



**The 21st Century State DOT:
Providing Mobility**

The Message:

21st C State DOT Transformations

- **Future mobility/safety/security depends less on what we build**
- **More on how we operate the system we have**
- **Technology enables operations**
- **State DOTs have not yet adjusted to this reality**

The Public Works Legacy

- **C.I.P not measure of DOT effectiveness**
- **Customer Service = Measurable *outcomes*:**
- **New Plan: Accountable for Performance?**

***What meaningful outcomes
can the State DOT realistically promise?***

New Realism

- Future mobility dependent on rebalancing DOT priorities

Definition of “Operations and Management”:

Active management of the existing transportation system to maintain customer-focused performance in the face of congestion, incidents and other service disruptions

“But we’re already doing it”

The Unexploited Promise of Operations:

■ 20th Century

- *Partial deployments of ITS*
- *Modest applications*
- *Infrastructure/vehicle separate*
- *2-3 percent of investment*

■ 21st Century

- *System Operations as DOTs principal mobility program*
- *Aggressive operations facilitated by technology*
- *Vehicle/Infrastructure integration (all modes)*
- *20-30 percent of investment*

Why Bother?

The Leverage of Operations			
Delay Type	Share Of Total	Cause of Delay	Strategy to Relieve
Recurring Causes	50-60%	Mainline Capacity shortfalls	} Increased Capacity: 20%? } Operations & Management: 30%?
		Interchange Bottlenecks	
		Weave & merge friction	
		Poor Signal Timing	
Non-Recurring Causes	40-50%	Breakdowns & Crashes	
		Construction work zones	
		Weather	
		Vehicle mix	
		Special events	

The Impact of Technology on Operations

TECHNOLOGY	APPLICATIONS
WI FI/DSRC	Communications (Vehicle/infra)
Micro-sensors/radar	Safety (crash avoidance)
GPS/AVL	Incident management (probes)
Data mining	Traveler information (predictions)
Pattern Recognition	Automated enforcement
Multisensor embeds	Snow and ice prediction, control

PROGRESS =
TECHNICAL CONCEPTS
+
NEW PROGRAMS & ROLES

PROBLEM	TECHNOLOGY STRATEGY	INSTITUTIONAL PRECONDITIONS
Freeway peak congestion	Ramp metering/speed & lane control	State/Local cooperation
Intersection delays	Traffic responsive signals	Technical/financial capacity
Breakdowns and crashes	Advanced detection & surveillance	Formal DOT/PSA Commitment
Buses in traffic	Automated intersection Prioritization	Managed Lane dedications
Snow & Ice	Mezzo prediction & pretreatment systems	Prediction/advisory/control regimes
Run off the road crashes	Vehicle Infrastructure integration	Public-private partnership
Freight Operations	Integrated Logistics	Private-private partnerships
Peaks	Demand Management thru Pricing	Managing Public Expectations

Bottom line:
Not \$\$; mostly technology/institutional combinations

State DOT Institutional Transformation for Operations

- **Policy commitment unclear**
- **Not a Core Program**
- **Fragmented responsibility**
- **Limited accountability for performance**
- **Informal relationship with other players**
- **Unclear budgetary & staffing priority**
- **Minimal relationship with private service providers**

The 21st Century State DOT

■ 20th Century

- *Public works (output)*
- *Project-focused*
- *Our jurisdiction*
- *8-5 Reactive*
- *Mostly passenger*
- *Business as usual*
- *Do it our way*

■ 21st Century

- *Mobility (outcomes)*
- *Customer-oriented*
- *The entire system*
- *24X7 Proactive*
- *Passenger & Freight*
- *Performance-driven*
- *Partnerships*

The Message:

21st C State DOT Transformations

- **Future mobility/safety/security depends less on what we build**
- **More on how we operate the system we have**
- **Technology enables operations**
- **State DOTs have not yet adjusted to this reality**