OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Statutes, Administrative Rules and Other)	
Requirements Applicable to the Application for Site)	PROJECT ORDER
Certificate for Archway Solar Energy Facility)	

ISSUED BY
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
550 Capital Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-3742

Issued September 9, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	I. INTRO	DUCTION	1
3	II. EFSC F	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	3
4	III. APPL	ICATION REQUIREMENTS	4
5	(a)	Exhibit A – General Information about the Applicant	4
6	(b)	Exhibit B – General Information about the Proposed Facility	5
7	(c)	Exhibit C – Location	
8	<i>(f)</i>	Exhibit F – Property Owners	10
9	(g)	Exhibit G – Materials Analysis	
10	(h)	Exhibit H – Geologic and Soil Stability	
11	(i)	Exhibit I – Soils	
12	(j)	Exhibit J – Waters of the State and Removal-Fill	
13	(k)	Exhibit K – Land Use	
14	(1)	Exhibit L – Protected Areas	
15	(m)	Exhibit M – Financial Capability	
16	(n)	Exhibit N – Non-Generating Facility Need	
17	(o)	Exhibit 0 – Water Use	
18 10	(p)	Exhibit P – Fish and Wildlife Habitat Exhibit Q – Threatened and Endangered Species	
19 20	(q) (r)	Exhibit R – Scenic Resources	
20 21	(1) (s)	Exhibit S – Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources	
22	(s) (t)	Exhibit T – Recreation	
23	(u)	Exhibit U – Public Services	
24	(v)	Exhibit V – Solid Waste and Wastewater	
25	(w)	Exhibit W – Facility Retirement	
26	(x)	Exhibit X – Noise	
27	(y)	Exhibit Y – Carbon Dioxide Emissions	19
28	(z)	Exhibit Z – Cooling Tower Impacts	19
29	(aa)	Exhibit AA – Electric and Magnetic Fields	
30	(bb)	Exhibit BB – Other Information	20
31	(cc)	Exhibit CC – Other Law	20
32	(dd)	Exhibit DD – Specific Standards	20
33	IV. ANAI	LYSIS AREAS FOR THE PROPOSED FACILITY	20
34	V. COMI	MENTS ON THE NOTICE OF INTENT	21
35	VI. EXPI	RATION DATE OF THE NOTICE OF INTENT	21
36	VII. PRO	JECT ORDER AMENDMENT AND APPLICATION COMPLETENESS	22
37	VIII. APP	PLICABILITY AND DUTY TO COMPLY	22
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	Table 1. Reviewing Agency Distribution List	3
	Table 2: Analysis Areas	
4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

I. INTRODUCTION

The Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE or Department) received a Notice of Intent (NOI) on June 23, 2020 from Archway Solar Energy LLC (applicant), a wholly owned subsidiary of Invenergy Development North America LLC. The NOI was submitted in accordance with OAR 345-020-0006 and provides information about the proposed site and characteristics of the Archway Solar Energy Facility (proposed facility), a proposed 400 megawatt (MW) solar photovoltaic energy facility that would use or occupy up to 3,650 acres of Agricultural (A-2) zoned, nonarable land in Lake County, Oregon.¹ The proposed facility would be located on both public (Bureau of Land Management-owned land) and private land.

 Following review of an NOI, pursuant to Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 469.330(3) and OAR 345-015-0160, the Department issues a Project Order to the applicant establishing the statutes, administrative rules, Council standards, local ordinances, information and study requirements for the application for site certificate (ASC). Accordingly, the Department issues this Project Order establishing the requirements for the ASC for the proposed facility. As provided in ORS 469.330(4), this is not a final order and the Department or the Energy Facility Siting Council (EFSC or Council) may amend this Project Order at any time.

 ORS 469.401(4) provides that a site certificate issued by the Council does not govern certain matters. The Project Order identifies only those matters that will be included in and governed by the site certificate, if issued by EFSC, and as applicable to issuance of the site certificate for the proposed facility pursuant to ORS 469.503(3). Throughout this Project Order, the definitions in ORS 469.300 and OAR 345-001-0010 apply, except where otherwise stated or where the context indicates otherwise.

As presented in the NOI, the proposed facility is a 400 MW solar photovoltaic energy generation facility that would occupy up to 3,650 acres of Agricultural (A-2) zoned, nonarable land in Lake County. Energy generation components would consist of solar power blocks (modules, inverters, trackers and racks), steel posts, above and belowground cabling system and inverter/transformer units. Proposed related and supporting facilities to the energy facility would consist of a lithium-ion or flow battery energy storage system; generator step-up transformer and collection substation; 500 kilovolt (kV) substation; 500 kV transmission line: operations and maintenance (O&M) building; perimeter fencing; and temporary construction staging areas. The proposed energy facility and its related or supporting facilities are referred to as the proposed facility.

On July 22 and August 1, 2020, the Department issued public notice of the NOI to the EFSC mailing list, adjacent property owners as defined at OAR 345-020-0011(1)(f), and newspaper

¹ Pursuant to ORS 469.300(11)(a)(D), proposed solar photovoltaic energy generation facilities that would use or occupy more than 1,920 acres of "other land" are energy facilities under State jurisdiction, which requires approval and issuance of a site certificate by the Oregon Energy Facility Siting Council prior to construction and operation of the facility.

publications with general circulation within the vicinity of the proposed facility site (*Lake County Examiner, Community Breeze* and *Desert Whispers*). The public notice included information regarding the proposed facility and the EFSC review process, and established September 4, 2020 as the public comment deadline for the NOI.

Pursuant to ORS 469.480(1), the Council must designate as a Special Advisory Group (SAG) the governing body of any local government within whose jurisdiction the facility is proposed to be located. At the July 24, 2020 EFSC meeting, the Council appointed the Lake County Board of County Commissioners as the SAG.²

In compliance with OAR 345-021-0050(1), ODOE prepared a memorandum to reviewing agencies, Tribal Governments, and the SAG, and compiled a distribution list, including all reviewing agencies identified and defined in OAR 345-001-0010(52). In accordance with ORS 469.350(2) and OAR 345-020-0040, on August 11, 2020 ODOE sent the memorandum and a hyperlink for electronic access to the NOI to each of the reviewing agencies, Tribal Governments and SAG, as presented in Table 1 below. The Department requested comments from reviewing agencies on or before August 28, 2020. Consistent with ORS 469.330(3), throughout July and August 2020, the Department conducted phone conferences with staff from the Lake County Planning Department, on behalf of the SAG; reviewing agencies; and, Tribal Governments and presented at a Lake County Board of Commissioners' meeting to discuss the NOI, the proposed facility and the EFSC review process.

