Office of Economic Analysis
Adult Population – Age 18-64

Age: 18-25
- Slowed down and soon to show negative growth in the future.

Age: 25-44
- Growth remained at a slower rate than the overall state.

Age: 45-64
- The slow and negative growth of 25-44 age group transferred to this group as the baby-boomers mature into retirement age.
Oregon’s Shift

Aging in Oregon – (55-64)

In 2000, twenty-seven out of the thirty-six counties in Oregon have larger proportions of men and women (55-64) than we see at the state level:

- 16.55% in Wheeler County
- 14.22% in Curry County
Oregon’s Shift

In 2006, the very large population of people 55 and older

39.82% Wheeler County

29.28% Sherman County

30.39 % Gilliam County

Aging in Oregon – (65 and over)

Aging in Oregon - In 2000 - 26 out of the 36 counties in Oregon had higher percentages of people age 65 and older than the state.

2000 – 12.8%, 2030 - 18.25%. 
Oregon’s Shift

- The three counties with the highest shares of people over 65 were in rural areas
  - Josephine County with 20.12%
  - Wheeler County with 23.27%
  - Curry County with 26.63%
Elderly Population 2020– Age 65-85

Age: 65-74
Increasingly growing
Close to 500,000 seniors

Age: 75 – 84
Show some decline, but see rapid growth and will increase by 35%

Age: 85+
During the next decade this population will increase by 17%.

Source: U.S. Bureau of Census; and Oregon Office of Economic Analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>55-59</th>
<th>60-64</th>
<th>65-69</th>
<th>70-74</th>
<th>75-79</th>
<th>80-84</th>
<th>85+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Total</td>
<td>5,425,408</td>
<td>337,313</td>
<td>297,787</td>
<td>268,516</td>
<td>235,769</td>
<td>208,589</td>
<td>171,551</td>
<td>213,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington County</td>
<td>920,852</td>
<td>46,986</td>
<td>43,468</td>
<td>41,159</td>
<td>35,428</td>
<td>28,695</td>
<td>22,035</td>
<td>26,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multnomah County</td>
<td>842,009</td>
<td>50,498</td>
<td>50,604</td>
<td>48,271</td>
<td>40,637</td>
<td>32,495</td>
<td>25,462</td>
<td>28,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clackamas County</td>
<td>620,703</td>
<td>40,130</td>
<td>29,730</td>
<td>24,654</td>
<td>21,706</td>
<td>20,046</td>
<td>17,231</td>
<td>22,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane County</td>
<td>471,511</td>
<td>28,932</td>
<td>26,096</td>
<td>23,409</td>
<td>20,225</td>
<td>18,698</td>
<td>16,167</td>
<td>22,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion County</td>
<td>448,671</td>
<td>26,460</td>
<td>23,786</td>
<td>20,732</td>
<td>18,344</td>
<td>15,770</td>
<td>12,440</td>
<td>14,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County</td>
<td>297,496</td>
<td>20,295</td>
<td>17,286</td>
<td>15,568</td>
<td>14,009</td>
<td>12,729</td>
<td>10,356</td>
<td>11,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deschutes County</td>
<td>257,088</td>
<td>19,354</td>
<td>18,646</td>
<td>17,838</td>
<td>14,925</td>
<td>12,729</td>
<td>10,356</td>
<td>11,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamhill County</td>
<td>166,776</td>
<td>11,341</td>
<td>9,955</td>
<td>6,937</td>
<td>6,383</td>
<td>5,619</td>
<td>4,414</td>
<td>4,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linn County</td>
<td>146,260</td>
<td>8,585</td>
<td>7,488</td>
<td>6,932</td>
<td>6,383</td>
<td>5,962</td>
<td>4,901</td>
<td>6,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas County</td>
<td>140,619</td>
<td>10,420</td>
<td>8,491</td>
<td>7,453</td>
<td>7,164</td>
<td>7,045</td>
<td>6,545</td>
<td>8,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk County</td>
<td>135,937</td>
<td>8,834</td>
<td>7,210</td>
<td>4,624</td>
<td>4,139</td>
<td>3,826</td>
<td>3,186</td>
<td>4,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josephine County</td>
<td>117,216</td>
<td>8,666</td>
<td>7,087</td>
<td>6,803</td>
<td>6,557</td>
<td>6,488</td>
<td>5,473</td>
<td>7,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umatilla County</td>
<td>106,149</td>
<td>6,495</td>
<td>5,343</td>
<td>4,684</td>
<td>4,106</td>
<td>3,682</td>
<td>2,861</td>
<td>3,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton County</td>
<td>99,886</td>
<td>5,959</td>
<td>5,340</td>
<td>4,644</td>
<td>3,736</td>
<td>3,420</td>
<td>2,993</td>
<td>4,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klamath County</td>
<td>80,159</td>
<td>4,918</td>
<td>4,403</td>
<td>4,002</td>
<td>3,501</td>
<td>3,272</td>
<td>2,699</td>
<td>3,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coos County</td>
<td>64,839</td>
<td>4,532</td>
<td>3,909</td>
<td>3,899</td>
<td>3,756</td>
<td>3,912</td>
<td>3,426</td>
<td>4,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia County</td>
<td>64,411</td>
<td>4,287</td>
<td>3,147</td>
<td>3,185</td>
<td>3,212</td>
<td>3,017</td>
<td>2,480</td>
<td>2,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln County</td>
<td>57,247</td>
<td>4,787</td>
<td>4,196</td>
<td>4,036</td>
<td>3,687</td>
<td>3,397</td>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>3,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malheur County</td>
<td>44,519</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td>2,199</td>
<td>1,902</td>
<td>1,609</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>1,537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clatsop County</td>
<td>39,368</td>
<td>2,840</td>
<td>2,397</td>
<td>2,141</td>
<td>1,964</td>
<td>2,009</td>
<td>1,752</td>
<td>2,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crook County</td>
<td>38,553</td>
<td>2,662</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>1,291</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>1,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson County</td>
<td>36,094</td>
<td>2,001</td>
<td>1,865</td>
<td>1,962</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>1,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hood River County</td>
<td>32,498</td>
<td>1,839</td>
<td>1,603</td>
<td>1,587</td>
<td>1,349</td>
<td>1,187</td>
<td>1,030</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tillamook County</td>
<td>32,146</td>
<td>2,640</td>
<td>2,193</td>
<td>1,966</td>
<td>1,896</td>
<td>1,832</td>
<td>1,535</td>
<td>2,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union County</td>
<td>31,793</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasco County</td>
<td>28,653</td>
<td>1,719</td>
<td>1,528</td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td>1,279</td>
<td>1,252</td>
<td>1,132</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curry County</td>
<td>23,432</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>1,389</td>
<td>1,436</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>1,712</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>2,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrow County</td>
<td>23,122</td>
<td>1,563</td>
<td>1,218</td>
<td>1,127</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker County</td>
<td>17,460</td>
<td>1,521</td>
<td>1,118</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harney County</td>
<td>9,584</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallowa County</td>
<td>8,783</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant County</td>
<td>7,678</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County</td>
<td>7,614</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilliam County</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman County</td>
<td>2,165</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler County</td>
<td>1,652</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oregon’s Shift

