



Oregon

Kate Brown, Governor

Board of Psychologist Examiners

3218 Pringle Road SE, Ste. 130

Salem, OR 97302-6309

(503) 378-4154

Fax: (503) 374-1904

Oregon.gov/OBPE

Public Session Board Meeting Minutes

January 16, 2015

3218 Pringle Road SE

Salem, OR 97302

Members Present: Fran Ferder, Ph.D., Chair
Peter Grover, Ph.D.
James Hendry, Public Member
Sandra Jenkins, Ph.D.
Dorothy Mellon, Public Member
Daniel Munoz, Ph.D.
Anne-Marie Smith, Ph.D.

Members Absent: Patricia Bjorkquist, Ph.D.
Devin Salinas, Public Member

Legal Counsel: Warren Foote, AAG

Staff: Randy Harnisch, Interim Executive Director
Karen Berry, Investigator
LaRee Felton, Program Analyst
Ashlie Rios, Office Specialist

Guests: Ryan Dix, Psy.D., OPA Liaison
Tony Lai, OBLPCT Board Member
Lindsay McGrath, OBLPCT Board Member
Christian Wolff, M.A.
Robert Plamondon
Eric Dover, M.D.

INTRODUCTIONS/ROLL CALL

Dr. Ferder called the Oregon Board of Psychologist Examiners (OBPE) Public Session meeting to order at 12:30 p.m. on Friday, January 16, 2015, at 3218 Pringle Rd., SE, Salem, OR.

ASPPB PRESENTATION

PLUS Program

Presented by: Joseph Rallo, Ph.D. & Janet Pippin Orwig, MBA

The Universal Application System was created based off of the feedback from different jurisdictions and the use of mobility from state to state. It started with a committee that gathered 60+ applications and regulations for licensure and gathered like information or similar requirements. This was turned into a rough draft paper application and then into the online application. Association of State Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) will have staff dedicated to each jurisdiction but will not make assumptions or promise regarding licensure in each state. ASPPB will make sure the application is complete and then it will be submitted to the state board either electronically, dumped into a databank, and the Board can also review the application online. If they find any discrepancies in the application ASPPB will submit an Exception Report with the application notifying the state board of the particular problem. The PLUS program information is all primary source verified, the fee is \$200 to the applicant, and it covers the application fee, the CPQ, and the mobility aspect. There are several phases to the PLUS program. The first phase is the Orientation Phase where the Board is interested and will start to work with ASPPB on how to collect information and what information they will need to collect. The second is the Implementation phase; this is when the applicant starts to use the PLUS program. The first 3 months or 50 applicants are free. This phase works out all the “bugs” or unknown areas specific to the state. Students seem to be in favor of the system. They are able to start banking information before graduation with no fee and once they are ready to utilize it then they will be charged the \$200 fee. CPQ is a credential through ASPPB. Education, training, and supervision are all verified and then the applicant is awarded a CPQ certification. Real advantages to the PLUS program are the time it takes boards to verify these documents will no longer be an issue. ASPPB will do the hard work for us and then have all the information come at one time, in chronological order, summarized, and be primary source verified. The application system is online and that’s also an advantage and cost saving to the Board. It provides protection for the student/applicant to know that all their information is banked electronically, forever. Struggles that they have seen has been anxiety from Board staff that their jobs will be lost and supervisors have expressed dislike with all the paperwork and questions that are required. Dr. Ferder asked if ASPPB provided training to state board staff in order to use the program. Ms. Pippin indicated that they have done webinars and the state can create their own free application using the PLUS program so that they can see exactly what an applicant would see, the process they have to go through, etc. Dr. Munoz asked about online security. ASPPB backs up the information in two different locations and that the security certificates are higher than the state requirements. Ms. Mellon asked if any state has applied for the PLUS program and then backed out after trying it. Ms. Pippin answered that no states have backed out that are currently using the system. The board thanked both Mr. Rallo and Ms. Pippin for coming.

The Board took a brief break from 1:29 p.m. to 1:40 p.m.