As discussed in Section V. *Comments on the Notice of Intent*, and in accordance with OAR 345-015-0140, the Department sent the applicant a copy of each reviewing agency comment letter received for their review and consideration in preparing the application for site certificate; no public comments were received on the NOI during the July 22 through September 4, 2020 comment period.³

² Pursuant to ORS 469.480, the governing body of a local government within whose jurisdiction the facility is proposed to be located shall be designated by EFSC as a "special advisory group."

³ The Department received several inquiries, via telephone and email, from the general public about the proposed facility; however, none of the inquiries were considered comments on the NOI.

Table 1. Reviewing Agency Distribution List

Table 1. Reviewing Age	incy Distribution List		
Federal Agencies			
Bureau of Land Management			
State Ag	encies		
 Oregon Department of Environmental Quality 	Oregon Department of Forestry		
 Oregon Department of Agriculture 	 Oregon Public Utilities Commission 		
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife	 Oregon Parks and Recreation Department 		
 Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries 	 Department of Land Conservation and Development 		
 Oregon Department of Aviation 	 Office of State Fire Marshal 		
 Oregon Department of State Lands 	Oregon State Historic Preservation Office		
 Oregon Department of Transportation 			
 Oregon Water Resources Department 			
Native American Tribes			
Klamath Tribes	 Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs 		
Burns Paiute Tribe			
Special Advis	ory Group		
Lake County Board of Commissioners			
Other Reviewing Agencies			
Northwest Power Planning Council			
Lake County Planning Department			

II. EFSC REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Issuance of a site certificate is governed by the statutory requirements in ORS 469.300 *et seq.* and administrative rules in OAR Chapter 345. The following divisions of OAR Chapter 345 include rules related to ASC requirements, EFSC review of an ASC, and construction and operation of an approved facility:

OAR Chapter 345, Division 21 (Site Certificate Application Requirements) includes the primary site certificate application requirements (see Section III. *Application Requirements* of this Project Order).

OAR Chapter 345, Division 22 (Council Standards for Siting Facilities) includes most of the regulatory standards by which the EFSC must evaluate the proposed facility. In addressing each of the Division 21 application requirements, the applicant shall refer to the Division 22 standard to which the requirement relates to ensure the ASC is responsive to the standards by which the Council must evaluate it.

OAR Chapter 345, Division 24 (Specific Standards for Siting Facilities) includes additional standards for specific types of EFSC applications. In addressing the Division 21 application requirements, the ASC shall refer to the Division 24 standards that apply to the proposed facility to ensure the ASC is responsive to these standards. The Division 24

standard that applies to the proposed facility is OAR 345-024-0090, Siting Standards for Transmission Lines.

OAR Chapter 345, Division 25 (Site Certificate Conditions) includes the mandatory conditions that EFSC must apply to all site certificates, as well as applicable site-specific and monitoring conditions. Note that per the mandatory condition included at OAR 345-025-0006(10), the Council will include as conditions in the site certificate, if issued, all representations of mitigation made in the ASC and supporting record that the Council deems to be binding commitments made by the applicant.

OAR Chapter 345, Division 26 (Construction and Operation Rules for Facilities) includes the compliance plan requirements that will apply if the Council issues a site certificate for the proposed facility. In addressing the Division 21 application requirements, the applicant shall refer to the compliance plan requirements, described in OAR 345-026-0048, and reporting requirements, described in OAR 345-026-0080. Note that, if a site certificate is issued, the certificate holder must also comply with additional construction- and operation-related regulations that may apply to the proposed facility but that may not be covered by the site certificate, per ORS 469.401(4).

III. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

The applicant must submit information required under OAR 345-021-0000, including, for all state and local government agency permit approvals that the applicant proposes to be included in and governed by the site certificate, the submittal of information that would otherwise be required by the state or local government agency in an application for such permit, license or certificate [OAR 345-021-0000(6)]. The applicant shall also submit copies of the applications for federally-delegated permits that are needed for construction or operation of the proposed facility [OAR 345-021-0000(7)]. OAR 345-021-0010(1) identifies the required contents of an ASC. Each of the paragraphs below indicates which provision(s) of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(a) – (dd) apply to the proposed facility. The ASC shall also include the information described in OAR 345-021-0010(3).

(a) Exhibit A – General Information about the Applicant

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply except (C), (E), (F), and (G) **Related Council and Other Standards:** General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] **Discussion:** Note that paragraph (B) calls for a list of "participating persons, other than individuals." Please note the definition of "Person" in ORS 469.300(21). Please also note that the applicant is required to notify the Department of any change in the identity or ownership of the applicant prior to the change. This notification requirement continues to apply until the Council issues a final order on the ASC.

(b) Exhibit B – General Information about the Proposed Facility

3 Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply except (A)(vi), (A)(vii), (A)(viii), and (E)(iv). Paragraph (D) only applies if the proposed transmission line meets the definition of an energy 4 facility at ORS 469.300(11)(a)(C). 5 **Related Council and Other Standards:** General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] 6 **Discussion:** The description of the proposed facility in the ASC will form the basis for the 7 description of the facility in the site certificate. The applicant would be required to build the 8 facility "substantially as described" in the site certificate, if approved and issued by Council 9 (OAR 345-025-0006(3)(a)). The description should include details of facility construction, 10 11 including primary activities and any phasing; and details of routine operations and maintenance activities. The ASC shall also describe all related or supporting facilities, including any structure 12 constructed or substantially modified solely to serve the proposed facility such as the point of 13 interconnect 500 kV substation, internal and external access roads and driveways, operations 14 and maintenance building, above- and belowground transmission and collector lines, collection 15 substations, and any other related and supporting facility proposed by the applicant. If the 16 applicant requests Council review and approval of flexibility to site proposed facility 17 components anywhere within the site boundary, the applicant must evaluate impacts of siting 18 facility components anywhere within the entirety of the site boundary (i.e. desktop and field 19 surveys must include all site boundary area; impact assessment must be based on maximum 20

212223

1 2

(c) Exhibit C – Location

impact facility layout option within the site boundary).