Population in Oregon is growing at a rapid rate:
In 2010 - 3,831,074  2020 - 4.3 million  2040 – 5,425,408

People of color are growing:
In 2010 - 21.5%  2020 expected 23.9%

Seniors (65-74) are growing:
In 2010 - 300,000  2020 nearly 500,000
Oregon’s Shift

LGBT – In 2012 adult estimate 4.9% .
Portland – 7th highest in the country - 8.8% homosexual
metro area ranks 4th in the nation at 6.1%.

OWC – Decline in rural areas from 36% - 21%
from 1950-2000
In 2012: 23.9% of people make up race or ethnic group.

- 12.2% Hispanic or Latino
- 4.4% Asian/Pacific Islander
- 3.5% Two or More Races
- 2.0% African American
- 1.8% Native American

Ethnic groups as a whole in Oregon is growing at a fast pace.
Race/Ethnicity of Medicaid LTSS consumers

- Pacific Islanders: 60 (0.2%)
- American Indian or Alaskan Native: 376 (1.4%)
- Unknown: 519 (1.9%)
- African American: 890 (3.2%)
- Hispanic: 961 (3.5%)
- Asian: 1001 (3.6%)
- Caucasian: 23936 (86.3%)

Total: 30,010
Race/Ethnicity in 3 LTSS settings

Distribution of APD clients Among 3 Long Term Services Settings, 2010*

- Hispanic/Latino: In-Home 53%, Community Based Facilities 35%, Nursing Facilities 12%
- African American: In-Home 65%, Community Based Facilities 20%, Nursing Facilities 15%
- AI/AN: In-Home 56%, Community Based Facilities 32%, Nursing Facilities 12%
- Asian: In-Home 47%, Community Based Facilities 42%, Nursing Facilities 10%
- Pacific Islander: In-Home 53%, Community Based Facilities 33%, Nursing Facilities 14%
- Total: In-Home 43%, Community Based Facilities 41%, Nursing Facilities 16%
The Top 5 languages spoken by LTSS APD consumers

- English 148079
- Spanish 4913
- Russian 1887
- Vietnamese 1666
- Cantonese 828
Review of Last Meeting
Review of Equality vs. Equity (Justice)

Equality doesn't mean Justice

This is Equality  This is Justice
How to Achieve Equity (Justice)

- Working toward equal outcomes, not just equal access
- Learning what different groups/communities want (one size does NOT fit all)
- Strength-based approach
- Long-term partnerships
- True ENGAGEMENT
Principles of Community Engagement

1. Be clear about the population/communities to be engaged and the goals of the effort.
2. Know the community, including its norms, history, and experience with engagement efforts.
3. Build trust and relationships and get the commitments from formal and informal leadership.
4. Collective self-determination is the responsibility and right of all community members.
5. Partnering with the community is necessary to create change and improve outcomes.
Principles of Community Engagement

6. Recognize and respect community cultures and other factors affecting diversity in designing and implementing approaches.