New Board Member Training

Presented by: Alex M. Siegel, J.D., Ph.D. & Martha N. Storie, BS

ASPPB is a 64 member, 50 states, including Puerto Rico, Guam, District of Columbia, and 10 Canadian provinces organization. They encourage boards and states to utilize their services to see 1) what is happening across the nation and 2) what is happening in other states. Dr. Siegel brought a wealth of knowledge, historical facts, and key topics happening in today’s psychological industry.

Dr. Munoz questioned Dr. Siegel about our most recent OAR regarding APA Accreditation and asked Dr. Siegel to speak on a national level. Dr. Siegel mentioned that the national trend is what Oregon took into rule. They are moving towards an APA accreditation standard and they see a majority of licensee's already come from these institutions. The third licensure option of becoming licensed by un-accredited schools is not necessary. He believes that Oregon is ahead of the curve in these matters. He also agreed with the board's decision to change the In-Residence requirement. Other states are following this path and adopting more rules like it. ASPPB has a Common Rules Committee that is currently looking at all the states licensure laws and they are trying to streamline like rules to help with mobility and nationally streamlining licensure laws; however, this is still an ongoing process.

Dr. Munoz also inquired about Telepsychology and what ASPPB's thoughts are regarding the investigation and the practice across state lines. ASPPB is currently only looking into an Inter-Jurisdictional Telepsychology Compact. This compact will be for states who wish to enter into that agreement. The compact states that the practice of psychology remains with the licensee's state; however, the patients state might have some regulation depending on the case. A licensee can only perform psychological services via telepsychology in other states that have entered into the compact. ASPPB is going to require an ePassport for those licensees who want to do telepsychology and they will have requirements around videoconferencing software. The compact won't go into effect until there are at least seven states that are willing to sign into it. ASPPB already knows of three that are bringing it to Legislation this session.

The Board took a short break from 2:47 to 2:59 p.m.

The Board brought back Dr. Alex Siegel for discussion after performing other business. In regards to new Board Member Training he thinks the most important aspect is that the members know their rules. He was able to review our rule books prior to the meeting and was pleased with them simply because most other jurisdictions do not have all of the information in one spot. Other states have to contact different public records departments to find information that is outside of the practice of psychology. Oregon doesn't have that. The OBPE maintains paper file records, computer records, monitors the practice of psychology, proctors the ethical exam, etc...He thought they were well written and well organized.

FINANCIAL REPORT

The board reviewed the most current budget report from the Department of Administrative Services. No further discussion was made.

MEETING MINUTES

Regular Meeting - November 14, 2014

Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to approve the November 14, 2014 Board Meeting Minutes. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Strategic Planning Meeting - November 15, 2014

Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to approve the November 15, 2014 Strategic Planning Meeting. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

CONSUMER PROTECTION CASES

CE Audit Issues

License #1178: Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to approve the licensee's submission. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #1952: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to Issue an Amended Notice of Proposed Civil Penalty. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Orders

Case #2014-009: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Grover seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Aye; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

Case #2014-031: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Jenkins seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Aye; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

Application #14-120: Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Aye; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

CE Audit

License #2140: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #1502: Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Jenkins seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #872: Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Smith seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #824: Dr. Munoz moved and Ms. Mellon seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #2054: Dr. Jenkins moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #1984: Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Jenkins seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

License #2044: Dr. Munoz moved and Ms. Mellon seconded the motion to issue a Default Final Order. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The

motion passed.

Thirty-Day Letter Responses

Case #2014-038: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to Issue a Notice of Intent to Impose Disciplinary Action with a one year suspension, evaluation, \$1,000 civil penalty, and one year of supervision. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Aye; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

Case #2014-016: Dr. Munoz moved and Ms. Mellon seconded the motion to issue a Notice of Intent to Impose Disciplinary Action with reprimand, \$1,000 civil penalty, and one year of supervision. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Aye; Mr. Hendry-No; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

Case #2014-026: Dr. Jenkins moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-041: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Grover seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, Dr. Munoz objected, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-047: Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to issue a Notice of Intent to Deny Licensure Application. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Aye; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