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

242526

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] **Discussion:** Maps shall indicate the "site boundary" as defined in OAR 345-001-0010(55), including any proposed transmission line routes and corridors which the applicant seeks Council approval. If applicant seeks flexibility to site facility components anywhere within the site boundary, please identify in maps and include an evaluation to support the facility "micrositing area," to be consistent with the intent of a "micrositing corridor" (OAR 345-001-0010(32)). Maps shall provide enough information for property owners potentially affected by the proposed facility to determine whether their property is within or adjacent to property for which the site boundary is located. Major roads shall be accurately named. The Department notes that the applicant has already shared GIS data for the proposed facility, and requests

updated GIS data if there are changes to the site boundary or proposed facility layout between

37 38

(d) Exhibit D - Organizational Expertise

the NOI and the submittal of the preliminary ASC (pASC).

- **Applicable Paragraphs:** All paragraphs apply.
- 42 **Related Council and Other Standards:** Organizational Expertise [OAR 345-022-0010]
- Discussion: The Council's review for the Organizational Expertise standard includes the
- 44 applicant's ability to construct, operate, and retire the proposed facility in compliance with

Council standards and conditions of the site certificate; and, in a manner that protects public 1 health and safety. Please address safety programs or plans developed by the applicant in 2 response to wildfire response at the site, and potential safety risks from operation of the 3 battery energy storage system (thermal runaway events, etc.) and fire control systems that 4 5 would minimize and control such risk. Please note that Exhibit D requires that if the applicant 6 relies upon mitigation to meet any applicable Council standard, that the applicant provide 7 evidence (i.e. detailed description of mitigation projects) that it can successfully complete such 8 proposed mitigation.

9

(e) Exhibit E - Permits

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 **Applicable Paragraphs:** All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] **Discussion:** Exhibit E shall describe and discuss all permits that the applicant proposes to be included in and governed by the site certificate, as well as state and local permits that are related to the siting, construction, or operation of the proposed facility but are proposed by the applicant to not be included in and governed by the site certificate. Exhibit E shall also describe required federal and federally-delegated permits. For federally-delegated permits needed for construction or operation, the applicant must submit a copy of the permit application to the Department, as required under OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(F)(ii).

202122

Federal Approvals

- 23 **Bureau of Land Management**
- 24 Statute and Rule References: 90 Stat. 2776; 43 USC 1761
- 25 **Permits:** Right of Way Grant
- 26 **Discussion:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) issues Right of Way Grants authorizing use
- of, or impacts to, BLM owned land. As described in the June 2020 NOI, two right of way grants
- are required for the proposed facility, including an access road and transmission line crossing
- on BLM owned parcels.

30 31

Federally-delegated Permits

- 32 Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Air Quality Division
- 33 Statute and Rule References: OAR Chapter 340, Division 216
- 34 **Permits:** General Air Contaminant Discharge Permit (ACDP)
- 35 **Discussion:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has delegated authority
- to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to administer air quality under the
- 37 Clean Air Act. As described in the June 2020 NOI, a mobile concrete batch plant may be utilized
- during proposed facility construction, with permits obtained by the applicant's third-party
- 39 contractor. The mobile concrete batch plant would require a General Air Contaminant
- 40 Discharge Permit (ACDP). A General ACDP authorizes operation of a concrete manufacturing
- 41 plant that produces more than 5,000 but less than 25,000 cubic yards per year output.

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality – Water Quality Division

Statute and Rule References

- ORS Chapter 468B (Water Quality)
- OAR Chapter 340, Division 45 (Regulations Pertaining To National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and Water Pollution Control Facilities (WPCF) Permits)
- OAR Chapter 340, Division 48 (Certification of Compliance with Water Quality Requirements and Standards)

Permits: NPDES Construction Storm Water 1200-C permit: Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification; Section 404 Permit

Discussion: The EPA has delegated authority to DEQ to issue NPDES Storm Water Discharge permits for construction and operation activities. Based upon the information in the NOI, a NPDES 1200-C permit would be required for facility construction. In accordance with OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(F)(ii), the applicant must submit to the Department one copy of all applications for federally-delegated permits (including the NPDES permit), or provide a schedule of the date by which the applicant intends to submit the application. The DEQ response letter must state that the agency has received a permit application from the applicant, and provide an estimated date when the agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision. The applicant may incorporate this information into Exhibit I (Soils) or Exhibit BB (Other Information) of the ASC.

The 401 Water Quality Certification is required for projects that are processed under the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 Nationwide Permits. If a Section 404 Permit is needed from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) for the discharge of dredge or fill material in waters of the United States, a Section 401 Water Quality Certificate must be granted by DEQ before a Section 404 permit may be issued.

State Permits

Oregon Department of State Lands

Statute and Rule References

- ORS 196.795-990 (Removal of Material, Filling)
- OAR Chapter 141, Division 85 (Administrative Rules Governing the Issuance and Enforcement of Removal-Fill Authorizations Within Waters of Oregon Including Wetlands)

Permit: Removal-Fill Permit

Discussion: A removal-fill permit is required if any removal or fill activities occur in streams designated as Essential Indigenous Anadromous Salmonid Habitat or 50 cubic yards or more of material is removed, filled or altered within a jurisdictional water of the state [OAR 141-085-0520(2) and (5)]. Removal-fill permits are state permits under Council jurisdiction.

Based on the NOI, the Department understands that a removal-fill permit may be needed. If needed, the applicant must provide the information required by Exhibit J. To confirm whether a removal-fill permit is needed, the applicant must conduct a wetland delineation, to be reviewed by Department of State Lands (DSL) for concurrence, according to OAR Chapter 141, Division 90 rules. The wetland delineation determines the location of "waters of this state," as defined in

OAR 141-085-0510(91), within the analysis area. If a removal-fill permit is required, the ASC shall include a wetland delineation report and an application for a removal-fill permit which demonstrates consistency with ORS 196.825(1) and provides enough information for considerations under ORS 196.825(3). The applicant should consult directly with DSL regarding the applicable regulations.

A Compensatory Wetland Mitigation Plan which meets the requirements of OAR 141-085-0680 through OAR 141-085-0715 must be provided to replace all lost functions and values previously provided by the impacted wetlands and waterways.