7. Sustainability results from mobilizing community assets and developing capacities and resources.

8. Be prepared to release control to the community and be flexible enough to meet its changing needs.


We can create standards/recommendations for APD that reflect these principles!
Overview

• Current Data and Initiatives
• Gaps in Understanding
• Future Work
Race/Ethnicity in 3 LTSS settings

Distribution of APD clients Among 3 Long Term Services Settings, 2010*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>In-Home</th>
<th>Community Based Facilities</th>
<th>Nursing Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI/AN</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Disparities at a Glance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Hispanic /Latino</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>AI/AN</th>
<th>Asian American</th>
<th>Pacific Islander</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aging &amp; People with Disabilities &amp; Developmental Disabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of APD and DD clients served compared to the adult population of Oregon*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD staffing levels compared to SPD clients served*</td>
<td>17</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of APD clients among 3 long-term care settings (In-Home/CBF/Nursing)*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of clients among those in Developmental Disability residential settings (In-Home/Out-of-Home)*</td>
<td>19</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Green Circle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Yellow Triangle" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="N/A" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APD Breakthrough Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure Calculation</th>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Yellow</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Data Collection Frequency</th>
<th>Q3 Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of race/ethnic groupings that are over/under represented in comparison to their representation in the population as a whole. Only the population with the greatest disparity is reported - Non-Hispanic African American.</td>
<td>R &lt;0.5:1 or R&gt;1.5:1</td>
<td>0.5&lt;R&gt;0.7 5 or 1.25&lt;R&gt;1.5</td>
<td>0.75&lt;R&gt;1.2 5</td>
<td>R=1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of nursing facility services by race/ethnicity in comparison to the long term care population as a whole. Only the population with the greatest disparity is reported - Non-Hispanic Asian.</td>
<td>R &lt;0.5:1 or R&gt;1.5:1</td>
<td>0.5&lt;R&gt;0.7 5 or 1.25&lt;R&gt;1.5</td>
<td>0.75&lt;R&gt;1.2 5</td>
<td>R=1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of community based care services by race/ethnicity in comparison to the long term care population as a whole. Only the population with the greatest disparity is reported - Non-Hispanic African American.</td>
<td>R &lt;0.5:1 or R&gt;1.5:1</td>
<td>0.5&lt;R&gt;0.7 5 or 1.25&lt;R&gt;1.5</td>
<td>0.75&lt;R&gt;1.2 5</td>
<td>R=1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of in-home services by race/ethnicity in comparison to the long term care population as a whole. Only the population with the greatest disparity is reported - Non-Hispanic African American.</td>
<td>R &lt;0.5:1 or R&gt;1.5:1</td>
<td>0.5&lt;R&gt;0.7 5 or 1.25&lt;R&gt;1.5</td>
<td>0.75&lt;R&gt;1.2 5</td>
<td>R=1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges with Existing Data

- We only have numbers; we don’t have thoughts or ideas from populations.
- We don’t know what the data means because we’re unsure how to interpret it.
- Our instinct is to be reactionary, but that response is too simplistic.
- Data is not granular enough and completely misses some groups (LGBT, for example)
- Bottom line: We need to step back and focus on ENGAGEMENT, rather than just numbers
Engagement Model

- Learn About Community
- Engage Community Members
- Conduct Focus Groups
- Community Survey (Numbers)
- Partner w/ Community for Policies/Programs & Eval.
Work Has Begun (DHS/APD)*

Community meetings with:
- African American Community (1)
- Eastern European Community (1)
- Hispanic Community (2)
- LGBT Community (3)
- Somali Community (1)
Focus of Service Equity Subcommittee:

“Develop strategies to serve individuals equitably in a culturally and linguistically responsive manner.”

- language from SB 21
Fulfilling Our Responsibility:

• Create recommendations standards that guide all work done within APD.
  - Broad recommendations
  - Specific ideas
Sample Timeline - Standards

April
- Discussion of ideas
- Staff to create first draft based on themes

May
- Revise recommendations as a group
- Staff to work on 2nd draft

June
- Second revision of standards
- Staff to work on 3rd draft

July
- Final revisions to recommendations
- Final draft – present to Steering Committee in August

August
- Take recommendations “on the road” for community feedback and revise from there
Additional Options:

1. Offer feedback on DHS/OHA REAL data proposed measures (DONE).
2. Work informing Service Equity Breakthrough measures for APD
3. Template for engagement of different communities (AoA Toolkit/Outreach)
4. Recommendations for additional staff/resources at field office level? (Investment in this work)
5. Other thoughts and ideas?