To: Energy Facility Siting Council
From: Christopher M. Clark, Siting Policy Analyst and Rules Coordinator
Date: February 11, 2022
Subject: Agenda Item D (Information Item): Protected Area, Scenic Resources, and Recreation Rulemaking Update for the February 25, 2022, EFSC Meeting
Attachments: Attachment 1: Rulemaking Workshop Summaries
Attachment 2: Issues Analysis Document
Attachment 3: Draft Proposed Rules

BACKGROUND
The Council initiated a rulemaking project to address issues related to the Council’s Protected Areas, Scenic Resources, and Recreation Standards at its October 23, 2020 meeting. Since that time, staff has solicited informal advice from stakeholders in writing and through a series of rulemaking workshops. Summaries of the workshops have been compiled in Attachment 1.

Based on staff’s analysis and advice provided by stakeholders, staff has developed preliminary rulemaking recommendations, as provided in Attachment 2. Draft proposed rule language based on these recommendations are provided as Attachment 3. Staff is presenting this update to provide the Council with the opportunity to provide any additional direction on policy issues of the conduct of the rulemaking proceedings. Based on the Council’s direction, staff will present final recommendations and draft proposed rules for the Council’s consideration at a future meeting.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY
The Council authorized a rulemaking project to address issues related to the scenic resources and protected areas standards, and property owner notification requirements as part of its 2018 rulemaking schedule. The issue related to property owner notification requirements included in this original scope was addressed through the Council’s 2019 Housekeeping Rulemaking Project.¹

¹ See Administrative Order EFSC 10-2019, effective October 7, 2019.
At its October 23, 2020 meeting, the Council approved staff’s request to initiate informal rulemaking proceedings and directed staff to seek informal recommendations from stakeholders on issues related to the Protected Areas, Scenic Resources, and Recreation Standards. As approved by Council, the objective of the rulemaking is to review the standards and associated rules to ensure that the areas, designations, and resources protected by rules are up to date, and that rules are clear and consistent with the Council’s review process.

On November 6, 2020, staff issued a request for rulemaking recommendations to all persons on the Council’s rulemaking mailing list. The request asked interested stakeholders to submit suggestions for policy issues or rule changes they would like to be considered in the rulemaking by December 31, 2020. Staff received eight responses to the request as of that date.

Staff revised its analysis based on the responses and provided an update to the Council at its meeting on April 23, 2021. At the meeting, the Council authorized staff to conduct rulemaking workshops to refine the draft proposed rules and further discuss issues related to the project. The first workshop was held on July 28, 2021 and focused on issues related to the applicability and scope of potential rule revisions. The second workshop was held on August 18, 2021 and focused on issues related to the Protected Areas Standard. The final workshop was held on October 14, 2021 and focused on issued related to the Scenic Resources and Recreation Standards.

During the rulemaking update presented to Council at its December 17, 2021 meeting, the Council heard concerns from interested members of the public regarding the extended timeframe for this project. Staff and the Council acknowledged the urgency associated with the project and staff committed to presenting an update at the February 2022 meeting.

SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

During these rulemaking proceedings, staff and stakeholders have identified several issues related to the Protected Areas, Scenic Resources, and Recreation Standards and the associated rules. When considering policy alternatives associated with these issues, staff has considered the objectives of ensuring that the language of the Standards clearly identifies important resources and values the standards are intended to protect, ensuring that the standards are consistent with the policy set forth in ORS 469.310, and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the Council’s review processes and procedures by resolving ambiguity, lack of clarity, and inconsistency in rule.

Based on staff’s analysis, and on feedback provided by stakeholders through written comment and during the rulemaking workshops, staff recommends the Council consider the following rulemaking actions:

- Adopt an interim policy to specify that any time public notice is given during the review of a proposed facility, it is provided to the managing agency of any Protected Area within the applicable study or analysis area for the project. Amend public notice rules to reflect this change in future rulemaking proceedings.
• To facilitate notification of protected areas managers, amend information requirements to require an applicant to identify the managing agency of any protected area in the applicable study or analysis area for the project, as well as a mailing address and any other reasonably available contact information, in the notice of intent and application for site certificate.
• Amend the Recreation and Scenic Resources Standards to allow the Council to consider evidence introduced into the record related to impacts to scenic resources and recreational opportunities outside the analysis area.
• Amend the Protected Areas Standard to remove the effective date for designations, allowing the Council to consider impacts to protected areas that are established during the review of a proposed facility.
• Update and simplify the list of designations that are considered “protected areas” under the Protected Areas Standard and remove specific examples to reduce the need for future rulemaking.
• Clarify exception for when a linear facility may be located within a Protected Area.
• Amend Scenic Resources Standard to require assessment of visual impacts to State Scenic Resources.
• To avoid disruption of projects that are currently under review, specify that amended standards will only be applicable to the review of applications or requests for amendment filed on or after the effective date of the rules.

A detailed analysis of these issues, and staff’s preliminary recommendation are provided in the Issues Analysis Document provided as Attachment 2. Draft proposed rule language based on these recommendations is provided as Attachment 3.

While staff believes it has identified an appropriate resolution to most of the issues raised during this rulemaking, we believe two issues in particular would benefit from additional opportunities for stakeholder engagement. First, during rulemaking workshops, numerous stakeholders expressed concern about the Council’s current standards for the protection of scenic resources and recommended that the Council establish evidence-based study and analysis areas for visual impacts, enhance opportunities for public participation in the identification of significant and important scenic resources, and require the use of established methodologies to conduct visual impacts assessments. Staff agrees that there could be significant improvements to the way visual impacts are identified and evaluated within the siting review process, but due to the highly technical nature of visual impacts assessments and lack of consensus on what methods are appropriate, believes that a separate rulemaking process to identify and fully vet appropriate methods is warranted.

Second, multiple stakeholders noted that while many protected areas are designated to protect important wildlife habitat or other ecological values, the Protected Areas Standard does not specifically take impacts to wildlife, wildlife habitat, or habitat connectivity, into account. Staff agrees that the Protected Areas standard could be better targeted to address impacts to the specific values or resources that a designation is intended to protect but believes that additional work is needed to understand how such a change would interact with existing wildlife related rules and standards.
NEXT STEPS AND PROJECTED RULEMAKING TIMELINE

Staff will revise analysis and recommendations based on direction provided by the Council. Staff recommends that this revised analysis and recommendations be made available to stakeholders for review and comment prior to the Council’s consideration of proposed rules. Staff have prepared the projected timeline below based on this recommendation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected Rulemaking Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council Initiates Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Solicits Written Advice from Stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Conducts Rulemaking Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Council provides feedback on Preliminary Analysis and Recommendations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Publishes Reviews Analysis and Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Considers Draft Proposed Rules and Issues Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Comment Period on Proposed Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rulemaking Hearing &amp; Consideration of Permanent Rules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>