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Statute and Rule References

- ORS Chapter 454 (Sewage Treatment and Disposal Systems)
- ORS Chapter 468B (Water Quality)
- OAR Chapter 340, Division 45 (Regulations Pertaining To NPDES and WPCF Permits)
- OAR Chapter 340, Division 71 (Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems)

Permits: Onsite Sewage Disposal Construction-Installation Permit; WPCF General Permit 1000 **Discussion:** Facilities with an on-site sewage disposal system must obtain a Construction-Installation Permit before construction of the disposal system. As described in the NOI, during operation, the facility's O&M building would discharge sanitary waste (in the form of domestic wastewater) to a licensed on-site septic system with a daily sewage flow of fewer than 2,500 gallons. The applicant indicates in the NOI that its third-party contractor would obtain the necessary Construction-Installation Permit directly from DEQ; this permit is therefore related to the siting and operation of the proposed facility but would not be included in or governed by the site certificate (see the Third-Party Permits discussion below).

Disposal of concrete batch plant wash water (if a temporary batch plant is necessary) would require a WPCF General Permit 1000. The applicant indicates that its third-party contractor would obtain the necessary WPCF 1700-B permit directly from DEQ; therefore, this permit would not be included in and governed by the site certificate (see the Third-Party Permits discussion below).

Oregon Water Resources Department

Statute and Rule References

- ORS 537.505-.795 and ORS 537.992
- OAR Chapter 690, Division 340 (Water Use Authorizations)

Permits: None

Discussion: The applicant proposes to construct and operate a 5,000 gallons per day, on-site well to supply the water needs for the O&M building(s); based on the withdrawal amount, the well would be exempt from OWRD permit requirements.

1 Oregon Department of Transportation

- 2 Statute and Rule References: OAR Chapter 734, Division 51 (Highway Approaches, Access
- 3 Control, Spacing Standards, and Medians)
- 4 **Permits:** Oversize Load Movement Permit/Load Registration
- 5 Discussion: Movement of large equipment and materials could require an Oversize Load
- 6 Movement permit. These permits are not related to facility siting and are not included in or
- 7 governed by the site certificate. The applicant or its contractor must secure any applicable
- 8 ODOT permits directly from ODOT, independent of the site certificate process.

9 10

11

12

13

State Historic Preservation Office

Statute and Rule References

- ORS Chapter 97, 358, and 390;
- OAR Chapter 736, Division 51
- 14 **Permits:** Archaeological Excavation Permit
- Discussion: This permit is required if excavation is needed within the boundaries of a known
- cultural site regardless of land ownership. If disturbance to cultural sites cannot be avoided, a
- 17 State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) Archaeological Permit will be required to determine if
- cultural sites are eligible for listing under the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). An
- excavation permit will also be required for any data recovery mitigation efforts within an NRHP-
- 20 eligible site.

21 22

- During facility construction, if a previously unidentified archaeological site is discovered, all
- 23 construction will cease and the applicant will report the finding to SHPO and ODOE
- immediately. In that instance, the permit may be required based on SHPO's determination. This
- permit will not be included in and governed by the site certificate.

26 27

- SHPO issues archaeological excavation permits in accordance with ORS 390.235 and OAR 736-
- 28 051-0000 through 0090. Archaeological permits have a 30-day review period, require tribal
- 29 consultation during review, and must meet SHPO standards.

30 31

32

33 34

35

Local Permits

Land Use Development Code References

- Lake County Development Code (Adopted May 1980; Amended September 1989)
- Lake County Comprehensive Plan (Adopted May 1980; Amended June 1989)
- **Permits:** Building Permit (O&M building, collection substation, 500 kV substation, battery
- 36 energy storage system)
- 37 **Discussion:** As stated in the NOI, the applicant requests that EFSC determine compliance with
- 38 the substantive criteria of the Lake County Comprehensive Plans and Lake County Development
- 39 Code under ORS 469.504(1)(b). Local permits and approvals including a conditional use/zoning
- 40 permit and site plan review are incorporated entirely into the ASC process; the only local
- 41 permits to be issued outside of the siting process include building permits for structures (O&M
- 42 building, collection substation, 500 kV substation, battery energy storage system).

Third-Party Permits

As noted in the NOI, the applicant may rely upon third-party permits for access to resources necessary for facility construction and operation. If the applicant relies upon a state or local government permit issued to a third party that is related to the siting of the proposed facility, the applicant must identify each third-party permit, and, for each, include evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource or service to be secured by that permit; evidence that the third party has or, has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining, the necessary permit; and, an assessment of the impact of the proposed facility on any permits that a third party has obtained and on which the applicant relies to comply with any applicable Council standard (OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(E)).

If the applicant relies on a federally-delegated permit issued to a third party that is related to the siting of the proposed facility, the applicant must identify the third-party permit and include evidence that the applicant has, or has a reasonable likelihood of entering into, a contract or other agreement with the third party for access to the resource or service to be secured by that permit. The applicant must provide evidence that the responsible agency has received the permit application, and provide the estimated date when the responsible agency will complete its review and issue a permit decision (OAR 345-021-0010(1)(e)(F)).

 In accordance with OAR 345-022-0010(4), if the applicant relies on a permit or approval issued to a third party and the third party does not have the necessary permit or approval at the time the Council issues the site certificate, the Council may issue the site certificate subject to the condition that the certificate holder shall not commence construction or operation as appropriate until the third party has obtained the necessary permit or approval and the applicant has a contract or other arrangement for access to the resource or service secured by that permit or approval.

(f) Exhibit F – Property Owners

Applicable Paragraphs: Paragraph (C) of the rule applies.

Discussion: As the proposed facility would be located entirely within Agricultural Use (A-2) zoned land, OAR 345-020-0010(1)(f)(C) applies and requires notice to property owners within 500 feet of the property which is the subject of the ASC (i.e. 500 feet from the property boundary of the property on which the site boundary is located). The Council requires notice

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000]

500 feet of the property which is the subject of the ASC (i.e. 500 feet from the property boundary of the property on which the site boundary is located). The Council requires notice to all owners of record, as shown on the most recent property tax assessment roll within the specified distance from the property of the proposed facility. In order to ensure notifications are provided using an up-to-date property owner list, Exhibit F in the pASC should indicate that, pursuant to direction by the Department, the property owner list will be generated just prior to the Department's determination of ASC completeness and in coordination with Department staff.

The property owner notification list must be accompanied by maps that include the site boundary, the tax lots on which the site boundary is located, the buffered area surrounding the tax lots on which the site boundary is located consistent with the OAR 345-021-0010(1)(f)(C) site distance requirement, and the properties/tax lots that are within the applicable distances. Maps shall correspond to the property owner list, be legible and clearly identify tax lot ID numbers as well as adjacent road names, as applicable.