Cases Carried from Last Meeting

Case #2014-027: Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

New Case Reports

Cases #2014-034 & #2014-057: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Grover seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-036: Dr. Jenkins moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to issue a Thirty-Day Letter. All board members voted for the motion, Mr. Hendry objected, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-037: Dr. Jenkins moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to issue a Thirty-Day Letter. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-045: Dr. Jenkins moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-042: Mr. Hendry moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to issue a Thirty-Day Letter. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-048: Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-050: Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Smith seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2014-051: Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to dismiss the case. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2011-068: Dr. Jenkins moved and Dr. Munoz seconded the motion to approve the request to end supervision. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

Case #2013-042: Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Grover seconded the motion to approve the request for summary of information. All board members voted for the motion, Mr. Hendry objected, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

INVESTIGATION EXTENSIONS

Case #2014-044, #2014-052, #2014-053, #2014-054, #2014-055, #2014-056, #2014-058, & #2014-060.

Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to approve the thirty-day investigation extensions on the cases listed above. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Caption: Designation of Education and Licensure Status. Ms. Felton reviewed the rule with the board. A public hearing was held; however, there were no attendees. The public comment period ended on 12/30/14. The board reviewed the public comments received and no further discussion was made.

Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Jenkins seconded the motion to file a Permanent Rule. Roll call vote: Dr. Grover-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Dr. Smith-Abstained; and Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

Rule Caption: Required Diversity Continuing Education. Ms. Felton reviewed the rule with the board. A public hearing was held; however, there were no attendees. The public comment period ended on 12/30/14. The board reviewed the public comments received and no further discussion was made.

Dr. Munoz moved and Ms. Jenkins seconded the motion to file a Permanent Rule. Roll call vote: Dr. Smith-Abstained; Mr. Hendry-Aye; Ms. Mellon-Aye; Dr. Jenkins-Aye; Dr. Munoz-Aye; Dr. Grover-Aye; and Dr. Ferder-Aye. The motion passed.

APPLICANT CHARACTER & FITNESS REVIEW

Follow-up report: application form update; process of review. This topic was postponed to the March Board Meeting.

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN

Dr. Munoz moved and Dr. Jenkins seconded the motion to approve the 2015-2017 Affirmative Action Plan. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

APPROVE NEW LICENSES

Christopher M. Bartel, Psy.D.; Andrew E. Bliesner, Psy.D.; Shaznin P. Daruwalla, Psy.D.; Daniel L. Dowling, Psy.D.; Carolyn C. Ferreira, Psy.D.; Trevor A. Hall, Psy.D.; Leah M. Katz, Ph.D.; Tracy N. Kreiling, Psy.D.; Robert C. Krueger, Ph.D.; Theresa L. Lafavor, Ph.D.; Mary C. Marsiglio, Ph.D.; Allison Mohan, Psy.D.; Chandra R. Mundon, Psy.D.; Daniel W. Parker, Ph.D.; Manbeena Sekhon, Ph.D.; Jenjee T. Sengkhammee, Ph.D.; Lisa B. Sheeber, Ph.D.; Sarah Beth Silverman, Psy.D.; Hunter K. Vaughn, Psy.D.; & Martha J. Wang, Ph.D.

Dr. Munoz moved and Mr. Hendry seconded the motion to approve all licenses listed above. All board members voted in favor of the motion, no objections, and no abstentions. The motion passed.

PUBLIC FORUM

This is an opportunity for the public to address the Board. The Chair will determine the length of the time given based on the meeting agenda and the number of individuals that wish to speak.

Robert Plamondon wanted to make an appeal to the Board to make public outreach a higher priority. He would like the OBPE website to be more explicit regarding unlicensed practice and exemptions to licensure. He believes the board is making it difficult for exempt individuals to practice, receive consultations, and/or to refer their clients out. He also feels that the initial complaint letter does not follow regulations and should be reviewed.

No other comments were made.

YEAR-END STATISTICS

No discussion was made.

The Oregon Board of Psychologist Examiners adjourned Public Session at 4:37 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted:

// Charles Hill //

Charles Hill, Executive Director

March 20, 2015

Date