(g) Exhibit G – Materials Analysis

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000]; Soil Protection [OAR 345-022-0022]

Discussion: The applicant shall include in the ASC any proposed fuel storage areas, vehicle maintenance areas, or other areas that will be utilized for activities that could store hazardous materials, including potential battery storage facilities. The applicant shall identify the expected storage locations and quantities of hazardous and non-hazardous materials expected to be used during construction and operation of the proposed facility.

(h) Exhibit H - Geologic and Soil Stability

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Structural Standard [OAR 345-022-0020]

Discussion: The Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) provides technical review and recommendations on compliance with the Council's Structural Standard.

The applicant must provide a summary of consultation with DOGAMI regarding the appropriate

methodology and scope of the seismic hazards and geology and soil-related hazards

assessments, and the appropriate site-specific geotechnical work that must be performed for

the ASC. A geologic report included in Exhibit H shall meet the Oregon State Board of Geologist

Examiners geologic report guidelines, as determined based on consultation with DOGAMI.

As described in the Structural Standard at OAR 345-022-0020(2), the Council may not impose the Structural Standard to approve or deny an ASC for a proposed facility that would produce power from solar energy, though the Council may apply the requirements of OAR 345-022-0020(1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

(i) Exhibit I – Soils

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Soil Protection [OAR 345-022-0022]

Discussion: This exhibit shall identify the major soil types and include a description of current and historical, if distinct from current, land uses that require or depend on productive soils. The applicant shall include information describing the impact of construction and operation of the proposed facility on soil conditions in the analysis area, including potential impacts to soils on adjacent properties. The application can cross-reference information related to the federally-delegated NPDES 1200-C permit application, as applicable. An erosion and sediment control

plan must be provided for review if the applicant will rely upon the erosion and sediment control plan to meet the Soil Protection standard. Please note that an erosion and sediment control plan that meets the NPDES 1200-C requirements may not necessarily be sufficient to meet the EFSC Soil Protection standard.

(j) Exhibit J - Waters of the State and Removal-Fill

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000];

10 Removal of Material, Filling [ORS 196.795-.990]; Administrative Rules Governing the Issuance

and Enforcement of Removal-Fill Authorizations within Waters of Oregon Including Wetlands

[OAR Chapter 141, Division 85]

Discussion: Per Exhibit J requirement, the ASC shall include a description of all areas within the site boundary that might be waters of this state and a map(s) showing the location of these features. Based on the NOI, ODOE understands that a removal-fill permit may be necessary for the facility and that a wetland delineation report submitted to DSL in March 2020 is close to review completion. If determined necessary by the applicant, following DSL's review of the wetland delineation report, the information required for Council to make a decision on the removal-fill permit application must be included in the ASC. Wetland delineation reports and removal-fill permit application materials can be sent directly by the applicant to DSL; however, please note that all materials must also be submitted to the Department as part of the ASC. The Department will work closely with DSL in review of the removal-fill permit application, if applicable. For EFSC-jurisdictional facilities, authorization of a removal-fill permit is an EFSC decision, should a permit be issued, DSL will maintain the ongoing responsibility for compliance with any associated permit conditions.

(k) Exhibit K – Land Use

Applicable Paragraphs: Paragraphs (A) and (C) of the rule apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Land Use [OAR 345-022-0030]

Discussion: The Council's Land Use standard requires an evaluation for compliance with the statewide planning goals. Under 469.504(1), the applicant may establish compliance with the applicable statewide planning goals either by obtaining local land use approval under ORS 469.504(1)(a) or by obtaining Council approval under ORS 469.504(1)(b). The applicant has indicated in the NOI that it has elected to seek a Council determination of compliance under ORS 469.504(1)(b). Within Exhibit K, since the applicant has elected to obtain a Council determination on land use under ORS 469.504(1)(b), paragraphs A, C and D of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(k) apply; paragraph B does not apply.

The facility would be located on A-2-zoned land in Lake County. Pursuant to ORS 469.480 and in preparation for review of the proposed facility, at its July 24, 2020 meeting the Council appointed the Lake County Board of County Commissioners as a Special Advisory Group (SAG). As provided in ORS 469.401(3), if the Council issues a site certificate, Lake County would be

bound to issue all required permits and other land use approvals, subject to the conditions set

- forth in the site certificate, that are included in and governed by the site certificate. The 1
- 2 applicant shall discuss each applicable substantive criteria from the Lake County
- Comprehensive Plan and Lake County Development Code, and shall demonstrate how the 3
- proposed facility complies with those criteria. The SAG provided its list of applicable substantive 4
 - criteria in a comment letter on the NOI. If the proposed facility does not comply with one or
- 6 more of the applicable substantive criteria, the applicant must demonstrate that the proposed
- 7 facility nevertheless complies with the applicable statewide planning goals or that an exception
 - to a goal is justified under ORS 469.504(2) and OAR 345-022-0030(4).

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

5

Exhibit K shall also provide evidence that the proposed facility would comply with any directlyapplicable Land Conservation and Development Commission (LCDC) administrative rules and statutory requirements related to the proposed facility, including ORS 215.283 and 215.274, and specifically including all requirements regarding the location of the proposed facility within the A-2 zone. Exhibit K shall provide evidence that the proposed facility would comply with the applicable administrative rules at OAR 660-033-0130(38) related to development of solar power generation facilities, as well as rules related to associated transmission lines to energy generating facilities.

17 18 19

20 21

22

Solar photovoltaic facilities that are proposed to use more than 12 acres of high-value farmland, 20 acres of arable land, or 320 acres of other land require a Goal 3 exception. The Council's goal exception process is described at OAR 345-022-0030(4). A Goal 3 exception is necessarily based on site-specific issues and reasons; as such, the applicant must provide sitespecific evidence that the proposed facility should be granted a Goal 3 exception.

23 24 25

26

Exhibit K shall also include an evaluation of consistency with relevant requirements imposed under the Bureau of Land Management's Resource Management Plan, if adopted, applicable to the analysis area.

27 28

(I) Exhibit L - Protected Areas

29 30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Protected Areas [OAR 345-022-0040]

Discussion: The ASC must address potential impacts to protected areas identified in OAR 345-022-0040 within the analysis area. Please note that compliance with the DEQ noise rules (Exhibit X) does not correlate to compliance with the noise assessment considered in the Protected Areas standard. Particularly, while construction noise is exempt from the DEQ noise rules, construction noise must be considered under the Protected Areas standard. However, information developed to demonstrate compliance with the DEQ noise rules (such as noise modeling) can be used in the assessment under the Protected Areas standard. A visual impact assessment is required as part of Exhibit L; while no specific methodology is required by EFSC rule, the applicant must submit evidence adequate to demonstrate how potential impacts from

42 the proposed facility would not be likely to result in potentially significant, adverse impacts 43

under the Protected Areas standard. Visual simulations or other visual representations are not

required, but can provide important evidence for use by the Department and Council in understanding the potential visual impact of the proposed facility to Protected Areas.

3 4

1 2

5

(m) Exhibit M – Financial Capability

6 7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

8

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Retirement and Financial Assurance [OAR 345-022-0050] **Discussion:** The ASC shall include information about the applicant's financial capability, including the applicant's legal authority to construct and operate the proposed facility without violating its bond indenture provisions, articles of incorporation, common stock covenants, or similar agreements. To find that the proposed facility satisfies the Retirement and Financial Assurance standard (OAR 345-022-0050(2)), the Council must find that the applicant has a reasonable likelihood of obtaining a bond or letter of credit in a form and amount satisfactory to the Council to restore the site to a useful, non-hazardous condition. The ASC shall include the type and amount of the applicant's proposed bond or letter of credit to satisfy the

18 19 20

(n) Exhibit N – Non-Generating Facility Need

21 22

Applicable Paragraphs: Exhibit N does not apply because the proposed facility is not a nongenerating facility.

23 24 25

(o) Exhibit O – Water Use

requirements of OAR 345-022-0050.

26

29

30

31

32

27 28

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply except (D). Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000]; OAR

690, Divisions 310 and 380 (Water Resources Department permitting requirements) Discussion: Exhibit O of the ASC must describe water use during construction and operation of the proposed facility. The ASC must identify the sources of water to be used, and include an estimate of the amount of water the facility will need during construction and operation from each source under annual average and worst-case conditions.

33 34

35

36

37

38

If the proposed facility does not need a groundwater permit, a surface water permit, or a water right transfer, Exhibit O shall include an explanation as to why such a permit or transfer is not required for construction and operation of the proposed facility, as required by paragraph (E). If such a permit is necessary, the ASC shall include the evidence and application materials to support a determination by Council that OWRD should issue such a permit.

39 40

(p) Exhibit P – Fish and Wildlife Habitat

41 42 43

44

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Fish and Wildlife Habitat [OAR 345-022-0060]

Discussion: The applicant shall consult with ODFW in developing the ASC materials. Exhibit P shall include analysis of how the evidence provided supports a finding by the Council that the proposed facility meets the Council's Fish and Wildlife Habitat standard. OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p) provides that Exhibit P must include a description of biological and botanical surveys performed that support the information in this exhibit, including a discussion of the timing and scope of each survey. Exhibit P must also provide baseline surveys of all state sensitive species that may be present in the analysis area performed according to a protocol approved by the Department and ODFW.

Oregon Fish and Wildlife Habitat Mitigation Policy (OAR Chapter 635, Division 415) classifies six habitat categories and establishes a mitigation goal for each category. The applicant must identify the appropriate habitat category for all areas affected by the proposed facility and provide the basis for each category designation. The habitat classification is subject to the Department and ODFW review. A map showing the different habitat categories is required under sub-paragraph (C) of OAR 345-021-0010(1)(p), and inclusion of vegetation classes within the map is recommended. The map must be of sufficient scale to show details. Exhibit P must include tables depicting the estimated temporary and permanent impacts, broken down by habitat categories and subtype.

The applicant must show how it would comply with the habitat mitigation goals and standards by appropriate monitoring and mitigation, including measures proposed by the applicant to avoid, reduce, or mitigate adverse impacts on habitat and state sensitive species. This information shall be incorporated into a proposed Revegetation and Noxious Weed Control Plan and a proposed Habitat Mitigation Plan (HMP) as attachments to Exhibit P. Exhibit P and the HMP must clearly demonstrate how the applicant will provide mitigation, including compensatory mitigation, in accordance with the Council's standard. This includes identifying in the HMP the location of a specific habitat mitigation area that could be used to provide compensatory mitigation, as well as ecological uplift mitigation actions that could be implemented at the habitat mitigation area to provide the appropriate mitigation. The HMP shall include results of a desktop or field-level survey assessing habitat category of the habitat mitigation area. The HMP shall describe the mechanism, or mechanism options, for acquiring the legal right to maintain and enhance the habitat mitigation area. The HMP shall include draft success criteria for the proposed ecological uplift actions; and describe a process for evaluating monitoring and reference site locations, prior to construction. This information is required for the Department to find the application complete.

(q) Exhibit Q – Threatened and Endangered Species

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Threatened and Endangered Species [OAR 345-022-0070]

Discussion: The ASC shall include a list of state-listed endangered and threatened, species that have potential to occur in the analysis area. The applicant shall identify these species based on

a review of literature, consultation with knowledgeable individuals, and reference to the list of species maintained by the Oregon Biodiversity Information Center.

For state-listed threatened or endangered plant species, field surveys are required for any species that may occur within the analysis area and may potentially be impacted by the facility. The applicant shall consult with ODFW and Oregon Department of Agriculture Native Plant Conservation Program, if applicable, regarding field survey methods, appropriate survey seasons, qualifications of field survey personnel, and the information to be included in a field survey report.

(r) Exhibit R – Scenic Resources

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Scenic Resources [OAR 345-022-0080]

Discussion: The ASC shall include an analysis of significant potential impacts of the proposed facility on scenic resources identified as significant or important in local land use plans, tribal land management plans and federal land management plans for any lands located within the analysis area. For any significant or important scenic resources identified in a local, tribal or federal land management plan, the applicant shall include in the ASC an evaluation of the proposed facility's consistency or compliance with any development or land use criteria included in the land management plan for the identified resource. The applicant shall also describe the measures it proposes to avoid, reduce, or otherwise mitigate any significant adverse impacts to these scenic resources. A visual impact assessment is required as part of Exhibit R; while no specific methodology is required by EFSC rule, the applicant must submit evidence adequate to demonstrate why the proposed facility is compliance with the Scenic Resources standard. Visual simulations or other visual representations are not required, but can provide important evidence for use by the Department and Council in understanding the potential visual impact of the proposed facility to Scenic Resources.

(s) Exhibit S – Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.⁴

Polated Council and Other Standards: Historic Cultural as

Related Council and Other Standards: Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources [OAR 345-022-0090]

⁴ Information concerning the location of archaeological sites or objects may be exempt from public disclosure under ORS 192.501(11). Specific location information about cultural resources must not be included in the text of application for a site certificate. Such information, including archaeological survey reports, shall be provided confidentially under separate cover in hard copy format only after consultation with the Department. Confidential

material shall also be provided directly to SHPO, following guidance from the Department and SHPO. Please contact the Department to discuss current practices regarding treatment and submittal of confidential material.

Discussion: The ASC shall include the survey methodology, survey areas, and the results of all surveys conducted for historic, cultural, and archaeological resources, as well as an analysis of any significant adverse impacts anticipated and proposed mitigation measures. The applicant should work closely with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) to understand the report formatting and submission requirements, and to receive guidance on any survey protocols.

5 6 7

1

2

3

4

It is recommended that the applicant discuss the proposed facility with the potentially affected tribes identified by the Legislative Commission on Indian Services, including the Klamath Tribes, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs and the Burns Paiute Tribe.

9 10 11

12

13 14

8

As described in the Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources standard at OAR 345-022-0090(2), the Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from solar energy without making the findings of the Historic, Cultural, and Archaeological Resources standard at OAR 345-022-0090(1), though the Council may apply the requirements of OAR 345-022-0090(1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

15 16

(t) Exhibit T – Recreation

17 18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Recreation [OAR 345-022-0100]

Discussion: The ASC shall analyze the importance of recreational opportunities in the analysis area using the factors listed in OAR 345-022-0100(1), discuss any significant potential adverse impacts to important recreational opportunities, and describe measures proposed to avoid, minimize or mitigate those impacts. Please list all recreational opportunities in the analysis area and the applicant's analysis of whether those recreational opportunities are considered "important" or not. As described under the Protected Areas standard section above, please note that compliance with the DEQ noise rules (Exhibit X) does not correlate to compliance with the noise assessment considered in the Recreation standard. Particularly, while construction noise is exempt from the DEQ noise rules, construction noise must be considered under the Recreation standard. However, information developed to demonstrate compliance with the DEQ noise rules (such as noise modeling) can be used in the assessment under the Recreation standard. A visual impact assessment is required as part of Exhibit T; while no specific methodology is required by EFSC rule, the applicant must submit evidence adequate to demonstrate how the proposed facility would comply with the Recreation standard. Visual simulations or other visual representations are not required, but can provide important evidence for use by the Department and Council in understanding the potential visual impact of the proposed facility to important Recreation sites.

373839

(u) Exhibit U – Public Services

40 41

42

43

44

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Public Services [OAR 345-022-0110]

Discussion: The ASC shall provide information related to the proposed facility's potential

impacts to the ability of public and private providers within the analysis area to provide: sewers

and sewage treatment, water, storm water drainage, solid waste management, housing, traffic safety, police and fire protection, health care and schools (OAR 345-022-0110). Applications for site certificate typically include letters from local public services providers, including local fire departments and police departments, to demonstrate that the proposed facility would not cause a significant adverse impact on the ability of those providers to provide their services, in accordance with the Public Services standard. It is recommended that letters obtained from public service providers include analysis indicating that their level of service would not be impacted. In other words, letters obtained from water service providers should include an evaluation of permit limits and historical demand to demonstrate that it can meet proposed facility needs. Letters from fire service providers should explain how resources used by the facility, in the event of a fire-related issue, would not impact their ability to provide fire emergency response, rather than a conclusory statement without supporting analysis demonstrating a clear understanding of the facility.

As described in the Public Services standard at OAR 345-022-0110(2), the Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from solar energy without making the findings of the Public Services standard at OAR 345-022-0110(1), though the Council may apply the requirements of OAR 345-022-0110(1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

(v) Exhibit V – Solid Waste and Wastewater

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply

Related Council and Other Standards: Waste Minimization [OAR 345-022-0120]; Public Services [OAR 345-022-0110]

Discussion: To support a finding by the Council as required by OAR 345-022-0120, the ASC shall provide information about the applicant's plans to minimize the generation of solid waste and wastewater in the construction and operation of the facility, and to recycle or reuse solid waste and wastewater. Information in Exhibit V may be incorporated by reference in Exhibit U's discussion of impacts to solid waste and wastewater service providers.

As described in the Waste Minimization standard at OAR 345-022-0120(2), the Council may issue a site certificate for a facility that would produce power from solar energy without making the findings of the Waste Minimization standard at OAR 345-022-0120(1), though the Council may apply the requirements of OAR 345-022-0120(1) to impose conditions on a site certificate issued for such a facility.

(w) Exhibit W – Facility Retirement

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: Retirement and Financial Assurance [OAR 345-022-0050]

Discussion: The ASC shall provide an estimate of the total and unit retirement costs per task

and action necessary for facility decommissioning, including a detailed explanation,

assumptions, and justification of the methodology it uses to estimate site restoration costs. The

Council's Retirement and Financial Assurance standard requires evidence that the amount estimated for facility decommissioning is sufficient for restoration of the site to a useful, nonhazardous condition.

4 5

1 2

3

(x) Exhibit X - Noise

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000]; DEQ

Noise Control Regulations [ORS 467.020 and ORS 467.030; OAR 340, Division 35] **Discussion:** The ASC shall contain a noise analysis and information to support a Council finding that the proposed facility would comply with applicable DEQ noise control standards in OAR 340-035-0035. The applicant shall include ambient noise monitoring results to support the evaluation of compliance with the ambient antidegradation standard. The number of ambient monitoring sites shall be sufficient to reasonably represent the ambient noise conditions at noise sensitive receptor locations in closest proximity to the proposed site. Ambient noise monitoring shall also be conducted specific to ambient conditions at noise sensitive receptor locations in closest proximity to the proposed 500 kV transmission line. The analysis shall evaluate maximum noise levels from all noise-generating equipment during construction and operation. Operational noise shall be evaluated from both stationary sources (e.g. substation transformers, inverters/transformer units, battery storage system HVAC and inverters) and corona noise from the transmission line.

21 22

Exhibit Y – Carbon Dioxide Emissions (y)

23 24 25

26

Applicable Paragraphs: Exhibit Y does not apply because the proposed facility is not a base load gas plant, a non-base load power plant, or a non-generating energy facility that emits carbon dioxide.

27 28 29

(z) Exhibit Z – Cooling Tower Impacts

30 31

Applicable Paragraphs: Exhibit Z does not apply because the proposed facility would not include an evaporative cooling tower.

33 34

32

(aa) Exhibit AA – Electric and Magnetic Fields

35 36

Applicable Paragraphs: All paragraphs apply.

37 38

Related Council and Other Standards: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] **Discussion:** As the proposed facility would have a transmission line as a related and supporting

facility, the provisions of Exhibit AA apply.

40 41

39

42

(bb) Exhibit BB - Other Information

Related Council Standard: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] No additional information is required.

(cc) Exhibit CC - Other Law

Related Council Standard: General Standard of Review [OAR 345-022-0000] All requirements apply.

(dd) Exhibit DD - Specific Standards

Applicable Paragraphs: Paragraph (C) applies.

Related Council and Other Standards: Specific Standards for Transmission Lines [OAR 345-024-0090]

Discussion: The Council applies specific standards for transmission lines under its jurisdiction in OAR 345-024-0090. The applicant should provide analysis regarding compliance with OAR 345-024-0090 in Exhibit DD.

IV. ANALYSIS AREAS FOR THE PROPOSED FACILITY

The analysis areas are the areas that the applicant must study for potential impacts from the construction and operation of the proposed facility. Some of the analysis areas described in this Project Order do not limit the applicant's responsibility to assess the potential impacts of the facility. They are the areas in which significant adverse impacts from the proposed facility are likely to occur. If significant impacts associated with the applicable Council standards⁵ could occur beyond the analysis areas described here, then the applicant must assess those impacts in the ASC and show how the facility would comply with the applicable standard with regard to the larger area where impacts could occur.

For all potential impacts, the analysis area includes all the area within the site boundary, as defined in OAR 345-001-0010(55) as well as any additional distances beyond the site boundary described in Table 2, *Analysis Areas* below. The ASC must specifically describe the site boundary and provide a map showing the proposed site boundary, including all related and supporting facilities. The minimum required analysis areas are presented in Table 2, *Analysis Areas*.

Table 2: Analysis Areas

Affected Standard or Resource	Exhibit	Analysis Area
Structural Standard	Exh. H	The area within the site boundary, notwithstanding the distances related to an assessment of seismic hazards required by OAR 345-021-0010(1)(h).

⁻

⁵ OAR 345-022-0080 - Scenic Resources, OAR 345-022-0100 - Recreation, and OAR 345-022-0110 - Public Services directly reference the analysis area as described in the Project Order.

Table 2: Analysis Areas

Affected Standard or Resource	Exhibit	Analysis Area
Soil Protection	Exh. I	The area within the site boundary.
Wetlands	Exh. J	The area within the site boundary.
Land Use	Exh. K	The area within and extending 0.5 mile from the site boundary.
Protected Areas	Exh. L	The area within and extending 10 miles from the site boundary.
Fish and Wildlife Habitat	Exh. P	The area within and extending 0.5 mile from the site boundary.
Threatened and Endangered Species	Exh. Q	The area within and extending 5 miles from the site boundary.
Scenic Resources	Exh. R	The area within and extending 10 miles from the site boundary.
Historic, Cultural and Archaeological Resources	Exh. S	The area within the site boundary. If aboveground resources, including Traditional Cultural Properties or Historic Properties of Religions and Cultural Significance to Indian Tribes, were identified within 1-mile of the site boundary during the desktop review, the analysis area shall include the area within and extending 1-mile of the site boundary.
Recreation	Exh. T	The area within and extending 5 miles from the site boundary.
Public Services	Exh. U	The area within and extending 10 miles from the site boundary.
Noise Control Regulation	Exh. X	The area within and extending 1-mile from the site boundary.

Notes:

1

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

14

1. The applicant should note that analysis areas defined in this Project Order are to be used for the assessment of impacts to the associated resource. The applicant is not required to perform comprehensive field surveys of the entire analysis area if another method of impact assessment is suitable. However, the Department reserves the right to require field surveys if it is determined that a different method of analysis is insufficient to provide the level of information necessary to find the application complete. It is recommended that the Department be consulted if the applicant wishes to propose alternative methods of analysis than field surveys.

V. COMMENTS ON THE NOTICE OF INTENT

The Department received 4 reviewing agency comment letters on the NOI; no comments specific to the NOI were received from members of the public. Comments submitted are on-the record and must be provided in written form, such as an email or letter. These comments were provided under separate cover to the applicant and are incorporated by reference in this order. Within each applicable exhibit of the ASC, the applicant shall address those concerns raised by reviewing agencies that are within the jurisdiction of the Council.

VI. EXPIRATION DATE OF THE NOTICE OF INTENT

In accordance with OAR 345-020-0060, the Archway Solar Energy Facility NOI will expire two years after the date of submittal, on September 9, 2022, unless the applicant submits a petition

to Council to extend the expiration date. Such a petition must be submitted no less than 45 days before the NOI expiration date. If the Council finds that the extension petition shows good cause, it may extend the NOI expiration date by up to one year.

VII. PROJECT ORDER AMENDMENT AND APPLICATION COMPLETENESS

The Council or the Department may amend this Project Order at any time [OAR 345-015-0160(3)]. Amendment may include changes to the analysis areas. To issue a site certificate, the Council must determine that the proposed facility complies with Oregon statutes and administrative rules identified in the Project Order, as amended, as applicable to the issuance of a site certificate for the proposed facility (ORS 469.503(3)).

 Under OAR 345-015-0190(5), when the Department determines the ASC contains adequate information for the Council to make findings or impose conditions on all applicable Council standards, the Department will issue a determination of completeness on the ASC. The Department may determine that the ASC is complete without requiring the applicant to submit all information described under OAR 345-021-0000 and 0010. In accordance with OAR 345-015-0190(9), after a determination that an ASC is complete, the Department may require additional information from the applicant if the Department identifies a need for that information during its continued review of the ASC.

VIII. APPLICABILITY AND DUTY TO COMPLY

Failure to include an applicable statute, rule, ordinance, permit or other requirement in this Project Order does not render that statute, rule, ordinance, permit or other requirement inapplicable, nor in any way relieve applicant from the duty to comply with the same.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

3

1

2

- 4 By:
- 5 Todd R. Cornett, Assistant Director, Siting Division
- 6 Energy Facility Siting Division
- 7 Oregon Department of Energy

8

9 Date of Issuance: September 9, 2020